WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
April 4, 2019
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Cliff Whitehead and Administrator Krystal Powless was in attendance.

Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Greg Richmond, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

Greg Richmond: Roll Call, please.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: *(Councilman Christmas was not present for this meeting).*

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Cindy Ledbetter?

Cindy Ledbetter: Present.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Richard Reid?

Richard Reid: Present.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

TABLED ITEMS

Councilman Richmond: And we don't have any tabled items.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
MARCH 7, 2019

Councilman Richmond: New Business, Approval of Minutes, Regular Meeting, March 7, 2019. I have some. Does anybody else have some?

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, the one (1) we talked about earlier, Page 8, UNDER the Surveyor. At the very bottom the vote. It says five (5), one (1) with Rick Reid opposing. And the vote five (5), 'o (0). Of course, it should be, excuse me, it says, with Rick Reid opposing and then it says five (5), 'o (0). The vote should be five (5) to one (1).

Councilman Richmond: Okay, there was one (1) that was sent out to all of us. I wanted to make sure everybody understood where Cindy was speaking after I announced the motion and the second. That was under Cum Capital Development. On mine that was page six (6); but it's page (9) on the long form. And let's see, under Cum Capital Development, right above the correction that was made. It should be eight thousand four hundred ninety-eight ($8,498.00), not eighty thousand four hundred ninety-eight ($80,498.00). Both the number in numeric form and the words were incorrect. And that's probably the way I read it, so it's probably my mistake. And middle of page ten (10) on mine, Sheriff's Salary, it's three thousand eight hundred fifteen ($3,815.00) and in the words I read, 'three thousand eighty hundred fifteen' ($3,815.00). That's the four (4) corrections I have. Anybody else? Is there a motion?

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve with those corrections.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion to approve by Dave and second by Brad with corrections. All those in favor? That's six, zero (6-0).

COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
RAINY DAY
CONTRACTUAL SERVICES – TELEPHONE SYSTEM UPDATE

Councilman Richmond: Next up is Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriations, Rainy Day, Contractual Services, four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00), for a total Rainy Day of four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00).

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen, Roger Emmons, Administrator for the Warrick County Commissioners' Office. This has been broached, I believe, at the last meeting we talked about this. This amount includes the new network switches that we needed and the emergency fiber work that's in conjunction with the new phone system. We've got a phone system that's twenty (20) years old and it's been on its last legs for some time. So, the new phone system is projected to last at least that long and could last longer. The, I know, Krystal asked for some information regarding the cost savings. And I don't know if you forwarded that out to all of them, but as you saw the, we are going with an onsite system with our own server instead of hosting it in the cloud. And the reason for that was because you, you, you can get a new system for a good price, initial price, but over the years, and, and David's comparison, he used ten (10) years. But, if you use twenty (20) years, over that course of time, in a hosted system, you'd be about two point four million dollars ($2,400,000.00). By initial investment of this four hundred thousand ($400,000.00) with the new, the IT upgrades, switches, etcetera, it's down to, you've got a, you have the initial cost, and what did we say here; you're just going to have the four hundred thousand ($400,000.00). David, could you come up and speak to that? David Shipley and Brent McCollom are here with Midwest Telecom Communications. He knows better than I do. One (1), one (1) thing, we would reduce is the number of phone lines. Because they could do a study, and I think software to show how many phone lines we're actually needing at any given time so we can reduce the monthly cost to our phone system.

David Shipley: Right, exactly right. Right, and I sent Krystal some of this. What we've already done is try to eliminate some duplications of internet services that we weren't under contract on. So, we were able to get some of those.

Councilman Richmond: Pardon me, we need your name. I'm sorry.

David Shipley: What?

Councilman Richmond: We need your name.

David Shipley: Oh, David Shipley, S-h-i-p-l-e-y. So, we were actually looking at phone lands and internet. So, anything that was a monthly reoccurring cost. So basically, any monthly reoccurring costs. So, we went building by building, sort of did an inventory where we said, okay, is that in our contract? Is that not under contract? Why is it needed? Who ordered it? What's the purpose? Okay, from that standpoint, we say, well, how else can it be delivered? You know? What's another way to get the job done that maybe doesn't incur a monthly reoccurring cost? Now, unfortunately, some of those were under contract so we can't touch them day one (1). But the ones that weren't under contract, we've already eliminated some of those costs. The new phone system is right, so from a line standpoint, when we get out to Child Services, Waste Water, those departments have their own lines right now. Those aren't under contract in any way shape or form. So when we put the new phone system in, and they have a phone off of this system that we're putting in here, they won't need their phone line anymore cause their phone line will be part of this system that we have here. So their cost can be eliminated. Now, the phone lines that we have here and at the Sheriff's Department, we have, and I don't have the exact number in front of me. But, we have close to ninety (90) telephone lines. Okay, well, there's no, there's no possible way we have ninety (90) people on the phone at one (1) time between these two (2) buildings. We do study after study for people once we put the new phone systems in. And he's right, we run software, and the software says, hey, at any one (1) point in time where we're at a thirty (30) day period, sixty (60) day period, or ninety (90) day period; we had eighteen (18) people on the phone. Well, let's say we had eighteen (18). Well, we would say, okay, we can bump that up a little bit. We can say you need twenty-five (25) lines. Well, we don't need ninety (90) lines. In this building alone, in this building alone, the lines on the phone system we have is fifty-two (52). Well, we don't need fifty-two (52) people on the phone. And then we have dedicated lines for things like fax machines and such that aren't as busy as they once were before. Now, that contract doesn't expire until March of 2020. So, we've got, we're just now approaching under the year mark. So, if we implement the new phone system, let's say, you know, two (2) or three (3) months from now. We implement a new phone system. We're still going to be a few months away from resetting that cost to completely reset that cost. At that point, we'll have data to say how many lines are really needed here. There are other ways to buy our telephone lines today that don't trap us into long term contracts either, so if our needs change, we change the lines. But the biggest thing is grouping the departments. We were also asked to look at the trash services area (inaudible), could they, could they effectively be a part of this group even though they're separate? And so we went and visited their departments and for example, they've got two (2) buildings out there. They both have internet connections. They're paying two hundred dollars ($200.00) a month for internet. Well, the recycling building has literally one (1) computer on. That's a hundred dollars ($100.00) a month they're paying. Again we can link those two (2) buildings with a little wireless bridge that comes at a cost of let's say, a thousand dollars ($1,000.00). In ten (10) months that's net zero (0) that delivers them with the internet. They're also paying a hundred dollars ($100.00) because no one's ever called in and reset their contract. And they currently have a fifteen (15) MB internet connection for a hundred dollars ($100.00). Or they can either go to a hundred (100) MB internet connection for forty-five dollars ($45.00). So the faster one (1) for less money or they can pay a hundred dollars ($100.00) a month for a four hundred (400) MB internet connection. Somebody needs to maintain these. Somebody needs to address and look at these contracts. And that's one (1) of the services that we offer. Not only up front, but we keep looking at that. That, that's just touching the bases. And they've got phone lines in each building. They don't need phone lines in each building. They're paying forty dollars ($40.00) a month for each line, and they have right now six (6) lines. So six (6) times forty ($40.00). Those can all be eliminated if they were on the phone system here. So, that's another savings, department by department, eating that down. And that's not in our contract out there, but then again this is under contract until March of 2020. It was a five (5) year contract that was implemented four (4) years ago when the provider put fiber optic cable out to your buildings and for that you had to sign a five (5) year contract. The good news is we're almost to the end of that and we can reset that.

David Shipley: Sure.

Councilman Overton: Cause you mentioned about the lines and not all of them used. Let's just say that there was a disaster.

David Shipley: Right.

Councilman Overton: And we had to mobilize and some of these other offices, different reasons...

David Shipley: Right.

Councilman Overton: How does that impact that? Cause how does that impact that? Because let's say that, you know, you might have people calling in, different, you set up different lines. How would that effect that?

David Shipley: Exactly. Well, two (2) fold. Right now you are a hundred percent (100%) separate from the Sheriff's Department. Okay? So one (1) of the plans in the design is to link those two (2). Okay? And dynamically utilize the lines between both locations. Okay, so we don't know where the lines are going to be needed in a disaster; you're a hundred percent (100%) right. But, right now, we've got, you know, all these lines here and the Sheriff has all these lines here. He can't tap into these lines. We can't tap into those. There's no way to use those resources no matter where they're needed. The Sheriff's Department needed an additional resource offices and he wanted to put people in this building, well, how do they communicate? What lines do they use? Okay? Well, we want to link those two (2) buildings together as part of the process. What that will allow us to do is A, create redundancy. Okay? Cause right now there's no redundancy. If the Sheriff's system goes down, it's just down. Well, if we link and we create a redundant source at both sight, even if his go down, he can send some officers here and immediately be answering the phone 'til that was back up and use his radios to communicate. Or vice versa could happen. The beauty of it is if we decide hey, we need, you know, eighteen (18) lines here and fourteen (14) lines there, and we, we combine those together instead of ordering twenty (20) here and sixteen (16) here, we're gonna order thirty-six (36). Well, those thirty-six (36) could be used globally. You see what I'm saying? Like flushing toilets and now we have thirty-six (36) toilets, we can flush them any time we want, any way we want. So now, he has the ability in a disaster to bump up to twenty-four (24) lines and using some of these resources here and vice versa. But we're not paying for extra resources at each site for the what-ifs. We've got the what-ifs. We've got this balancing act that we're going. And if we see we need to add in an emergency, if we see we need to add, unlike today those are hard lines coming in. We're going to look at services that are more dynamic that we can call the provider and say, hey, we need ten (10) more circuits right now. And they can turn them on instead of having to physically come out. Right now, all of our connections are physical. They're just a physical hard connection. Well, to get a provider to turn that on would be dispatching a truck and rolling them out. Are they going to be able to do that after hours? Most likely not. So, again that flexibility to the dynamics is very important as we look forward and without being trapped into a five (5) year contract as well. Because, look, it changes. You know, if you're not dynamic you can't change with it. But, I mean the same thing was with the switches, when we really did the switch over, we were asked to submit a proposal. They said, well, why was your proposal so different than these proposals? And I said, well, I'll look at them. Well, the switch proposals we're given were based on the same infrastructure you have right now. In other words, we have a closet of users that are all connected together. It's like a big connection network. And those users connect back at a thousand (1,000) miles an hour, right? Well, there's ninety (90) users in a closet and they connect down to the server at one thousand (1,000) miles an hour. So, ninety-six thousand (96,000) is coming to a point they have to share in one thousand (1,000) connections. Well, that doesn't make any sense, does it? I mean, I mean if I total that up that's ninety-six thousand (96,000) sharing a thousand (1,000). Well, why would you do that? Well, the new switches were exactly the same. So we said, well, that doesn't prepare us for the future at all. In fact, it's silly even right now. So, our switch design that we presented them started at twenty thousand (20,000) miles per hour connecting back to the server with the capability of adding twenty's (20's) as we grow. So now, we look at the new internet service we're getting. You know, the new County-wide internet service we're getting, and let's say they can hand us off a bigger internet connection. We have the ability to use it. So we're, you know, you want to spend the money wisely and not foolishly either. And that, that proposal ironically is less than the other switch proposals that they had gotten, and that's what brought up the conversation. How can you be less if your design is different?

Roger Emmons: So, I think the bottom line is, if we're going to provide the most efficient services to the citizens of the County, you've got to have this system in place. You've got to have a new phone system. And to have it in this day and age, you have to have the right network switches and IT connections.

David Shipley: Right.

Councilman Overton: Thanks for that answer. That was very thorough and it was, no, I appreciate that.

Roger Emmons: He's a little wordy, but he knows his stuff.

