WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
March 7, 2019
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Cliff Whitehead and Administrator Krystal Powless were in attendance.

Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Greg Richmond, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

Greg Richmond: Roll Call.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: *(Councilman Christmas was not present for this meeting).*

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Cindy Ledbetter?

Cindy Ledbetter: Present.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Richard Reid?

Richard Reid: Present.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
FEBRUARY 7, 2019

Councilman Richmond: Tabled Items. The Approval of Minutes. The regular, February 7th. No Tabled Items. That's new business, pardon me. I was thinking; I read them, but I wasn't here. So, I don't know.

Councilman Reid: Have to abstain. I make a motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Rick to approve. Seconded by Brad. All those in favor? Five (5) and opposed and I'm abstaining. Five, zero, one (5-0-1 with Greg Richmond abstaining).

COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
E911 - CONTRACTUAL SERVICES
EMA - COMMUNICATION REPAIR EQUIPMENT

Councilman Richmond: Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriations, the E911 Additional Appropriations. And this is a separate Fund that comes from fees. Correct?

Roger Emmons: Yes, that's correct. Roger Emmons, County Administrator, Warrick County Commissioners. I have Board President Dan Saylor with me tonight. Rather than, I know you've got questions, because basically this comes from us requesting that the Auditor pull the funds forward. And now they're being advertised as additionals, which is fine. But in general, the E911, Landfill Post Closure, EDIT Construction, and Cumulative Capital Development Fund, those are all what we refer to as special Funds, they have to stay in that Fund. I've been here so long, we always felt when we did that at the end of the year to pull them forward, we were doing what we were supposed to be doing until the Indiana Code has been brought to life. And in doing that, it allowed us to not have to, cause the legal ads came out of the Commissioners' budget cause you have to pay for the legal ad every time you have to have an additional. It also

allows us to have the money right then if there's any type of emergency situation. And there's a time factor in having to, in not having to wait for the next Council meeting. So, there's no problem if you want to just keep them in the cash balances and we'll just have to come to you each time. You know, you can't anticipate emergencies. But you know, we can do better on planned projects, of course. But that's what this is asking for. You don't have to approve it. We'd like you to because they're special Funds. They're not going anywhere but in those line items.

Councilman Richmond: Okay, what I'd like to do is call for a motion on each one (1) separately, and discuss each one (1) separately if there is discussion.

Councilman Overton: Each of the first of...

Councilman Richmond: 2, 2A, yeah, let's do each one (1) separate. 2A first. This is...

Councilman Overton: Oh, you just mean 2A by itself. Not the items within 2A.

Councilman Richmond: Additional Appropriation, E911, it's Contractual Services, one hundred fifteen thousand one hundred forty-eight dollars and eighty-nine cents ($115,148.89). EMA Communication Repair, fifty-seven thousand dollars...fifty seven thousand seven hundred four dollars and sixty-three cents ($57,704.63); and Equipment, four thousand four hundred fifteen dollars and eighty-five cents ($4,415.85); for a total of one hundred seventy-seven thousand two hundred sixty-nine dollars and thirty-seven cents ($177,269.37). Do I have a motion?

Councilman Overton: Motion.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Now, any discussion on this particular item? If not, I'll call for a vote. All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). All those opposed? Two (2). And the two (2) opposed were Rick Reid and Cindy Ledbetter. Four to two (4-2 with Cindy Ledbetter and Rick Reid opposing).

LANDFILL CLOSURE/POST CLOSURE EXPENSE

Councilman Richmond: Okay, Item 2B, Landfill Closure, Post Closure. The Expenses are thirty-one thousand seven hundred thirty-six dollars and eighty cents ($31,736.80) and that is the total, too. And a little bit about this, I was around when they closed the landfill and we were required to put up the Fund and the money's been there every year. And all we had to do was appropriate it.

Roger Emmons: We get the, we use Environmental Management Consultants to do our testing out there. IDEM requires certain testing, methane. We have gas detection probes out there. So, we try to get each year an estimate from that company as to how much we'll need. Things come up at the landfill. You never know. There could in the course of their testing, they may have to put in another detection probe, because all their reports are sent to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. This again would stay in this Fund.