Councilman Overton: No, no, I mean...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Just out of curiosity, what is the longevity of the battery backup for, if the power went out?

David Shipley: You mean hours of run time if the power goes out?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Yes.

David Shipley: Okay, so it's all based on how much you plug into it. So, I mean what we try to do is size our battery backups to get about an hour and a half (1 ½) of run time. Now, if I put a bigger battery system in or I plug a lot of stuff in, I mean, you take the system the other way. What you kind of have to weigh is in that hour and a half (1 ½), I'm going to really know what my master plan is. I mean I'm going to have to adapt and say, okay, this is a huge disaster. We're not going to be able to sustain this building. So, it doesn't matter if it lasts longer. In this disaster it's unsustainable right here. The resources make this unsustainable. We're going to have to go to Vanderburgh County and operate out of Vanderburgh County. Because we had the tornado hit our path. You see what I'm saying?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Yeah.

David Shipley: Now, if it was a medium incident where trees fell down on something and we can dispatch the right resources and we can create a system. Whether that's the generator you have or whatever it is to mitigate that within that hour and a half (1 ½) and make a decision or move resources or something to that effect. But you really don't want to build a system of battery backup that lasts you a day. Because if we have to be there, then that means we have a bigger problem than just the power and you're paying for something you really don't, you ultimately won't use is the way we look at it. There's a level of spending that is economically based versus overspending.

Councilman Metzger: One (1) other area you touched on, David, was the video arraignment hearings?

David Shipley: Right, so, so in part of this conversation, I said, you know, unfortunately everything that I see here has been looked at with blinders on is the best way I can say it. The, and I'm not picking on anybody, but the Prosecutor over there said, hey, I don't like your internet so I'm going to get my own internet. Or I need it to be more secure, or something, whatever the reason was. This was the previous Prosecutor. So he signed up on his own internet at the cost of a couple hundred dollar a month. I said, well, who gave you the authority to do that? Not like I have the authority, but who gave you the authority to do that? Because you're spending money. Now, you've committed to two hundred dollars ($200.00) a month. What's going on here? Well, I needed this. Well, that's pretty blinders looking in my opinion. Well, we were looking at that, I, I was informed that they were looking at a first appearance system. Which is super smart. In fact, every county does it. Instead of transporting a prisoner from the Jail to here and back and using resources and reliability of an officer being on the road, basically you have a video camera system for that initial appearance before the Judge. So, he never leaves the Jail. He never transports. The problem was, is nobody was asking the questions to the IT Department here and saying, hey, we want to do this. Is your network healthy enough? What decisions are you making when you're doing that for what we're going to do here? And most likely what would have happened is a whole 'nuther infrastructure would have been created at a whole 'nuther cost. Now, do I believe the video first appearance is necessary? You should have had it years ago; that's how necessary it was. We're behind the times. The problem is we can't isolate each decision that we make. We have to look globally and say, you want to make that decision, let's bring the IT Department in, and let's find out how we can implement that into our infrastructure. And if you change the infrastructure, how can we benefit other departments at the same time? Well, the length that we're looking at establishing for the phone system to be redundant, would be the same length that the video first appearance would go over. So that cost of that length for that phone system linking up for that redundancy and sharing the dial tone now absorbs the cost of the first appearance length. You still need hardware, but now I don't have to buy another circuit to get that done at another monthly cost. And that's thinking bigger picture globally. You know, when I said, when I advised, I said, look, you have an IT Department. Every IT decision should go through that department, number one (1). Number two (2), he should have a core group of people, references, vendors, whatever you want to call them, that he takes that to and says, okay guys, you know, we're looking at doing this. I need feedback before I make these decisions so we don't hurt anything and we don't add expense at the same time and globally help the solution. And so that, that, you know, I said, hey, I need to be involved in that or somebody needs to be involved in that, because I don't want you adding internet connections and monthly costs for that when it could be built into what you ultimately already have. So, anyway, it doesn't make me the expert, but I've been doing this for...

Councilman Overton: I don't know. I was thinking of getting you a job application. (Inaudible).

David Shipley: I've been doing this for over thirty-six (36) years and Type A personality drives me to look at bigger things.

Councilman Overton: Nothing wrong with Type A personality.

David Shipley: Thanks, Brad.

Councilman Reid: Got one (1) more question for you. You mentioned Solid Waste having the lines out there. I believe they pay their own utilities.

David Shipley: They do.

Councilman Reid: So, would you prorate that?

David Shipley: So, there's a couple factors there. They would have a hardware cost to be on our system. In other words, they would have to buy their telephones. Right? And they need, I think, six (6) telephones. And they would have to buy those wireless dish bridges to link the two (2) buildings together. So they're going to have a hardware cost, right? Now, the question is, yes, in that, their dial tone costs is virtually going to go away. Now, what do we do with that? Do we say, you can put your hardware on our phone system and we're going to have the cost of dial tone no matter what so we're not going to charge you? I don't know, Richard. I don't know how you want to work that. But, there's really no sense in paying that, that money for dial tone when they could, they really don't need the number of lines they have.

Councilman Reid: No, they don't.

David Shipley: I don't want to say that, but they're not on the phone that much. I mean, honestly. But, so they, there's going to be almost no noticeable difference having them on the system that you have. Is it worth charging them something for dial tone or not? Is it worth the paperwork? Is it worth anything? Even long distance doesn't cost. I mean, today, it's, well, with some of the new providers, they give it away free. I think your current provider still charges point 'o-two cents ($0.02) per minute or something.

Councilman Metzger: So, that's similar to what happened at the Sheriff's and Animal Control?

David Shipley: Right, so that's what, with the Animal Control, Child Services, Highway...

Unknown: Court Services.

Roger Emmons: Court Services.

Unknown: Highway Department.

Roger Emmons: Storm Water.

David Shipley: Highway Department and Storm Water.

Roger Emmons: Storm Water's just...

David Shipley: What we did was we eliminated all four (4) of their internet connections and we shared the Sheriff's Department internet connection and they, they don't even know that they're on it. You know, they don't even know that, and their internet is slower because of it and they don't even know that they're on it. Well, when, when the phone system gets deployed and we put phones in those four (4) buildings, they'll work over the same connection at the Sheriff's Department then connection over to here and we'll be able to not only just intercom them and we'll have voicemail and all these great features, but, but their phone dial tone costs will go to zero (0). Because their number will just merge into the system. And we can do that day one (1) even though this is under contract and they're not. We can capture their number and put it on this for the remainder of our contract. So, we can recoup their dial tone costs day one (1). Now, do they pay that separately or not? They're, they're, some of their bills are on your bill. But, some of them are not, because they're through Spectrum and through a different service than your current bill here. So the ones that aren't, they can be eliminated day one (1).

Councilman Overton: I tell you what, I'm going to make a motion to approve this four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00) from the Rainy Day Fund.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Have a motion by Brad. Second by David to approve the four hundred thousand dollar ($400,000.00) Additional Appropriation. Discussion? If not, I'll call for a vote. All those in favor? And that's all six of us. (Motion carried 6-0). Thank you.

Roger Emmons: Thank you very much.

Councilman Overton: Thanks for your time. Appreciate it.

(*Several speaking at once*).

TRANSFER
CARE PATIENTS – CARE TB PATIENTS

Councilman Richmond: Next up, Commissioner Business, 2B, Transfer from the 31400, Contractual Services, to Care of TB Patients, four hundred twenty-seven dollars and twenty cents ($427.20).

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Roger Emmons: Just for a point of clarification, the, the entire amount, when we first, we had a thirty dollar ($30.00) claim for an x-ray for a TB Patient. We had two hundred dollars ($200.00) or two hundred dollars ($200.00) initially that we were going to transfer to the Care TB Patients. We got another bill for the three-ninety-seven-twenty ($397.20) for a health insurance claim. We added these and advised Krystal that we would take it all out of Contractual Services, you know, the transfer. Correct?

Krystal Powless: Yes.

Roger Emmons: I talked to her about it today.

Councilman Richmond: Okay, I have a motion by Brad and second by David. Any discussion? All those in favor? That's all six of us. (Motion carried 6-0). Thank you.

Roger Emmons: Thank you very much.

Councilman Overton: Thank you, Roger.

Councilman Richmond: Thank you, Roger.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUSINESS
PARK BOND – FRIEDMAN PARK EVENT CENTER

Councilman Richmond: Now we have, they're all kind of related, 3A, B, and C, to discuss the, 3A we'll start with, Economic Development, Additional Appropriation from Park Bond, a hundred fifty thousand dollars ($150,000.00), a (inaudible) Park Bond, a hundred fifty thousand dollars ($150,000.00). And to Friedman Park Event Center, eight hundred fifty thousand dollars ($850,000.00) for a total of one million dollars ($1,000,000.00). Then there's some transfers that we'll come to next.

Steve Roelle: Good evening, Steve Roelle, Economic Development. So, we do have several items on the agenda, 3A, B, C, and D. And I think they're all connected. I believe the Council's familiar with our project. I've sent a lot of information over. Handed out a lot of information, and had conversations so I'm going to try to give a quick summary of it and then we can address all the different requests that we're making today. I'm here to talk about the Friedman Park Event Center. And while it's kind of been public knowledge for the last two (2) days, because it was out in the media; it was on t.v. and in the paper. Part of that reason was the State, we're waiting for the State to announce that we were the recipient of a two million dollar ($2,000,000.00) Regional Cities Grant for this project and had to hold off until they

made their announcement before we went public with it. Behind the scenes, we've been working on this for several months. I've been working on it since I started, and I've been in communication with all the key stakeholders about this project passing along updates. And we've been working hard behind the scenes to keep this project moving forward and also to make sure that this two million dollar ($2,000,000.00) grant came to Warrick County. So, in a nutshell, the Friedman Park Event Center is a sixteen thousand (16,000) square foot modern lodge facility that encompasses event, conference, and community space for Warrick County. It's located on Parks Department property within Friedman Park. It's in the perfect location within Friedman Park allowing it to take advantage of everything that Friedman Park has to offer while also being able to host a private function and not interfere with the public's use of Friedman Park allowing us to keep the Park fully open while events and other things are going on. The building itself was designed for a variety of versatility. It was designed to handle large events. Consider a thousand (1,000) person, attendants for a guest speaker or for a presentation. A five hundred (500) person plated fundraiser or wedding. It's also for smaller events. It's got a classroom for educational programming, small group events, and also breakout space like a conference room and a board room for breakout business space and smaller meetings. So everything from five (5) person events all the way up to a thousand (1,000) and beyond. Especially when you incorporate the other features that the park has to offer, an outdoor amphitheater, a whole bunch of green space, it really makes the possibility of what we can host there unlimited. The big why of why we're doing this; the first point is really quality of life. Quality of life is important to our current residents. It's important to our future residents. And from an Economic Development standpoint, quality of life is more important than ever. We hear from employer after employer, it's a competitive marketplace out there. Quality of life features help go into where people decide to work and live. So from that feature, we feel it's very important. We feel there is a demand and need here in Warrick County for this type of space. There's no other facility like this currently in Warrick County. And when going out and looking at the demand and need, we feel it benefits our residents. We feel it benefits our businesses. It even benefits our students. And what I mean by that is currently people are leaving Warrick County to host their own events and to go to functions that this facility can take care of. Several people in this room I'm sure can stand up and have been to Warrick County weddings in Huntingburg and Jasper and New Harmony and downtown Evansville because we don't have a facility here to host those types of functions. Whether it's weddings or whether it's businesses, if we talk to Shari who's here with the Chamber, she gets requests every single week from our small business community here in the County looking for meeting space. Looking for breakout space. Looking to host a regional meeting or something like that. We don't have it. I mentioned students. If you want to look at our students, we have three (3) high schools in the County. All three (3) of them for their proms last year left Warrick County. Two (2) of them went to downtown Evansville, and one of them went to a facility by the Evansville Regional Airport. So the demand and need is there. On a side note, just as a side, this went out Tuesday morning to the public and when the Parks Department showed up on Tuesday morning to work, there was already a message to rent this facility. We've had requests as we've worked through this. People, businesses, and other that are looking to know where and when they can sign up to use it. A quick history of the grant and even of the Event Center, is the Event Center was part of the original Friedman Park plan in 2015. It was part of the original pitch that our four (4) County region made to the State of Indiana in order to get regional cities funding. A picture and a map of Friedman Park with an event facility was on the cover of that presentation. It was part of that original idea. The four (4) County region around us was awarded forty-two million dollars ($42,000,000.00). In order to give out State grants to transformative projects, Warrick County was the beneficiary of three (3) grants totaling a little less than six million dollars ($6,000,000.00) of that forty-two million ($42,000,000.00). One (1) was the medical, the Wellness Trail, what we're calling the medical corridor to put in that road and that infrastructure. It's been a huge success. It's lead to over three hundred million dollars ($300,000,000.00) of new facilities and new investments, world class medical care coming to Warrick County and over a thousand (1,000) new jobs. Another two million dollars ($2,000,000.00) went to Warrick Trails which is a non-profit Trails group here in our community. And they are building trails and facilities from Newburgh out in the County, Boonville, and working on new a trail in Lynnville. And they're having success and connecting our schools and neighborhoods for quality of life. And the third grant that we received was for what was called the Greenlife Project I'm sure a lot of people are familiar with. The original Event Center idea, and I wasn't around for that so I don't know really the background, but it got shifted to the Greenlife Program/Project which was a residential community unlike anything that we had currently in Warrick County that was going to be east of Victoria National. That two million dollars ($2,000,000.00) went to Greenlife and that project sat for 2015, '16, '17. In December, they basically told the regional Cities they can't meet the deadlines of that grant. That money was pulled from Warrick County, went back into the general pool, and was re-put out to all four (4) communities, all four (4) counties on a very accelerated grant timeline to get that two million dollars ($2,000,000.00). So that's when we kind of brought back the original need and the original thing that we wanted for Friedman Park. Brought back the Event Center concept. Went after this grant. Tried to keep this money in Warrick County. Put together a grant, went in front of live presentations, and was awarded a hundred percent (100%) of what was available, the two million dollars ($2,000,000.00) against other projects that were outside of our community and outside of our County.

Councilman Reid: Good job.

Steve Roelle: So, that's a little bit of the background, and we've been, we've been working hard on it. The current status is we've been proactive behind the scenes and we've been aggressive. Because we know when you get a grant, it's awesome. But you're also on a deadline of when you have to spend that grant money. So this project is set for 2020 in July to have a ribbon cutting and be open. That's a really short window for something like this. So we are, we've got a great project team put together. We've been working behind the scenes as much as we can to move it forward. We've already got an Interlocal Agreement which we'll talk about here shortly with the Parks Department that we need when two (2) County Departments work together on a project like this. We've been in front of the BZA because the zoning would need to be changed for Friedman Park just to add a fifth structure outside of the amphitheater and the two (2) shelters. We've been in front of the BZA and all that stuff takes time and kind of time that we didn't have. So, while we've been working behind the scenes, we've been trying to be as proactive as possible in reaching out to people, stakeholders. Whether that's the Council or the Commissioners or the Parks Department or the adjoining homeowners around Friedman Park that are going to be affected by it. Been letting everybody in on what's been going on that entire time. And that brings us I guess to why we're here. Today is, we're asking for some funding to complete this project. The total budget for this facility for this building is about four point seven million dollars ($4,700,000.00). The biggest chunk of that funding is going to come from that two million dollar ($2,000,000.00) Regional Cities Grant. And so when we go through 3A, B, and C, I think it's just easier for me just to touch on what we're looking for and then I'll happily talk about any of them individually. Cause outside the Regional Cities Grant, we're looking for the Parks Department, several representatives from the Parks Department are here tonight. They are all on board and supportive of this facility. The Parks Department's issuing a Series A, 2019 Bond. And that, we're set

out of the Economic Development portion of EDIT. For this project, we originally as a side note, we set that up as an EDIT loan so that the Parks Board would have to pay back that eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($850,000.00) based on selling a piece of property to the west of Friedman Park. The, I want to make a note that in all of my conversations about the Events Center, the common consensus and the common concern had nothing to do with the actual structure or the building which people loved. It was nobody from Council to Commissioners to the Parks Department to myself, I'm an outdoor guy, at the end of the day, no one (1) really wants to sell that land. So, I just want to make it known that Economic Development from our standpoint, we're behind if the Council would rather not do that. It's going to be an eight hundred and fifty thousand dollar ($850,000.00) appropriation either way. But whether we don't want to tie it to selling the land, we can make it a straight appropriation. And we're perfectly fine with that, leaving that property in the Parks Department property, in the Parks Department hands for future recreational green space or development. As many people know, the space around Friedman Park is the fastest residential spot in Warrick County. And with our population, the only population growing in our four (4) County region, I would put money on that it's the fastest growing residential region in the four (4) County region. There are nine hundred and seventy-two (972) homes right at the footstep of Friedman Park since that Park went in that are either built, under construction, or planned. And all are within walking distance of the Park and this facility and the trails that are bridging out from it. So, there's an eight hundred and fifty thousand dollar ($850,000.00) appropriation. As Greg mentioned, there's also a transfer. It's a two hundred forty thousand dollar ($240,000.00) transfer we're asking for. There was two hundred forty thousand dollars ($240,000.00) appropriated to the Greenlife Project. We talked about, that was the project that basically as we stand today is dead. And that's why that two million dollars ($2,000,000.00) was released back to the four (4) Counties to go after for a grant. So that two hundred forty ($240,000.00) was half from Economic Development and half from the Highway Department. That was going to go to infrastructure and paving. We would like that shifted to the project we're doing and use that for our paving and for our infrastructure. So, that's the building. There's one (1) more piece of the funding puzzle is that we need to make a separate hundred and fifty thousand dollar ($150,000.00), a single hundred and fifty thousand dollar ($150,000.00) appropriation which we are calling out of the Economic Development portion of EDIT which we need that, that ties into the Parks Department issuing their 2019 Bonds. What that hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000.00) is for is basically the Parks Department has an existing bond that does not expire for two and a half (2 ½) years. In order to issue a new Bond and get that funding for this project and our need and our deadlines that we're on, we're going to use it as what they call a wraparound bond. What it does is put five (5) interest payments that we would have to make to keep the Parks annual paid bond payment and the tax rate at the status quo and not affect that. So that's five (5) payments over two and a half (2 ½) years that we would be making out of that appropriation to not affect those rates. And that's kind of in a nutshell, that's what we're asking for today to bring this project that we think is a game changer for Warrick County, that we have a large State grant funding a whole bunch of it, and will benefit our entire community.

Councilman Richmond: Can I ask if there's a motion first? And then we can discuss it.

Councilman Metzger: Sure, I'll make a motion.

Councilman Reid: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. Motion by Ted and second by Rick Reid.

Cliff Whitehead: What's the motion?

Councilman Richmond: The motion needs to address 3A, B, and C. Is that okay? The transfers and the additionals?

Councilman Metzger: Fine. Fine.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. Now, discussion?

Councilman Reid: I've got a question for Steve.

Steve Roelle: Yeah.

Councilman Reid: Is furnishings inside of that part of that, the estimate of all the construction too?

Steve Roelle: They're built into that, yes. Yep.

Councilman Reid: Yeah, all the kitchen equipment (inaudible)...

Steve Roelle: Kitchen equipment is in there as well. And that, that line item is broken down, that budget's broken down as to what we are spending on everything from if you want to know the dormer's cost or how many tons of rip rap we're using which I think is like two hundred (200). But it's all broken down there as far as costs.

Councilman Reid: I really feel like your estimate is kind of high, which is good because I think we should be able to do it a lot cheaper than that too.

Steve Roelle: I agree. We've been looking at, you know, we've been doing a lot of due diligence behind the fact. We've talked with Discovery Lodge. We've been out to Huntingburg. We've been by Deaconess's new facility which they built. We've been at City Lights in Evansville. We've been talking to everybody. We've been looking at how they manage their building, how they rent their stuff, what they're charging for stuff. The biggest renter of equipment, like tables and chairs and stuff, is T.R.U. Events. So facilities use the same stuff in case you have a larger event that wants to rent similar things from a mixing standpoint. But we've done a whole bunch of research on that, and you're absolutely right, we are still, we haven't picked out the exact tables or chairs or anything. This would obviously go to bid as well.

Councilman Metzger: Okay, well, I love the concept. If there's one (1) thing I don't like is selling the land. We need to hold on to that land because that's where Southern Indiana's largest aquatic center's going to sit. So, I would change this.

Steve Roelle: Well, I'm here for an Event Center today.

Councilman Overton: Dang, I say, is that coming up?

Steve Roelle: But, I'm a hundred percent (100%), as somebody that uses our Parks, from Lynnville Park to Scales Lake to Friedman Park, I'm a hundred percent (100%), if we can hold on to that land. We're never going to get that land back.

Councilman Metzger: Right. Do we need to change the wording in here somewhere to…?

Steve Roelle: I'll leave that to you guys on how that's worded. It was going to be an appropriation anyways. We had originally pitched it as a payback loan attached to the sale of the land. We can make it a straight appropriation. I'll leave it to you guys on how that wording should be.

Councilman Metzger: Yeah, the land was valued at more than what they're willing to sell it for. And we would never get it back at that price. It'd cost us two point four million dollars ($2,400,000.00) if we wanted it back.

Steve Roelle: We were not asking for every penny that it had appraised for.

Councilman Richmond: I'll ask the Counselor. Since this is listed as just an additional appropriation that's on our agenda…

Unknown: Right.

Councilman Richmond: That was advertised, is it okay to leave it alone and not make any changes? I mean originally it was presented to us to be kind of a loan paid back within a year. But that was not advertised that way, correct?

Cliff Whitehead: Correct. Yeah, so, regarding the appropriation, no, I don't believe anything needs to be changed. The motion is just to appropriate the funds. And I think even the Interlocal Agreement that I think will be subject to our approval if, if it's the Council's desire, it had a line that in essence if the Parks Department can come up with alternate funding and Herb and Johnathan Young is here that represents the Parks Department. If they can come up with alternate funding in place of the eight hundred fifty thousand ($850,000.00) they don't have to sell the land. So, long story short, I don't think we need to change any documents that are before the Council, is my opinion. And I'm seeing head nods from Herb Davis and Johnathon Young as well.

Councilman Metzger: It's still an appropriation. Great. Well, that's, that's what I'm looking at. So, that's why I want to make sure it continues.

Councilman Richmond: I think the Parks Department is in agreement and I've heard all of us say we don't really want the land to be sold.

Councilman Metzger: I think it's in our best interest. It's in the taxpayers, resident's best interest.

Councilman Overton: I have to say, I met with Steve on Monday and it was probably one (1) of the best conversations I've had for a while. Cause I was talking a little basketball and other stuff too.

Steve Roelle: I appreciate that.