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David. Second by Brad. Any discussion.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Yes, I would ask, the last three (3) years the expenses in this Fund have only averaged seventeen thousand eight hundred eleven dollars ($17,811.00). It's fully funded. Why do we need to pull it forward? What is the reason?

Roger Emmons: As stated, in case there's an emergency that comes up this year.

Councilman Richmond: If they would review us and we didn't pass something, and we had to make corrections that would be a pretty big expense.

Roger Emmons: There could be fines. I don't know exactly how much they'd be. We have to do these things in a timely manner if something pops up. The worst thing out there right now is the methane gas.

Councilman Richmond: And tell me, this is not expended, I mean if we appropriate and it's not expended, it still stays in the Fund. So appropriating really doesn't do anything to it.

Councilman Reid: But the timing, the timing matters. You should have done it a lot earlier than now. Right?

Roger Emmons: Well, I mean, timing, how do I plan for an emergency?

Councilman Reid: But at budget time you do that.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: You encumber the Funds I think is what Rick's trying to say. I mean that's the planning so that we don't have to be here tonight to do these additional appropriations.

Roger Emmons: (Inaudible) do it to begin with.

Councilman Reid: So maybe (inaudible) policy, your part, you need to change your ways of doing that, I think. So you can do it during budget time.

Roger Emmons: We'll work on it moving forward. Absolutely.

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah, that's stuff the Council, not Roger, really, as far your request.

Roger Emmons: But you know, we'll, we'll take that estimate and put it in the budget request for 2020.

Councilman Hachmeister: And if it's still over budget, we encumber.

Councilman Richmond: Any more discussion? All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). Opposed? Rick and Cindy, the two (2) opposed. Four, two (4-2 with Cindy Ledbetter and Rick Reid opposing).

LEGAL SERVICES

Councilman Richmond: Okay, 2C, Additional Appropriation, Commissioner Legal Services, thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00). I believe your attorney's here also?

Roger Emmons: Yes, Sir, Todd Glass, our Counsel is here. This is a result of legal services we encountered regarding the ALCOA Liberty Mine issue. And Todd's original bill was I think twenty-nine thousand five-thirty-five-sixty ($29,535.60). He reduced that to twenty-one-five ($21,500.00)? So I'll defer to Todd for further comments.

Todd Glass: Todd Glass, County Attorney. I can answer any questions.

Councilman Richmond: You all got his email today.

Todd Glass: I think that's primarily the lion's share of it. We have condemnations going on I believe for Bell Road and some winding up from Lincoln Avenue, so that's probably part of the problem also.

Roger Emmons: Todd's firm does a lot of work under his retainer. We can send that information to you. For four thousand ($4,000.00) a month. I'd be willing to say, it's a lot less than if it was billed separately. But we've got about forty-eight hundred dollars ($4,800.00) in that line item right now.

Councilman Richmond: Okay.

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, the only thing is, I would have appreciated from the Commissioners a little heads up beforehand that we were going to have an extra expenditure there. But it was done, and I'll make a motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David and second by Brad. Alright. Any discussion?

Councilman Reid: Do you need the full thirty thousand ($30,000.00)? Sounds like it's twenty-one-five ($21,500.00).

Roger Emmons: Well, the, this, this will hopefully cover the rest of the year, Rick.

Councilman Richmond: Last year we budgeted more than we did this year, didn't we? Was there a difference?

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Roger Emmons: I think you kept it the same.

Krystal Powless: It was the same.

Roger Emmons: It was the year before that I asked for sixty-three thousand ($63,000.00) based on what we had calculated we were spending per month.

Councilman Richmond: I remember somewhere in the budget.

Roger Emmons: But the Council didn't approve that and it went back to thirty thousand ($30,000.00). So for this year I just resubmitted the thirty thousand ($30,000.00).

Councilwoman Ledbetter: I think it was about twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00) it would bring it to, the balance that it's held in the past, if I did my numbers right.

Todd Glass: If I may, like I mentioned, other extra ordinary legal services that weren't necessarily anticipated, like I mentioned, were some of the condemnations going on on Bell Road and that again isn't covered under the retainer. Those are relatively minor matters, but there are four (4) of them. And those can range between two ($2,000.00) to five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) worth of legal work depending on if they go to trial. And if you have four (4) of them, there's certainly legal work going on that will probably cost Roger some headaches moving forward.

Councilman Richmond: I have a motion. Any further discussion? All those in favor of the thirty thousand ($30,000.00)? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5). Opposed? One (1), Rick Reid. (Motion carried 5-1 with Rick Reid opposing). Thank you.

BUILDING DEPARTMENT
PART TIME BUILDING INSPECTOR

Councilman Richmond: Now, 2D, Salary Ordinance for the Building Department. Explain the Contractual changes.

Roger Emmons: I think Todd had sent Krystal and she forwarded it on to, the rational on moving this person from contracted employee to Part-Time.

Todd Glass: But, I don't know if everybody got that information or not. But, again, I can answer questions about that. But I believe that's the only individual currently under an Independent Contractor Agreement that ought to be moved over to employee status just to alleviate the risks of the County and some potential employment taxes that could be levied against the County (inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: And that's because of him using the truck and computers and other things that belong to the County?

Todd Glass: Right, under Indiana law an employer/employee relationship exists if certain things exist. It doesn't matter what you call each other. You can call someone an Independent Contractor, but if enough supervision exists over that individual, if the equipment and other materials needed to conduct that job is all provided by the employer, here, the County, there's a real risk that an Independent Contractor's really an employee. A lot of times what you get into is Independent Contractors, I'm not necessarily saying it will, but you're not in control to make sure they're paying their taxes as an Independent Contractor. And if something like that were to happen, certainly the State of Indiana would come back against the employer which would be the County, not only have to pay the years of unpaid taxes, but also fines and (inaudible). So, in this particular situation, the gentleman certainly, probably in my opinion is an employee because of the way the relationship works. Probably needs to be shifted over to employee status to avoid that liability.

Councilman Richmond: And it is the same amount of money.

Krystal Powless: Yes.

Roger Emmons: Yes.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad to approve. Is there a second? To change, all we're doing is not dollars but we're changing the Contractual Services to Part-Time.

Councilman Reid: I'll second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by Rick. All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5). Opposed? One (1), David. Motion carried 5-1 with David Hachmeister opposing).

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

CIRCUIT COURT BUSINESS
JUVENILE PROBATION

Councilman Richmond: Next, Roger, if you don't mind, you can come back in a minute.

Roger Emmons: Sure.

Councilman Richmond: But we'll do Circuit Court Business. It's a lot easier on me when I'm reading the minutes.

Roger Emmons: I understand (inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: Identify yourself.

Judge Granger: Judge Granger, Circuit Court.

Councilman Richmond: Thank you. We have Juvenile Probation, Circuit Court, General Fund; its General Fund. It's an additional appropriation of a thousand dollars ($1,000.00) total. Juvenile Probation, Circuit Court and this is a, it's not tax dollars, is it?

Judge Granger: It's fees from, it's from the General Probation Department fees and it's to go into the Supplies line item.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Reid: Second.

Judge Granger: There is one (1) correction. The line item number is transposed. Instead of '25', it should be '2051'.

Krystal Powless: Okay, thank you.

Councilman Richmond: '2051'. That's the first number, right?

Councilman Hachmeister: Um hum.

Judge Granger: Yes, '2051'. We have a motion by Brad. Who seconded it?

Councilman Reid: It was a tie.

Councilman Richmond: Tie? Well, since he's had a few seconds I'll give it to you this time, Rick. Alright, all those in favor? And that's six, zero (6-0), thank you.

Judge Granger: Thank you.

HIGHWAY BUSINESS
EDIT CONSTRUCTION

Councilman Richmond: And now we have Bobby perhaps on this Highway Business, Additional Appropriations. I'm going to read them. EDIT Construction, Oak Grove Road, one million seven hundred forty thousand seven hundred three dollars ($1,740,703.00); Bell Road, seven hundred thirty-one thousand six hundred sixty-three dollars and seven cents ($731,663.07); Local Paving, four hundred seventy-eight thousand six hundred thirty-eight dollars and nineteen cents ($478,638.19); Greenlife Access, one hundred twenty thousand dollars and no cents ($120,000.00); Courthouse Renovation, twenty-five thousand ($25,000.00); Prospect Drive, two hundred thousand ($200,000.00); Warrick Trails, eighty-seven thousand sixty-two dollars and seventy-two cents ($87,062.72); Lincoln Avenue, forty-five thousand four hundred fifty-five dollars and twenty-one cents ($45,455.21); Local Bridge Projects, one hundred sixty-one thousand four hundred eighty-nine dollars and twelve cents ($161,489.12); for a total of EDIT Construction of three million five hundred ninety thousand eleven dollars and thirty-one cents ($3,590,011.31). Might I say that Lincoln Avenue is wonderful.