Councilman Overton: We could have probably sat there and shot the crap for a little bit longer, the rest of the night, but it was getting late. You know, talking about basketball, I enjoyed that a lot. But and you know, I appreciate all the work you put into it. I mean, honestly, most things I'm not sitting here and I usually don't have a huge wrestling match one (1) way or the other on items. I usually can kind of come to things pretty quick. And finally took me a little clarity this morning out doing a little running. That seems to be where I get some of my thinking. I should probably carry a pen and paper around. Instead, I used leftover Star Wars calendar that I was making notes with here. So, and I agree, I think this facility is something that would be used and I think there's plenty of demand for it. However, I mean, I kind of feel like now I'm going into shark tank mode with Mark Cuban. I kind of got a list of things that I've got some points with and as everybody knows they're free to agree, disagree, or whatever you want to with them. But these are just some of the things, some of the things that I thought of. Honestly, and I, I, I think there's a lot of use for this facility. I'm not saying that. But some of the other things I've got here, and pardon me, they're a little jumbled, so I'm trying to put them together. I guess first off, the one (1) thing that I've got, one (1) point I'd like to make, are, just kind of bring up is the continuing maintenance of this facility and the fact that we would have to hire at least one (1) full time person to run that. And I think everybody'd be in agreement of that. If you, if this is approved and you don't hire a full time person to run it, I think we're all pretty foolish to think that. So, you know, conservatively, I mean, let's just say that we hire somebody and paid them fifty thousand ($50,000.00) plus benefits. So you're looking at roughly about seventy thousand dollars ($70,000.00) a year for that. I mean if we just said, or Ben…you wanting that job already if that's what it's paying? But, and I think Steve and I kind of talked and I mean this number, I mean granted, we don't have numbers, I mean that's one (1) thing. But I mean, kind of it looks like and I mean, we kind of passed around the number maybe conservatively clearing a hundred thousand dollars ($100,000.00) a year on this thing.

Steve Roelle: On top of paying for salaries.

Councilman Overton: Yeah, on top of paying for everything else.

Steve Roelle: Fixed costs and those are very conservative estimates.

Councilman Overton: Coming out a hundred thousand ($100,000.00). So, I mean, that's kind of looking at that number. I mean that's still, let's say you've got a hundred thousand ($100,000.00) and that's the two million ($2,000,000.00) or if, and even an appropriation from EDIT, it's still a million dollars ($1,000,000.00). I mean EDIT's not a magic fund that just appears out of nowhere. So, I mean you're roughly looking at twenty (20) years, hopefully, you know to finally recoup that if it does. So, I think that's one (1) thing. Another thing that I you know, just really would love

mean effort against you guys over there, but I wanted to see more, some money put back into that Park. I mean for one (1) thing, the building we have out there to host events, it could definitely use an overhaul. I mean, probably a hundred and fifty ($150,000.00), two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000.00) might come close to doing something for that. We have cabins out there that haven't been touched in probably twenty (20) to twenty-five (25) years. So, I mean there's a lot of things out there at Scales that I want to see us maintain and make better. You know, I've always kind of taken care of what you've got. If you can't maintain what you have, you know, I don't want to go out and buy another truck if I can't pay for the truck I've already got. So, I mean that's, that's another point. Another thing, and Steve and I kind of talked about this. I'm not a big fan of government. I don't think the government always does a real good job of running things. I think Ted, you'd probably agree with that and some of your ideological background as well. I'm not a big fan of the government controlling these things. And kind of to tie in with that, if that's my pro...my thought is, why hasn't any private entities looked at wanting to put together a facility to host these events. Instead it turns down to the local government having to come back and host it. So, I have an issue with that. I mean that, you know, I'm sure people have looked into this as well and I just kind of wonder if everything is so good and could work with that, why hasn't a private entity taken over and looked at that. Let's see, and I'm sorry for kind of rambling a bit here. And another thing, and this kind of ties up, we've just made a huge investment in this Courthouse which we needed. I mean I think everybody would completely agree with that. And that's roughly about a seven million dollar ($7,000,000.00) bond taken out on this Courthouse. And this work needed to be done. I think I may have even made the motion for that one (1). But I mean, I think that's something you've got a huge expense there. And yes, it's going to be cleared up in a few years, and we'll have that taken care of. But, I mean we've spent a very large amount of money on this project. Secondly, is the fiber project we just put out. We had some reference to that earlier. You know, that was, most things I'm usually not kind of speculating on what the abilities or what the capabilities could be. This fiber, yes, I think it sounds really good, but I mean do we have hard and fast numbers what this is going to do and everything? No, we've got a lot of good numbers and it looks good, you know, I mean, but ultimately we'll just have to see what happens with that. That was one (1) thing, I kind of tossed that back and forth, because I was a little concerned about spending that amount of money for something that I can't see a tangible, a tangible return immediately. That brings me into the, just the sheer aspect of Economic Development money. And I understand the whole making living better, making it a better place to live. I mean completely understand that. I get out and run. I'm out and doing things. I understand what it's like places where people don't want to be. So, I think it's good to have places where people can go out use. I get up to Lynnville and I kayak. I do just as much stuff or more than other people would do. But, you know, I want to see us bringing in jobs. And I know in a roundabout way this could possibly do that. But, I don't have near as much problem allocating five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000.00) if I know company 'XYZ' is coming in in six (6) months and they can tell me, hey, we're bringing in eight hundred and fifty (850) jobs and they're going to be paying twenty-five bucks ($25.00) an hour. You know what, I see a tangible return right there. I mean that's something I can see immediately and I can see widgets coming off the line. That's something I can see. I mean, so, I mean it's really hard for me on this thing. I think there's a lot of benefits to it, but I mean I've got some, my doubts as well as to the, you know, being a hundred percent (100%) behind this. And I just wanted to put my thoughts out...

Steve Roelle: Can I quickly, would you like, can I address a couple of those?

Councilman Overton: Yeah, feel free. Go ahead.

Steve Roelle: Really briefly.

Councilman Overton: Yeah.

Steve Roelle: A couple of notes and correct me if I'm wrong cause I just took very brief notes. But one (1) was about hiring someone. I think we're all in agreement. Someone's going to have to manage this building. We've looked at, we've done due diligence. We've looked at facilities that are run privately, corporately, by non-profits, and by Parks Departments. The easiest, just like real estate, you look at comparables. Discovery Lodge is the most comparable. It's run by Vanderburgh Parks Department. It's in a public park. And we talked about some of those numbers.

Councilman Overton: We did.

Steve Roelle: On a very conservative level and they have, they happily opened their books to us, and I don't feel comfortable sharing all of their numbers, but we talked about that, their numbers. It will cut, this facility we are confident will cover itself and then on a conservative level be at a low six (6) figures above that. After fixed costs, after maintenance, after staffing. And we looked at a whole bunch of ways you staff facilities and use third party cleaning companies that are built into rental fees. We've looked at a lot of those different issues. Some of those decisions, you're right, we don't have it all flushed out. But we have a lot of information. So, hiring somebody and this money, this building turning a, being cash positive, I'm not worried about that. It ties into your other point about building up Scales Lake. I think you can ask all three (3) people from the Parks Department, I am the Parks Department's biggest fan. I'm a Parks user. I have a great relationship. I'm all for that. I'm a Scales Lake user. And so I'm on board with that. I think I will turn that around to you as the Council and to you Brad specifically that if this thing starts generating 'x' amount of dollars above what it costs to run, let's set it up so that some of that money goes back to the Parks Department to actually do Capital Improvement Projects. Let them forecast and budget new projects and improvements and stuff like that. Let's put some of that money that it generates, instead of adding other monies to the Parks Department, let's put some of that money right back into the Parks Department and give them that incentive to come to Council with improvements for Scales Lake or Vann Road Park or other stuff that they want to do. And let's set it up in a way that they can benefit from booking...

Councilman Overton: I mean I have got, speaking for myself, no problem with allocating whatever's coming in and finding a way to (inaudible)...

Steve Roelle: And so your other point was about recouping the dollars and I think you're looking at it as recouping one dollar ($1.00) to one dollar ($1.00). And so when we do a public, public quality of life kind of facility; when we extended Oak Grove Road, it cost a million dollars ($1,000,000.00). We weren't getting a million dollars ($1,000,000.00) back. There's no toll on Oak Grove Road. We weren't getting one (1) for one (1). We got a whole bunch of homes and the Park was able to be developed and opened up. And nine hundred seventy-two (972) homes, when they're sold that's two hundred and forty-eight million dollars ($248,000,000.00) in residential value. And that's two point five million dollars ($2,500,000.00) in new property taxes. So, I do believe we get that back. I believe our residents benefit from it

immediately. Our future residents benefit from it. And I believe the County will benefit from it. And as far as the point you made on jobs, I understand. Old school Economic Development, we talked about that as well.

Councilman Overton: We did.

Steve Roelle: We want to develop the Warrick County Industrial Park and we have a goal to do that. I think, I think the two (2) can happen at once. And when I'm talking to Deaconess or St. Vincent's, they are trying desperately, ALCOA, trying desperately to hire people that have a choice to live where they want to live. New surgeons, nurses, support staff, etcetera, and they can move to Grand Rapids, Michigan or they can move to Warrick County, Indiana. Our employers that we want to keep here, and a lot of those employers are expanding. We've got new facilities coming. We have new St. Vincent and Deaconess facilities in Boonville. We've got stuff going on along the Wellness Corridor. It is, I understand your point completely. But it is a piece of the Economic Development puzzle. It is expansion and keeping the jobs in the competitive market when our current employers that are here and contributing to Warrick County are saying, hey, it's a really competitive market out there. All we're hearing is quality of life. Quality of life goes into a lot of things. The fact that we have an awesome school system, helps quality of life. It helps people decide to move here. The fact that we have added Parks, recreational, and other things move to the top of people's lists, really helps us. So, I think those are most of your points. I know you said we've spent some money on the Courthouse and fiber and all that. For what we are asking for, for what we're getting from the State for the returns we are going to get for this. We have those funds. We do a whole bunch of forecasting in Economic Development. We have those funds for the eight hundred fifty ($850,000.00) appropriation to put into this project for Warrick County. So that, I know you've been on the fence and I agree with you. I had a wonderful conversation and wonderful meeting. So, I understand your points. I think, I think we can run this facility correctly. I think we can set the Parks Department up for success. And the other side of it is, this facility, it's going to generate jobs for photographers, jobs for caterers, jobs. We're not going to be doing any of that stuff. All the people that come and put on events and other people in our community that are going to be employed and booked and everything else. It's going to generate business for people that are currently living in Warrick County.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: What about options for naming rights? What about that language in the contract? And, I mean, that would be...

Steve Roelle: That is certainly on the table and that's something that had been talked about. I think that naming rights for a facility, if we look around our community, it doesn't matter whether it's a football field or if it's a new building, naming rights is an important thing. I think that in my personal opinion, the biggest point for the Parks Department or whatever to get naming rights on this facility, is next year when it opens and the web.com tour shows up. The Web.com Tour is coming for ten (10) years in a row. We met with them today. Starting next year when this building opens, they're looking to make Friedman Park the main public entrance to that tournament. Making that kind of the welcoming center for everybody that's coming to our community, it's going to get t.v. eyeballs. It's going to get visitors from around the State, around the Nation, and around the globe, internationally for this championship that we're going to host for ten (10) years. So, at that point or when we get close to that point, I think if we want to get the most value for naming rights or for that facility, if I had to weigh where that leverage point is, to me that's the biggest point. Because we are lucky to have that tournament coming. The Economic Development study out of USI is that this is going to put ten ($10,000,000.00) to twelve million dollars ($12,000,000.00) of economic impact in our region every single year. And we met today with them to see how Warrick County and our businesses can capitalize and help leverage the visitors and the guests and dollars that will be coming into our community. So, it's certainly on the table and certainly something to figure out. I think our best point is not when we have a picture on a paper. It's right there when everybody sees the impact that this is going to have.

Councilman Overton: I'll just make a couple more points and I'll be done. The only other thing I just did want to kind of mention is the fact that extending out this bond for an additional time frame. I mean that's in the roughly hundred and fifty ($150,000.00), hundred ninety something thousand ($190,000.00) for that bond...

Steve Roelle: A hundred and eighty ($180,000.00) to a hundred and ninety ($190,000.00).

Councilman Overton: Yeah, that we're continuing to make. And then, and the one (1) thing, and this, and this is the one (1) thing that kind of bothers me on a smaller level though, is about three (3) or four (4) months ago, wrestled up here because Ben wanted to get a truck repaired and we fought and drug to get him a new transmission for a truck for roughly twenty-five thousand ($25,000.00) or for the vehicle, instead we ended up getting him the transmission. And I just, in some ways there's a part of me that that just bothers that we spent a lot of time looking into that and wrestling over that transmission and I don't know just kinds of puts things into perspective for me. I mean, Steve, I think you've done a good job and with all this, I mean it's hard for me, it's just like I said. It's a hard thing for me to wrestle with. It's not been easy.

Steve Roelle: Well, as we move forward, I'd like you to be on the committee to try to push, push, push...

Councilman Overton: Yeah, whatever, whatever happens, whatever happens with this, you and I, I want to continue (inaudible) good relationship.

Steve Roelle: Revenue and funds because I can see your passion for the Parks, and we asked the Parks Department here today. This is the facility that they, this is the capital improvement project that is here today to support and to get for our community.

Councilman Reid: I think it's a great location with, it's not even in Ohio Township. It's in Boon Township. Ohio Township has two-thirds (2/3) of the population down there. So, something ought to be down in Ohio Township.

Steve Roelle: It is in Boon Township. If anybody hasn't been to Friedman Park, then shame on you.

Councilman Reid: Yeah, it's great.

Steve Roelle: It's probably (8) eight minutes from here down ALCOA highway. Like you said, right off Oak Grove

Councilman Richmond: I would like to add, previous Council President had pushed for some revenue to be generated by the Parks Department for years. Now, we have an opportunity.

Councilman Reid: That's true.

Councilman Metzger: And it could help to keep taxes lower for the taxpayers here in the County. I look at it as an asset. I think there's a real need for it here and that's, this is what that money was collected for to help communities achieve the things they need that they can't achieve on their own. So, it just fits everywhere.

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, back in the early '90's when Economic Development tax was started, the taxpayers were told that it would help the infrastructure, repair roads, and also increase jobs. Then about 2000, when that rate was increased, taxpayers were told that they needed an Industrial Park and it would create more jobs for Warrick County. I can't really see where it's going to create any jobs for Warrick County out of Economic Development money what it was created for. We both, Warrick County also had two (2) other Parks they couldn't afford to maintain, so they donated them back to the Cities. The Cities took them over. Also too, we subsidized Scales Lake cause it doesn't pay for itself. There's also been two (2) other centers that, well, have shut down because there's not enough use out of it that wasn't making money. They were privately owned. Of course, we've also had another one (1) that sold twice. And they're in the management business and can't make money out of it. And I don't see where all totaled where it's going to be making any money. I was in favor of a building put up when I first heard we were going to get the two million dollars ($2,000,000.00) and then when it sprung over we're going to spend another two point seven-five ($2,750,000.00) at least and that's not figured it's definite yet. I, we keep bleeding Economic Development money and that's not really, in my idea, what taxpayers were told it was for and what we're not doing. And I don't believe Warrick County needs to be in the hospitality business. Be more than welcome as far as helping somebody that wanted to do that. But I feel if this is a very, if it's profitable somebody else would have already done it.

Steve Roelle: Well, again, I can only go back to the fact that Economic Development has changed. I mean quality of life is a big beast. Ask anyone. You talk about jobs and employers. We can go out and talk to every one (1) of our employers from ALCOA and Deaconess all the way down the road. So, I guess I'll have to disagree and say that I believe that it does help our community. It does help Economic Development.

Councilman Hachmeister: I didn't say that. I didn't say that.

Steve Roelle: Okay.

Councilman Hachmeister: As far as helping the community...

Steve Roelle: And I agree with you with the Industrial Parks. We had two (2) Industrial Parks. The one (1) in Boonville filled up as far as for all the stuff that we had. And when I, when we met together, I can't disagree. We need to put another focus on the Northwest Industrial Park. But again, I don't think it's one (1) or the other. I looked at the forecast. I looked at what we can do. I think we can move forward with multiple projects at a time and keep the progress that we have in Warrick County moving forward.

Councilman Richmond: Is there any more discussion? No? I think we ought to call for question. All those in favor?

Councilman Hachmeister: We voting on all of them?

Councilman Richmond: One (1), two (2), three (3), on the three (3) of them. Yeah. One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). All those opposed? Two (2). Let me write this down. Four (4), yes, two (2), no. The two (2) nays were Brad and David. (Motion carried 4-2 with David Hachmeister and Brad Overton opposing).

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT
WARRICK COUNTY PARKS DEPARTMENT – ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Councilman Richmond: Next is the Interlocal Agreement. In reading this, number nine (9), I think is the wishes of the Council not to be there.

Cliff Whitehead: (Inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: It, number nine (9) in the Interlocal Agreement, sale of land owned by Parks. Parks shall promptly take efforts to sell approximately forty-five (45) acres of real estate owned by the Parks and adjacent to Friedman Park.

Cliff Whitehead: Right, so the last sentence of that paragraph or Section 9, says notwithstanding anything to the contrary if Parks is able to contribute two hundred and fifty thousand ($250,000.00) to the line item established in 5A, of the agreement from a source other than the sale of the adjacent land, Parks will not be obligated to sell the adjacent land. I think my interpretation of that is if the eight hundred fifty thousand ($850,000.00) which has now been appropriated to EDIT comes from EDIT to the Parks to pay for that, therefore they don't have to sell the adjacent land. So, long story short, I think the agreement still is in line with the Council's desires has been moved forward as discussed tonight. Long story short, we're not going to sell the land.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: We do need to consider something as far as naming rights if that were to come and that money, what would happen with that money? Am I correct?

Steve Roelle: I mean I think that's something to...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: In that agreement?

Steve Roelle: That's, I don't think, I don't think that was in there.

Unknown: It doesn't have to be.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: It doesn't have to be, but it probably should be.

Steve Roelle: Okay. Again, I think we're at a kind of a one (1) year waiting period on naming rights. So, if we want to I'd love to see that personally. Money, you know, help the Parks Department out. I think several people expressed keeping our Parks funded and up to speed.

Councilman Overton: I think even, I hate to make assumptions, but probably even if we did mention that, I mean I think everybody on this table would be supportive of that, of giving the money back. You know, I don't ever think we would come back and say not to do that. That's what I'm saying. I mean if this would push you guys back, just wondering, would that push that out if we had to rewrite this?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: (Inaudible) would delay the contract. He's asking if we placed something in the contract about the naming rights, would that delay moving forward with the project. Is that right?

Councilman Overton: Yeah.

Cliff Whitehead: I thought, I thought the naming rights, I'm trying to, technology is failing me right now, but I thought that's...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Yeah, the WiFi's went down.

Cliff Whitehead: Is it not in there?

Steve Roelle: Herb and Johnathon are both here, so I'll turn that; I'm not an attorney. So...

Cliff Whitehead: And I think what, and I discussed this with Herb Davis, I think, it's not in the contract. You're absolutely right. I think when it had the caveat that I just read about other sources for the eight hundred fifty ($850,000.00), I think that was discussed. But again, you're right. It's not in there, yet. If it's the Council's desire to modify or amend then I think now is the time to do so before we approve that Interlocal Agreement is my opinion. But, Herb, you can speak to that as well. I don't want to approve something then try to amend it later. I would think that...

Councilman Overton: Could you just do that tonight, is what...?

Cliff Whitehead: We could in kind of a loose that we, I guess it'd be your motion or what you want us or how you want us to amend it.

Councilman Richmond: To reimburse Economic Development the eight hundred fifty ($850,000.00) or whatever the naming rights turn out to be?

Cliff Whitehead: Is that your...? And I don't want to put words in your mouth.

Krystal Powless: No, I...go ahead.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: To reimburse, to reimburse that amount of money back to the Council, correct?

Steve Roelle: To EDIT?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: To EDIT. Yeah, to EDIT.

Councilman Richmond: EDIT, yeah. That's what it's coming out of. Yeah.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Yeah.

Herb Davis: Herb Davis for Economic Development. The agreement's actually already been signed. The Interlocal Agreement's been signed by the Commissioners on behalf of the Economic Development Department. It's been signed by the Parks Board. So we have an existing agreement. We can go back and amend it later if you guys want us to. We certainly can do that.

Councilman Richmond: Question, did I read something about this speeds things up the State Attorney General or something like that?

Herb Davis: We do not have to seek Attorney General approval if you approve the Interlocal Agreement.

Councilman Richmond: So, we should really be approving this.

Herb Davis: We need you to approve the Interlocal Agreement so we don't have to seek that additional approval.

Councilman Overton: I make a motion to approve.

Councilman Metzger: I'll second it.

Councilman Richmond: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Ted to approve the Interlocal Agreement between Warrick County and the Parks Department. Is that right?

Herb Davis: Yep.

Councilman Richmond: Any questions or discussion? All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5). And all those opposed? One (1), David. (Motion carried 5-1 with David Hachmeister opposing). Okay. Thank you.

Councilman Richmond: Good discussion.

SHERIFF BUSINESS
RETIREE

Councilman Richmond: Sheriff's Business, Amended Salary Ordinance, Cook Retiree, four thousand seventy-three dollars and thirty cents ($4,073.30).

Councilman Overton: Make a motion to approve. This is based upon the Employee Handbook.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion made by Brad. Second by David. All those in favor? That's six, zero (6-0).

Councilman Hachmeister: Hey, you're not done yet.

Councilman Richmond: Sheriff.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Getting your steps in.

Councilman Overton: Wait, was that not...?

Councilman Richmond: And that was the Salary Ordinance

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Sheriff Mike Wilder.

Councilman Overton: (Inaudible) motion.

Councilman Hachmeister: Just one (1) as far as...

Councilman Richmond: I should have put them both together.

Councilman Hachmeister: I'm just speaking for myself and hopefully the Council as well. I commend your two (2) officers on the award they just received three (3) or four (4) weeks ago.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Oh, yeah. Paul Kruse and Derrick Miller.

Councilman Hachmeister: Kruse and Miller, yes.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Received that from the Department of Justice for an investigation.

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah.

Councilman Richmond: I hadn't turned the page. I'm sorry. We could have done both of those together. 4B, Additional Appropriation, Cook, four thousand seventy-three dollars and thirty cents ($4,073.30).

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad. Second by David. All those in favor? Six, zero. (Motion carried 6-0).

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Thank you.

Councilman Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

(April Appropriations are located on Page 19 of these Official Minutes)

RECORDER BUSINESS
TRANSFER POSTAGE TO PART-TIME

Councilman Richmond: And Recorder Business. It's a transfer from Postage, fifty-five dollars and seventy-five cents ($55.75) to Part-Time, fifty-five dollars and seventy-five cents ($55.75).

Councilman Metzger: Motion to approve.

Councilman Reid: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Ted. Second by Rick. Discussion? All those in favor? Six, zero. (Motion carried 6-0).

HEALTH BUSINESS
ASSISTANT ANIMAL CONTROL

Councilman Richmond: Health Department Business, Amended Salary Ordinance and then there's a transfer from Part-Time, nine thousand ($9,000.00) to Assistant Animal Control Officer of nine thousand ($9,000.00). The Assistant Animal Control Amended Salary Ordinance is thirty-one thousand eight hundred ten ($31,810.00).