Bobby Howard: Thank you.

Roger Emmons: It's a lot better.

Bobby Howard: We'll have Oak Grove there soon and then Bell Road to follow.

Roger Emmons: Bobby and I discussed briefly before the meeting, so Roger Emmons again, Bobby Howard our Highway Engineer and Superintendent. The Courthouse Renovation, let me jump down, I'll speak to that. Bobby's probably better with the other ones. But the twenty-five thousand ($25,000.00) was when Marlin was Commissioner and he asked that that be put in, I believe, for some extra work on the wall, retaining wall.

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Roger Emmons: So the rest of these, I believe Bobby's a lot better versed to speak on those.

Bobby Howard: Yeah, basically, it's a Federal Aid Projects we have there and the Local Paving some paving work that was left over from last year. The Greenlife Access, I believe that was an agreement with Economic Development. They, I think a portion of this is actually funded from Economic Development Department. That did get carried forward. But my, the part that is appropriated out of Highway side of EDIT Construction didn't get carried forward. We talked about Courthouse Renovations. Prospect Drive, that's another one (1) where the Board came to the Council last year and looked at funding a Highway Department for District 1 down off of Prospect Drive. And that one (1) was funded from Economic Development, Storm Water, and then EDIT Funds. I believe Storm Water and Economic Development Funds were pulled forward, but Prospect Drive was not under EDIT Construction. Warrick Trails, that's the Safe Routes to School Federal Aid Project. That's actually utilizing School Corporation dollars right now. They pay a hundred thousand dollars ($100,000.00) initially and we've been using that money and getting our eighty percent (80%) reimbursements and then reutilizing those reimbursements right now. Lincoln Avenue, of course that's another Federal Aid. And then Local Bridge Project, that's what's leftover on that. We have one (1) bridge funding project that is complete. We're waiting on the spring for the retainage for the seeding and the erosion control could come down on that bridge. And then we're looking at another bridge on Bell Road. We have a small structure there that needs replaced and we need those funds for that bridge.

Councilman Reid: Where's that bridge at?

Bobby Howard: On Bell Road just south of Jenner between Jenner and Telephone. There's a small bridge by, Chandler's got a pump station there and it gets under water and we're wanting to widen and raise that structure.

Councilman Reid: Be wise.

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David and seconded by Brad. The Local Paving, Greenlife, Courthouse Renovation, Prospect Drive, Warrick Trails were appropriated by this Council, weren't they?

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. The Federal Aid Projects are Oak Grove Road and Bell Road and Lincoln Avenue, is that correct?

Bobby Howard: Yes, and Warrick Trails is also a Federal Aid Project.

Councilman Richmond: Warrick, okay. And Federal Aid money is, they give us eighty percent (80%). But we have to match with twenty percent (20%).

Roger Emmons: Correct.

Councilman Richmond: So, is there any discussion on this item?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: So that extra two point one million ($2,100,000.00) above your typical budget is going to be for Bell Road later in November, right?

Bobby Howard: That, that portion, that two point one ($2,100,000.00) that was appropriated at the last meeting. That was for Local Paving.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: No, I'm talking about, we talked about that you were two point one million ($2,100,000.00) above what you spent last year...

Bobby Howard: We need an additional two point one million ($2,100,000.00) and I'm hoping that if Oak Grove Road comes in under budget, that I could utilized funds from Oak Grove Road to go to Bell and not have to come back to this Board to make up the difference.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Right, because in November, you should have that money on hand.

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Because I think it was in October, Council had discussion about you guys coming back and asking for more money and concern about the Jail. And I just wanted to make sure that hopefully we get through the rest of the year without any more appropriations.