Aaron Franz: I'm Aaron Franz. I'm the Administrator for the Warrick County Health Department. I had submitted an email explaining to everyone what I was, the reason I was requesting this. The previous Council, Personnel Committee meeting, I have been asking to make a third, third full time position at Animal Control for the last three (3) to four (4) years. At the last meeting, the Personnel Committee, Mr. Richmond and Mr. Overton were part of that Committee that suggested if we were able to increase our revenue that we could come back rather than waiting for budget time. I know this is an unusual time to do that. As I expressed in the email, we are in need. We have a bit of an emergency situation that I'm needing that. And I demonstrated through that email and through a subsequent email that we have increased our revenue. Had our revenue for Animal Control alone last year at about twenty-six thousand four hundred something dollars ($26,400.00). So, we're just in need right now. We're going to be short a full time person so that's the reason I want to go ahead a do this now. We are on track, the Ordinance that we had, had done last year, it was signed and adopted in November. So, it's just now gone into effect. Will probably increase our revenue even more so, probably considerably.

Councilman Overton: Yeah, and we definitely did state that in that Personnel Committee meeting. And the only thing that I would add at this, you know, just to kind of bear in mind, if this does get approved that it'd kind of be contingent that it's, you know, self-funding just for that purpose. I'll make a motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: We have a motion by Brad to approve. Is there a second? Is there a second? Is there a second? I'll second it just to get a vote out. Alright, any further discussion or questions? Cause I do remember the Personnel Committee meeting where we asked them to generate the funds to pay for it.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Well, Aaron, over the last three (3) years for the Animal Control Fund alone, you've only had an average of an extra sixty-six hundred ($6,600.00) a year. And then in 2017-2018 your income minus your expenses actually declined a total of like almost seventeen thousand dollars ($17,000.00). And I know that you stated that it would only cost fifty-two hundred dollars ($5,200.00) extra plus benefits for this new employee, but to put somebody on the County insurance is twenty-three thousand dollars ($23,000.00). I don't see where you are making an extra twenty-six thousand dollars ($26,000.00) a year right now to cover that. I know that you say that money is coming, but we don't have any evidence of that or any numbers to see, like a cash flow, to see that that would even provide. So, that's where I'm at with this.

Aaron Franz: Okay. I mean I did also make note to you as a Health Fund Revenue overall that we have increased since 2017, from a hundred and fifty-five thousand three hundred six ($155,306.00); 2018, to a hundred and eighty-eight thousand ($188,000.00). And obviously I don't know this year. But, we are on the increase as a Fund overall. Animal Control is a part of the Health Fund. It's not separate. It is part of it. So there are increases.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: But your expenses are outgrowing your income. Last year they did anyway.

Aaron Franz: And another thing that I'd like, we are a very, we are a growing department. We have been over the last five (5) years by leaps and bounds. It's hard to keep up with it. That's one (1) of the reasons I requested this over the last four (4) to five (5) years is that increase. So, it is something that we have to maintain and keep up with as our, as our County grows. One (1) of the expectations especially in Ohio Township is that we provide this service. They're even, we have to provide everywhere, but it's more expected there than it is anywhere else to be quite honest with you. And so, and I mean there are, there are a lot of things that go into play. I have invited multiple time and, not you guys. This is only the second time I've met this current Board. But I invited over and over again for people to come and visit and see what we do and see what's involved. It's, we're going to be short-handed. So...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: I understand that. And I do want to come spend time with you. I'm working on changing my day job so I can do that.

Councilman Richmond: I was around when they had the old pound. And it's really a nice operation. Now, I'd like to ask a question. I know the levy rules have changed. And I was just made aware of that this afternoon. It's been that way for a little while. How is their levy; do they have funds? You know, we don't want to increase. They've got a limited amount of growth that they can grow each year.

Krystal Powless: Right. It will be whatever the growth quotient is that the State sends to us. It will be, that will be how much it can grow this year.

Councilman Richmond: If this is added with the medical benefits...

Krystal Powless: Um hum?

Councilman Richmond: They still within their levy?

Krystal Powless: Um hum. Yes.

Councilman Richmond: Any more questions? I'll call for the vote then. All those in favor? Two (2). And opposed? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). Okay. And the four (4) that were opposed were Cindy, David, Rick, and Ted. (Motion failed 2-4 with David Hachmeister, Cindy Ledbetter, Ted Metzger, and Rick Reid opposing).

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Sorry, hopefully, we'll see the change in your income this next year.

Aaron Franz: I'm sorry as well. I really wish that, you know I appreciate your desire to come. But no one (1) has come. And we have a need and we will have a great need by the end of this month. And I cannot cover it with a part-time person. I don't have time to train them. And I already have a staff of five (5) out there currently. So we're going to be in an emergency situation. I will be back to have some, to seek some sort of assistance from you for that. Cause I don't, I don't have a way to remedy that right now. And so you know, I did, I do feel like we did gener...we did, you know, exhibit that we would make those changes. I thought that we would get help. But I would like, you know, as, as a Department Head to, to have some assistance from, visitation from you all. I have not spoken, besides Mr. Richmond, with me personally.

Aaron Franz: Speaking with me so we can discuss actually what we do, and see what we do. Because it's, it's, it's quite an ordeal of what happens out there. So, I would really like some assistance on that. And I think, you know, that would be welcomed by not only me but everyone in that department. But I will have to be back in, in May to discuss what we're going to do to accommodate that situation. So, thank you.

COUNCIL BUSINESS
ENCUMBRANCE RESOLUTION
RESOLUTION 2019-03

Councilman Richmond: Next up is Encumbrance Resolution. It's a Resolution of the County Council of Warrick County, Indiana, Approving Encumbrance from 2018 Fiscal Year. Is that correct?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Councilman Richmond: And what is the Resolution number?

Krystal Powless: '03.

Councilman Richmond: '03? How much of this do I have to read?

Cliff Whitehead: The title.

Councilman Richmond: The title, which I just read.

Cliff Whitehead: You did.

Councilman Richmond: Alright. Do I have a motion to approve?

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to introduce.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David. Is there a second?

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by Brad. Alright. Any discussion? All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6). All six (6) of us. Six, zero. (Motion carried 6-0). Does it need to be read a second time? Or is it done?

Cliff Whitehead: It's done.

Councilman Richmond: Thank you.

(Resolution 2019-03 is located on Pages 19 through 22 of these Official Minutes)

LIT DISCUSSION

Councilman Richmond: Okay. Local Option Income Tax Discussion. We talked about this. I brought it up earlier in the year about different things that can be done now as it's all changed. And you know, when you speak about something for us to be thinking about, adding a pool or a skating rink or some other activity in a Park, even something for Scales, we're kind of down at the bottom on the income tax as far as the rest of the State goes. Now, I'm not advocating raising taxes by any means. But, if you look at maybe going from point five-'o percent (0.50%) to a point five-five (0.55%) wouldn't be that much out of a paycheck and probably would generate some money to do some things. We also talked about having something like this for School Resource Officers. And if we have to build on to the Jail in the future, rather than using property taxes, which I don't like to use, a Local Option Income Tax would be a better way, I think, of paying for something like that. So these are some things to think about. And there are some rules and regulations as to what we need to do to get something done. And we don't have to get this done tonight. But, it's open for discussion if anybody wanted to discuss it tonight. Otherwise, we can keep coming back to this. Cause I think we don't have any deadlines anymore, right?

Cliff Whitehead: That's correct.

Councilman Richmond: Okay.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: I would just like to say that there, I understand that there may be a need as far as increasing taxes. But, I think the first step would be to maybe decrease the amount that goes into EDIT and shift the money into funds such as Public Safety. And there is a specific Correctional Facility Fund where we can start saving money for a new Jail. I mean it's only good for twenty (20) years. But we can, it's only like two percent (2%) or something we can shift, you know, to start, shift some type of percentage into that to start saving for the Jail. It's not, you know, having different buckets doesn't necessarily mean an increase to be able to have the money in these different buckets actually provides more transparency. It's not all in the hands of EDIT.

Councilman Richmond: Anybody else? Currently EDIT is divided fifty percent (50%) to roads and fifty percent (50%) Economic Development projects. Okay.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Maybe do like a thirty percent (30%) to EDIT and twenty percent (20%) to Public Safety and the Jail.

Councilman Richmond: That would hurt EDIT pretty bad. And we wouldn't be able to do anything like, if we were going to pull out of EDIT to build a pool or to build a skating rink. Things like that. So, I don't know. It's something to think about.

Councilman Reid: We are one (1) of the lowest ones on the tax rate.

Councilman Richmond: What?

Councilman Reid: We are one (1) of the lower ones on the tax rate right now (inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: Yes, we are.

Councilman Metzger: Yeah, but that's a great thing to be one (1) of the lowest ones on the tax rate.

Councilman Reid: Yeah.

Councilman Hachmeister: Great.

Councilman Metzger: And I'd be really reluctant to raise anybody's taxes until I really felt there was a need. And right now, I don't know why, I don't even know why we would need a new Jail. Why do we need a new Jail? We haven't even discussed that yet. So to talk about raising the taxes to pay for the new Jail before we even talked about we need a new Jail.

Councilman Richmond: Well, what I'm thinking of is these needs are going to spring on us like what happened to Vanderburgh County. I hate to say it but crime business is growing. Especially with the drugs and everything. And all Jails are experiencing crowding issues. And the State mandates so we can only keep so many prisoners in so many beds. We have to have certain spaces for it. And I was around when we built this Jail out here and it is, it was built to be expanded. But if you read the latest Jail report, we've got some problems with it being thirty (30) years old or twenty (20) years old with some issues that we didn't pass inspection on a couple of minor things. And if we continue to expand, what I'm trying to avoid is bond issues. Because that's how most of these are solved, because you're kind of going to get slapped on the hands or penalized that you, you don't have enough beds for your prisoners. I mean we've got, I'm trying to look down the road and prepare for something. And to me an easier way to prepare would be using income tax rather than property tax. I don't like to use property tax for things as much as we can avoid it. That's just my, I like to think down the road. I think we currently right now are okay. And there is a way of taking some space out there now and changing it around and using it for inmates. That will cost something. We've got to come up with funds to make that change too. Sheriff?

Sheriff Mike Wilder: May I make a comment?

Councilman Richmond: Yes.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Sheriff Mike Wilder. I, I, I, I don't think, I think you're right, Ted. I don't think we need a new Jail tomorrow. But our Jail is thirty (30) years old. And a little bit on his comments, I'm kind of new as Sheriff. I've been Chief and involved with the Jail inspections. Last year, I think, we put almost a hundred thousand dollars ($100,000.00), no, I take that, about seventy-five thousand dollars ($75,000.00) in equipment out there that we actually bought out of commissary cause our Jail keeps going, keep plugging the holes. Then another hole springs up. So, I, I, I had spoke with Greg at one (1) point. I don't think a Jail needs to be built tomorrow.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Right.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: But, I do think in five (5) years. So this is the beginning of, how are we going to pay for that? And also some of the School Safety and other things. So, I do think this is the right way. At least start with discussion. I've talked to a few Commissioners. We're talking about putting a Jail Committee together that would discuss and see what's the right avenue to go. Adding on to the Jail, I don't think is an option, because you have to bring the current, the old, the current, the old Jail up to the current standards which they say would be pretty hefty. Now, I don't think that building's a total waste. If we did something down the road, there are different department could probably use it. But those are the things I think you let the Jail Committee, which you probably would have representatives on it. Every, this would be a County-wide committee to study. So, it's not like a Jail needs to be built next year. In the summer we hit our bed max. When you're eighty percent (80%) full they say you've hit your max. In the summer we hit it. We're a hundred and twenty-seven (127) bed facility and we were at a hundred and twenty-eight (128), hundred and twenty-nine (129) beds throughout the summer. Throughout this part, the last couple of months, we've been staying lower. You know, I don't know if it's the Judges, the people behaving, it's kind of, it's like this, you know, I'll be honest. So, but I do think this discussion is great, and I want to be a part of it. And I think a Jail Committee is going the right way to then determine, you know, maybe...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: I just want to be clear. I'm not saying raise taxes for a new Jail. I'm saying move the money around. We have lots of new residents moving to Warrick County. We've got more money coming in as far as property tax. We don't necessarily have to increase taxes. But there is a fund that allows you to save for twenty (20) years for a new correctional facility. So, that's an option to start saving for a Jail. Not raise taxes for a Jail; save for a Jail.

Councilman Metzger: Once I'm convinced that all that is needed, I'd be willing to do that.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: I just wanted to give you a little bit of an update where our Jail does stand. I did, I don't know if you all received it, but I sent you all our Jail inspection. I don't know if you guys got that. I think I sent that yesterday.

Krystal Powless: Yeah, I sent it to them.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: For a thirty (30) year old Jail, we're doing pretty good. But, obviously, so.

Councilman Overton: We could use this Jail up here on the square.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: I will say this, I don't know if this is the right time or topic, but just currently, because our bed

doing my math real quick off the top of my head around thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) a month for the County. That will go back to the General Fund. I don't plan on, some point we may have to ask for additional appropriation, for things because of that. Meals go up a little bit. But anyways, so we're trying to generate money while we can and have open bed space. I mean I wish I could fill that full of everybody else's prisoners and Warrick County didn't have any. But that's not really the case.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: There was concern about Warrick County being in hospitality.

Sheriff Mike Wilder: Yeah, maybe we can use Friedman Park. So, but I just, I appreciate that the, you guys as a Council are at least looking at increasing the tax. I'm not opposed, but I'm not sitting on the Council cause it's public safety. But you know, the discussion does have to start and you guys are doing the right thing and I encourage you to a least look at all options. So, I thank you all.

Councilman Overton: I'm sorry, but that was the funniest thing. Can we do free range inmates? Like a free range (inaudible)? Sorry. We've been here too long.

Councilman Richmond: Alright, so we'll leave this open and everybody start talking about it. What to do about it. I'd be interested in and I haven't done the research yet, seeing what going from point five-'o (0.50%) to point five-five (0.55%) would generate in money. I don't know where to begin on that, but I can work on that.

Councilman Reid: Talk to the Auditor.

Councilman Richmond: Yeah.

SHERRY WILLIAMSON – COUNTY POOL

Councilman Richmond: I have Sherry Williamson on the sign-in sheet. Is Sherry here still? If you'd like to speak…?

Sherry Williamson: Sherry Williamson. I'm a County resident. And I've heard several times tonight the mention of a swimming pool and an aquatic center. And I was wanting to know exactly how high that is on your radar?

Councilman Metzger: Well, on my radar it's high. But others…?

Sherry Williamson: Not so much?

Councilman Metzger: Well, I don't know. I can't speak for the others.

Sherry Williamson: Well, this would be the second season the Town of Newburgh has drug their feet.

Councilman Metzger: Um hum.

Councilman Richmond: The biggest problem is the same problem the Town of Newburgh has and the same thing we're discussing about taxes. How do we finance it?

Sherry Williamson: Right. Okay.

Councilman Richmond: We did step one (1) tonight to get the pavilion going. And then we need to look into what we can use building a pool and that, that's the hard part.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: I did receive a call from a member of the Newburgh Town Council saying if you just increase the LIT you know you can have more money for a pool in Newburgh. So there was that. I will share that with you.

Sherry Williamson: Oh, that's nice. Guess she doesn't live in the County?

Councilman Metzger: There's a clear need for a pool. There's not really a great pool in Warrick County at all. People are leaving the county to take their kids swimming at Burdette. And I think something like a large aquatic center here would be a tourist attraction rather than depletion out of the county. I think it's quite; it could be done. Economic Development, our population's raising, the income tax is raising itself by increase in workers moving to Warrick County. So, I, I would be, you know, like I said, very reluctant to raise anybody's income tax until I see a real need.

Sherry Williamson: Sure, right. Okay.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: There's going to be some difficulty cause there are several residents in Newburgh that would want to keep the Newburgh Pool at the Lou Dennis Park. And that's something that would have to be discussed. Cause we've got a great piece of land out there at Friedman, but people in Newburgh love Lou Dennis Park and they want their pool to stay at Lou Dennis Park. So, that's something we have to think about as well.

Sherry Williamson: Alright, thank you very much.

Councilman Metzger: Thank you.

BRAD OVERTON – COMMENTS RELATING TO THE COUNCIL ADMINISTRATOR POSITION

Councilman Richmond: Any other business to come before the Council?

Councilman Overton: Yeah, I want to kind of make something that's a little off-topic. Which maybe everything's been off-topic tonight in some form or another. One (1) thing that's been kind of bothering me, you know, and I'll try to keep this as general as possible. But are some of the rumors and innuendos that keep floating around about jobs being lost

or certain people's jobs being moved and eliminated and there's no need for a job. And, you know, I don't know if you all are aware, but I constantly keep hearing things coming back about our Council Administrator and her job maybe isn't necessary. Maybe it doesn't need to be kept around here and moving it to other departments. You know, my thing is if there's discussion that needs to be done about that, or you want to look at something, then put together some kind of group and let's do that or whatever. Cause I mean, you know, if you want to review something, let's do it. But the constant just innuendos and somebody wondering, hey, they're wanting to cut my job and keep hearing all this B.S. that keeps coming back. That really bothers me. So, you know, I think Krystal does a very important job here. And anybody that really comes into contact with her on a daily basis would agree with that I think. I think all of us have used her up here. Even some of us just came up here the last, this last (inaudible). But I find that, you know, that kind of stuff to be kind of, it's demoralizing for an employees. I mean I don't hear that going around for anybody else. We're not gunning for somebody else's job or things like that. So, in my opinion, I kind of think some of this crap just needs to stop. And I'm kind of refraining to a nicer language, because I could really use some more colorful terms. But that really bothers me. I think she does her job. She's here if you need to get ahold of her. Anybody, emails her, calls her, she sets the budget up. So, as far as I'm concerned, some of this bull crap can just stop. You know? And if that's not the case and you want to continue to do it and you want to investigate something or form a committee to see if we need to eliminate her position, then have the 'you know what's' to go ahead and try to form that committee and do it. But stop these rumors and B.S. crap. And I'm done.

Councilman Richmond: Anything else? Motion?

Councilman Reid: Motion to adjourn.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Rick to adjourn? Second.

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah.

Councilman Richmond: David Hachmeister and all those in favor? (Motion carried 6-0). We're adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 7:34 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Greg Richmond, President

Ted Metzger, Vice President

(not present for May 2nd Meeting)
Charlie Christmas

David Hachmeister

Cindy Ledbetter

Brad Overton

Richard Reid

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges

CERTIFIED COPY OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION
State Form 55010 (R3 / 11-17)
PRESCRIBED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

Section I
When reporting the appropriation of bond proceeds, complete Section I; lines A, D, E and 5 of Section II; Section III; and Section IV.

UNIT NAME: Warrick
COUNTY NAME: Warrick
Date of Publication (month, day, year): 3/21/2019 Newspaper Name: Standard
Date of Publication
Date of Public Hearing (month, day, year): 3/21/2019 Newspaper Name:
Date Resolution Passed (month, day, year): 4/4/2019

Unit Number: — — — —
County Number: — —

DLGF USE ONLY
Date Received (month, day, year):
Order Number:

Section II
Complete a column for each fund for which the additional appropriations are being made. Values omitted from the sheet may impact the Department's review and approval of this request. Rows A and B should be completed using the fund number and name as listed on the Fund Report of the Final 1782 Notice issued by the Department. A listing of these values may be found at: http://www.in.gov/dlgf/files/pdf/1793305520-5520Jens5520Mem5520-5520Additional%20Appropriations%20-%20Supplemental%20Information.pdf

A. DLGF Fund Number	2402	0001	0101		
B. Fund Name	Economic Development	Rainy Day	County General		
C. Appropriation Amount Requested	$1,000,000.00	$400,000.00	$4,073.30		
D. Amount by Reduction *(Enter as a positive number.)*					
E. Net Amount of Increase *(C minus D.)*	$1,000,000.00	$400,000.00	$4,073.30	$0.00	$0.00
1. Property Tax Levy (Line 1B)	$0.00	$0.00	$13,074,026.00	$0.00	
2. Levy Excess (Line 1E)					
3. PTRC from Local Income Tax (LIT) (Line 13A)					
4. LIT Levy Freeze Amount (Line 13D)					
5. Misc. Revenue (Line 8B) *(See Note #1.)*	$2,600,000.00	$0.00	$2,397,852.00		
6. January 1 Cash Balance *(Include Investments.)*	$6,186,324.40	$2,705,308.84	$8,862,885.88		
7. Subtotal of Funds *(Add 1 thru 6.)*	$8,886,324.40	$2,705,308.84	$26,144,243.88	$0.00	$0.00
8. Less Circuit Breaker *(Amount From Circuit Breaker Report)*	$0.00	$0.00	$464,332.00	$0.00	
9. Total Funds *(7 minus 8.)*	$8,886,324.40	$2,705,308.84	$24,739,911.88	$0.00	$0.00
10. DLGF Approved Budget (Line 1C)	$1,724,078.00	$180,000.00	$17,028,889.00	$0.00	
11. Encumbered Appropriations Carried Forward From Previous Year	$2,648,687.10	$76,401.00	$80,705.04		
12. Temporary Loans Outstanding as of January 1					
13. Beginning Obligations *(Add 10 thru 12.)*	$4,372,765.10	$256,401.00	$17,115,051.04	$0.00	$0.00
14. Surplus Funds *(9 minus 13.)*	$4,113,559.30	$2,479,907.84	$7,624,860.84	$0.00	$0.00
15. Previous additional appropriation(s) approved since January 1, less any reductions in appropriations.	$3,700.00	$0.00	$42,808.07		
16. Amount transferred to the Rainy Day Fund *(See Note #2.)*					
17. Surplus Funds Remaining *(14 minus 15 minus 16.)*	$4,111,766.30	$2,479,907.84	$7,581,751.37	$0.00	$0.00

Note #1: If amount report on Row 5 is higher than 03 amount, then a revised budget form 2 must be attached with the Additional Appropriation Request.
Note #2: Row 16 cannot be used for additional appropriations for the rainy day fund. Transfers to the rainy day fund are entered as miscellaneous revenues on Line 5.

Section III
Please check the requested method for the Department to inform your unit of the status of the Additional Appropriation Request.

Check One:
☐ Follow Up Via E-mail — Council@warrickcounty.gov and dstevens@warrickcounty.gov (E-mail Address(es))
☐ Follow Up Via Mail — Mailing Address *(Number, Street, City, State, ZIP Code)*

Section IV
I, Deborah K. Stevens (Please Print) fiscal officer of Warrick County (Please Print) do hereby certify that the above information is true and correct.

Deborah K. Stevens — Signature; Auditor — Title; 812-897-6110 — Telephone Number; 4/4/19 — Date (month, day, year)

Completed additional appropriation requests may be submitted to the Department via e-mail at AdditionalAppropriationRequests@dlgf.in.gov or via fax (317) 974-1629.