Bobby Howard: That's the game plan at this time. As long as there's no other utility problems or anything on these projects where additional funding would be necessary.

Councilman Reid: When is Oak Grove going to be completed?

Bobby Howard: Right now we are hoping in November that it would be completed to allow traffic on it. There's some utility issues that are causing some construction delays that may push it to the spring. They do have additional time on their contract that they were coming in under that timeline. So we're hoping at November, by November we could possibly have traffic on it.

Councilman Reid: And that Prospect Drive, is that for your Highway Department having...?

Bobby Howard: That's to have an area for Storm Water and Highway, a satellite office.

Councilman Reid: You can store all the stuff from your...

Bobby Howard: Our equipment and supplies can be stored on that lot.

Councilman Reid: Street sweeper, too?

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: Any more discussion? We have a motion on the table by David. Second by Brad. All those in favor? That would be all six (6) of us then. Thank you. Six, zero (6-0).

Bobby Howard: Thank you very much.

CUMULATIVE CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT

Councilman Richmond: Now 4B, Additional Appropriation, Cum Capital Development. We have Bridge 113, eighty-nine thousand five hundred seven dollars and fifty-five cents ($89,507.55). You can strike Elevator Repairs.

Roger Emmons: Right.

Councilman Richmond: That's sixty-eight hundred and thirty-five cents ($6,800.35) that's not needed. Courthouse Repair, seventeen thousand eight hundred thirty-eight dollars and seventy-nine cents ($17,838.79). Contractual Services, fifty-one thousand seven hundred forty-five dollars and eighty-three cents ($51,745.83). Judicial Center, HVAC, eight thousand four hundred ninety-eight dollars ($8,498.00). HVAC System County Owned Buildings, one thousand two hundred forty-four dollars and eleven cents ($1,244.11). And that grand total now is different. If you want to write it down, it's one hundred sixty-eight thousand eight hundred thirty-four dollars and twenty-eight cents ($168,834.28).

Roger Emmons: And for the Commissioner's sake, the reason we don't need the Elevator Repairs. I didn't realize Krystal had budgeted sixty-eight hundred ($6,800.00) in there. So it didn't (inaudible).

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David to approve. Second by Brad. Now discussion from the Council.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Well, I know it's just a little bit different, but you were appropriated three hundred eighty-six thousand eight hundred ($386,800.00) in 2019. This request for additional today, which is one-sixty-eight-eight-thirty-four ($168,834.00) is going to put you somewhere about five hundred sixty thousand four hundred thirty-five dollars ($560,435.00) which is about two hundred fifty-five thousand dollars ($255,000.00) above what we spent average the last three (3) years. I mean we're fully funded in that account. I don't understand the necessity.

Bobby Howard: Well, I want to speak to the bridge. This is another Federal Aid Project that we have. We're in the design phase right now on Bridge 113. We've already utilized some Federal Funds. We were awarded nine hundred twenty thousand dollars ($920,000.00) in Federal Funds for construction dollars. The construction of that bridge is 2020-2021 Fiscal Year I believe right now is what we've got it moved up to. Currently, and we're fortunate, we may be

preliminary design phase and last year that was an expense as well throughout most of the year on the preliminary design. And now going into this year, we have to finish that preliminary design and go to right-of-way. I, we only have four (4) parcels, so hopefully we're covered on right-of-way for this year using these dollars, is the game plan. And then, but in regards to the bridges and the Contractual Services, I believe, also pays for the bridge inspections, our bridge inspections that are federally mandated every two (2) years. And actually we have some bridges that are inspected on an annual basis. Some that are inspected on a bi-annual basis. And the cost of those goes up every time we have, it's a four (4) year contract, but the cost of those goes up every time have them. They are eighty percent (80%) reimbursed through the Federal Government. But they require a more detailed inspection than they used to. INDOT has started making more requirements, more strict requirements on the inspection process and changing what bridges have to be considered fracture critical and that's a more in-depth inspection and that raises the cost of the bridge inspections overall. So which that bridge project in the last year or so, and this, the cost of bridge inspection services is going up and that would increase the budget. But, I can only speak to those portions of this.

Roger Emmons: Well, on the Contractual Services, any numbered bridge which is defined as being twenty (20) feet in length or longer, if you get a situation where you get one (1) of those washed out, there's one (1) of those situations where you're going to need that money. So again this is a special Fund that it stays in. It used to be on the previous accounting system, that we could do inline transfers from the Contractual Services to these other ones if needed. However, we would only be able to do that with the sixty thousand (60,000) ones. The Judicial Center HVAC, the other HVAC and other County owned buildings and the Courthouse Repair line item. So, we, we never know when anything's going to happen for any type of repair. The Judicial Center HVAC, we're getting new heat pumps over there. We have ongoing maintenance costs for our agreements with Harshaw Trane. That's the same with the County-owned buildings, so if we were going to transfer from the Contractual Services, we'll have to come to the Council for that.

Councilman Metzger: And what was the Courthouse Repairs, Roger?

Roger Emmons: The Courthouse Repairs, we established that several years ago, went through the process to get it included in the Cum Cap. I think it was on, we had an emergency repair at the top of the Courthouse. There's some parts that had gone bad, decayed and that's been established ever since then. So, right now, it's just in there for anything that pops up.

Councilman Metzger: Rainy Day.

Commissioner Saylor: We have a leaking roof over there now. So, we have some issues over there now that we have to fix.

Roger Emmons: Right.

Commissioner Saylor: And we have no idea how much those are going to cost. It's still leaking in the Clerk's Office.

Councilman Richmond: Okay, we have a motion and a second. Any other discussion? All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). And those opposed? Two (2), Rick and Cindy. (Motion carried 4-2 with Cindy Ledbetter and Rick Reid opposing).

Roger Emmons: Thank you very much.

Bobby Howard: Thank you.

SURVEYOR BUSINESS
CUMULATIVE DRAIN – CONTRACTUAL SERVICES

Councilman Richmond: Next is Surveyor Business, Cum Drain, Contractual Services, seventy-five thousand dollars ($75,000.00). The Cum Drain tax rate is, is set by code. Their levy is set each year, and it cannot be changed. Just for your information. Okay. Tell us what you need this for and what's going on.

Surveyor Baxter: I need to clean ditches.

Councilman Richmond: And the reason why is because we'd put zero ($0.00) in there, is that right?

Surveyor Baxter: Exactly.

Councilman Richmond: And did you have a balance in there that could have been carried over?

Surveyor Baxter: Yes. I can't tell you how much is in the fund right now. How much is there, Krystal, please?

Krystal Powless: About two hundred eighty ($280,000.00). Estimated.

Councilman Richmond: And explain to me too, I think this is my thinking on this; you can't really have a contract carry over cause you don't have a contract in hand. Until you employ somebody or get somebody working for you and that was the problem with you not having a contract to get it carried over? I don't, that's just my brains kind of...

Councilman Reid: They had a verbal agreement I think.

Councilman Richmond: A verbal agreement? Okay.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Do you have a contract now? Is there a contract now? Do you have one (1)?

Surveyor Baxter: For the work that I've already bid?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Um hum.

Surveyor Baxter: We've got an agreement.

Councilman Richmond: And it's been bid?

Surveyor Baxter: The job's been bid.

Councilman Richmond: So you know how much you need? And that's what you need for that?

Surveyor Baxter: I need sixty-three hundred ($6,300.00) now.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. But the seventy-five thousand ($75,000.00), didn't you ask for that? Is that what he asked for in his budget?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Councilman Reid: (Inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: And we put zero ($0.00) in?

Krystal Powless: Right.

Surveyor Baxter: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: And I think part of the reason why is cause we were looking at what was spent in the past and so we thought, well, you had enough money in there that when something comes forward, we could just appropriate.

Surveyor Baxter: We did have work at the end of the year. We carried over and paid those bills after the first. The fourteenth I think is when, was the last date we could turn in.

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, this also allows you to let more bids and contracts to get more ditches cleaned out.

Surveyor Baxter: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: And I'm getting lots of complaints about ditches.

Councilman Hachmeister: I make a motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by David to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by Brad. Okay, Council, any more questions?

Councilman Reid: How much funds does he have in his balance right now?

Krystal Powless: At the end of the year, if he spends everything in his budget, he'd have about two hundred and eighty thousand dollars ($280,000.00).