Sec. 1 Be it ordained (resolved) by the Warrick County Council, Warrick County, Indiana, that for the expenses of the taxing unit the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the proposed specified, subject to the laws governing the same:

FUND	APPROPRIATION NUMBER APPROPRIATION #	DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT REQUESTED AMOUNT	AMOUNT REQUIRED\
Rainy Day	1186.31400.000.0000	Contractual Services	$400,000.00	400,000
Total Rainy Day			$400,000.00	
Jail	1000.11336.000.0380	Cook	$4,073.30	4,073
Total Jail			$4,073.30	4,073
3 **Economic Dev.**	1112.51810.000.0000	Park Bond	$150,000.00	150,000
	1112.45020.000.0000	Friedman Park Event Center	$850,000.00	850,000
Total Economic Development			$1,000,000.00	1,000,000

Taxpayers appearing in the meeting shall have a right to be heard. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The Board will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the appropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a Certified Copy of the action.

Adopted this 4 day of April, 2019.

AYE: Greg Richmond; David Hachmeister; Cindy Ledbetter; Ted Metzger
NAY: Brad Overton; 3 David Hachmeister

ATTEST: Deborah K. Stevens
Auditor Warrick County

RESOLUTION NO. 2019 - 03

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF WARRICK COUNTY, INDIANA APPROVING ENCUMBRANCES FROM THE 2018 FISCAL YEAR

WHEREAS, the Warrick County Council (the "Council") has the authority and duty to maintain and oversee the fiscal operations of Warrick County (the "County") and to reconcile the County's financial and budgetary records; and

WHEREAS, the County has outstanding encumbrances in various funds for which the services or products have not yet been paid in full as provided for in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, in order to complete these projects, programs, or purchases using funding set aside in the 2018 fiscal year and in order to be consistent with Indiana law and Indiana Department of Local Government Finance ("DLGF") procedures, this action must be taken pursuant to a resolution adopted and approved by the Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council as follows:

Section 1. That those certain County funds, purchase orders, and invoices, as identified on Exhibit A and attached hereto and made a part hereof, are hereby encumbered.

Section 2. The County Auditor is hereby directed to encumber the said for same accounts.

Section 3. This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon passage

Adopted this 4th day of April 2019.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Greg Richmond, President
(not present)
Charles R. Christmas
Richard Reid
Cindy Ledbetter
Ted Metzger, Vice President
David Hachmeister
Brad Overton

ATTEST: this 4 day of April, 2019.

Debbie Blackman, Auditor

Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1181	21100	000	0000	660.00	PLAT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/03/2019	1181	32002	000	0000	45,636.72	Plat
						46,296.72	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1186	31111	000	0000	75,401.00	COUNTY COUNCIL
						75,401.00	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1197	21100	000	0000	20.00	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1197	31400	000	0000	3,825.00	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1197	32400	000	0000	172.07	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1197	44000	000	0000	3.99	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1197	44000	000	0000	43.84	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1197	44000	000	0000	152.08	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1197	44000	000	0000	207.90	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1197	44500	000	0000	51.60	STORM WATER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1197	44610	000	0000	300,000.00	STORM WATER
						304,476.48	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1215	18701	000	0000	100.00	ELECTION
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1215	18701	000	0000	100.00	ELECTION
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1215	18701	000	0000	(100.00)	ELECTION
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1215	21100	000	0000	149.94	ELECTION
						249.94	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	21100	000	0000	47.57	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	21100	000	0000	188.33	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	21100	000	0000	202.92	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	23500	000	0000	295.22	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	24500	000	0000	40.83	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	31421	000	0000	1,650.02	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1219	32400	000	0000	131.19	PARK & RECREAT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1219	33000	000	0000	56.27	PARK & RECREAT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	33000	000	0000	1,492.49	PARKS & RECREATI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1219	33001	000	0000	510.19	PARKS & RECREATI
						4,585.43	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/18/2019	1224	23130	000	0000	1,934.60	REASSESSMENT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1224	23131	000	0000	172.77	ASSES/REASS
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1224	31400	000	0000	323.69	REASSESSMENT
						2,431.26	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	2504	31400	000	0000	71.73	PRE TRIAL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	2504	31400	000	0000	309.19	PRE TRIAL
						380.92	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	44515	000	0000	25,000.00	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	44515	000	0000	(25,000.00)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	44611	000	0000	200,000.00	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO

Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	44611	000	0000	(200,000.00)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	60000	000	0000	161,489.12	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	60000	000	0000	(161,489.12)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	61114	000	0000	87,062.72	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	61114	000	0000	(87,062.72)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	4903	61115	000	0000	81,000.00	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/18/2019	4903	61115	000	0000	(81,000.00)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	61115	000	0000	81,000.00	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	61115	000	0000	126,445.21	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	61115	000	0000	(126,445.21)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	61116	000	0000	1,740,703.09	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	61116	000	0000	(1,740,703.09)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	61123	000	0000	731,663.07	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	61123	000	0000	(731,663.07)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	63016	000	0000	478,638.19	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	63016	000	0000	(478,638.19)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	4903	63017	000	0000	120,000.00	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	4903	63017	000	0000	(120,000.00)	EDIT CONSTRUCTIO
						81,000.00	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	4908	21100	000	0000	99.99	BUILDING COMMI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	4908	21100	000	0000	230.99	BUILDING COMMI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	4908	22100	000	0000	47.02	BUILDING COMMI
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	4908	31400	000	0000	384.62	BUILDING
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	4908	36400	000	0000	35.44	BUILDING COMMISS
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	4908	44600	000	0000	5,795.25	BUILDING COMMI
						6,593.31	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	8899	21100	000	0000	78.37	CLERK
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	8899	21100	000	0000	158.86	CLERK
						237.23	
						3,564,573.42	

Tran Source	Tran Date	Fund	Acct	Obj	Loc	Amount	Comment
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0001	142.00	CLERK
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0001	411.56	CLERK
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0002	2,382.90	AUDITOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	21100	000	0003	2,474.78	TREASURER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	18300	000	0005	50.00	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	18300	000	0005	50.00	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	22200	000	0005	1,559.44	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	22200	000	0005	3,470.44	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	24500	000	0005	8.36	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	24500	000	0005	16.72	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	33100	000	0005	807.85	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36400	000	0005	4.99	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	36400	000	0005	26.37	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36400	000	0005	210.35	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36550	000	0005	20.20	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	36550	000	0005	514.00	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	44300	000	0005	1,732.00	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	44300	000	0005	3,690.25	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	44300	000	0005	22,750.00	SHERIFF
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	21100	000	0006	14.12	SURVEYOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0006	91.44	SURVEYOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	32400	000	0006	26.69	SURVEYOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	18200	000	0007	1,600.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	18200	000	0007	2,000.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	18200	000	0007	2,000.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0007	606.50	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0007	738.41	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0007	1,404.35	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	21100	000	0007	2,050.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	31400	000	0007	26.04	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	31400	000	0007	852.26	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	31430	000	0007	200.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	31430	000	0007	295.74	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	32500	000	0007	220.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/15/2019	1000	32500	000	0007	275.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	35020	000	0007	400.00	CORONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0008	332.64	ASSESSOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21100	000	0008	529.24	ASSES/REASS
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0008	20.39	ASSESSOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21100	000	0009	27.09	PROSECUTOR

Carry Forward Appropriation	01/16/2019	1000	21100	000	0009	127.00	PROSECUTOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21100	000	0009	937.05	PROSECUTOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21150	000	0009	106.60	PROSECUTOR
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1000	31200	000	0061	1,250.00	COUNCIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	21100	000	0068	131.29	COMMISSIONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	32200	000	0068	156.70	COMMISSIONER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0079	27.00	AREA PLANNING
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36400	000	0079	239.98	AREA PLANNING
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	21100	000	0106	418.01	COMPUTER
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1000	31400	000	0106	21,130.24	DATA PROCESSING
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	77.70	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	203.06	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	203.06	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	541.43	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	1,441.93	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/17/2019	1000	33000	000	0162	(203.06)	COURT HOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	36200	000	0162	179.99	COURTHOUSE
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21100	000	0232	251.03	CIRCUIT COURT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21310	000	0232	17.33	CIRCUIT COURT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	21311	000	0232	140.00	CIRCUIT COURT
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	22400	000	0380	131.50	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	22400	000	0380	253.50	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	22400	000	0380	289.59	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	22700	000	0380	35.75	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	22710	000	0380	49.40	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	22710	000	0380	4,119.97	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	31800	000	0380	98.82	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	31900	000	0380	45.00	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	141.71	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	449.28	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	594.00	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	616.75	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	1,742.64	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/17/2019	1000	32000	000	0380	(449.34)	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0380	937.51	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	33000	000	0380	3,947.39	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	7.48	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	14.46	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	55.75	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	69.82	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	97.06	JAIL

Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1000	36200	000	0380	738.41	JAIL
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/16/2019	1000	27100	000	0660	264.00	CHILD SUPPORT
						95,661.71	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	21100	000	0000	52.90	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	22100	000	0000	96.75	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	31400	000	0000	24.55	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	31400	000	0000	476.00	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/15/2019	1112	31400	000	0000	1,100.00	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/17/2019	1112	31400	000	0000	(350.00)	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	33000	000	0000	57.22	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	35155	000	0000	200,000.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1112	39200	000	0000	99.00	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	40008	000	0000	300,000.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/15/2019	1112	44200	000	0000	1,321.68	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	44515	000	0000	350,000.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	44611	000	0000	415,000.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/14/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	(125.00)	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/14/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	(47.18)	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/14/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	(46.76)	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	46.76	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	47.18	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	56.37	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	125.00	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	128.18	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/15/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	128.18	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	529.60	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	692.87	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/17/2019	1112	45010	000	0000	(128.18)	ECON DEVELOP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	50200	000	0000	3,640.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	51250	000	0000	6,287.50	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	51260	000	0000	1,446,645.08	LIT ECON DEVELP
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1112	63017	000	0000	120,000.00	LIT ECON DEVELP
						2,845,857.70	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1141	22100	000	0000	47.02	CUMM DRAIN
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/16/2019	1141	31400	000	0000	7,182.70	CUMM DRAIN
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/16/2019	1141	31400	000	0000	8,000.00	CUMM DRAIN
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/02/2019	1141	36400	000	0000	196.48	CUMM DRAIN
						15,426.20	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1159	18300	000	0000	200.00	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	21100	000	0000	61.72	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	21375	000	0000	345.24	HEALTH

Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	1159	21375	000	0000	(345.24)	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1159	21375	000	0000	3,704.18	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	1159	21375	000	0000	(3,704.18)	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	22500	000	0000	148.92	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	1/24/2019	1159	22500	000	0000	(148.92)	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1159	22500	000	0000	8,764.89	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/24/2019	1159	22500	000	0000	(8,764.89)	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1159	32300	000	0000	21.12	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	32400	000	0000	152.73	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	32401	000	0000	36.70	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1159	33000	000	0000	42.55	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1159	33000	000	0000	144.80	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	34100	000	0000	1,278.99	HEALTH
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/07/2019	1159	36300	000	0000	46.43	HEALTH
						1,965.04	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	22100	000	0000	2,578.43	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	22100	000	0000	3,264.96	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	22200	000	0000	210.51	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/08/2019	1169	22320	000	0000	666.15	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	22330	000	0000	553.50	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	19.50	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	146.50	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	420.24	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	614.12	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	677.90	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	23201	000	0000	1,958.13	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	32400	000	0000	238.80	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	36300	000	0000	772.65	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	36300	000	0000	4,368.75	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/17/2019	1169	36300	000	0000	(115.35)	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	39201	000	0000	70.00	LR&S
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1169	44200	000	0000	6,627.70	LR&S
						23,072.49	
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1176	22320	000	0531	17,381.75	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/14/2019	1176	22320	000	0531	19,425.56	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1176	23100	000	0531	6,129.34	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1176	23100	000	0531	17,815.02	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1176	33000	000	0533	18.12	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/10/2019	1176	21100	000	9604	104.43	HWY
Carry Forward Appropriation	01/11/2019	1176	32400	000	9604	43.59	HWY
						60,917.81	