Councilman Reid: How much does he usually spend each year?

Surveyor Baxter: The last few years we've spend in the area of sixty ($60,000.00) because that was all that was approved by the Board.

Councilman Reid: So, there's going to be plenty of money left over?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Councilman Richmond: Any more questions? All those in favor? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5). And Rick, you voted, no?

Councilman Reid: Yeah.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. (Motion carried 5-1 with Rick Reid opposing). K. Georgos

SUPERIOR COURT BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATIVE PROBATION – SUPERIOR I JUVENILE PROBATION

Councilman Richmond: Okay, Superior Court Business, thank you. That was Phil Baxter, County Surveyor. I forgot to ask him to identify himself.

Councilman Hachmeister: I forgot too.

Judge Winsett: Good evening. Zach Winsett, Judge, Superior Court I. And…

Councilman Richmond: Superior Court I, Juvenile Probation, five thousand dollars ($5,000.00), Additional Appropriation.

Judge Winsett: Yes. That will come from user fees. Asking for five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) to be placed in the Superior I Juvenile Probation line item which is in Fund 2000. And that's for an additional five thousand ($5,000.00) in salary for the Court Substance Abuse Program Probation Officer.

Councilman Richmond: The State has a complicated formula we kind of have to follow. The other two (2) courts have this, is that correct?

Judge Winsett: That's correct.

Councilman Richmond: Is there a motion?

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Reid: Second.

Councilman Metzger: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad and second by Ted. I heard Ted first. Any more discussion?

Krystal Powless: I just want to add, can that include Item 6B also in your motion for the salary...?

Councilman Overton: Motion to amend motion to include 6B as well.

Councilman Richmond: An amended Salary Ordinance of five thousand dollars ($5,000.00). That motion is to include that by Brad. Is that okay, Ted, to include that?

Councilman Metzger: Yeah.

Councilman Richmond: Okay. Let me mark on here, include Salary Ordinance, 6B. Alright, we'll get two (2) of them here. All those in favor? That's all six (6) of us. Thank you. (Motion carried 6-0).

Judge Winsett: Thank you.

Councilman Overton: Thank you.

Judge Winsett: Have a good evening.

Councilman Metzger: Thank you.

SHERIFF
AMENDED SALARY ORDINANCE

Councilman Richmond: Amended Salary Ordinance, Sheriff Business.

Sheriff Wilder: Sheriff Mike Wilder.

Councilman Overton: Looks like this is based upon the Handbook, so motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by David. Employee Handbook requirement.

Councilman Hachmeister: Read it.

Councilman Richmond: Five thousand dollars ($5,000.00), Probation Officer...

Krystal Powless: Oops, no, next one.

Councilman Overton: (Inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: Oh, I'm sorry. Next one (1). Yep. Amended Salary Ordinance, Deputy Retiree, seven thousand five hundred forty-three dollars and sixty-seven cents ($7,543.67). Jailor Retiree, three thousand nineteen dollars and thirty-two cents ($3,019.32). Dispatcher Retiree, four thousand one hundred twenty-three dollars and ninety-five cents ($4,123.95).

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah, and that's obviously, basically, that's self-explanatory. We've had one (1) leave from each of those positions and that is paying them out their sick or holiday, or excuse me, their sick or their vacation time that they would have had at that time.

Councilman Richmond: Any discussion? We have a motion and a second. All those in favor? That'd be six, zero (6-0). Thank you.

SHERIFF CONTRACT

Councilman Richmond: Sheriff Contract.

Sheriff Wilder: I think that's been agreed upon. It was the dots, dotting i's and crossing t's. The attorneys did. I know the Commissioners approved it at their meeting. It's just waiting for the signing here, is that correct?

Cliff Whitehead: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: Does the Council need to approve this by a vote then now?

Cliff Whitehead: Yes.

Councilman Metzger: I'll make a motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Ted to approve. Second by Brad. I've got the wrong spot here. Okay, all those in favor? And that's six, zero (6-0). And I guess I'll sign that in a little while.

Krystal Powless: Yes.

(Sheriff Wilder's Contract is located on Page 19 of these Official Minutes)

SHERIFF AMENDED SALARY ORDINANCE

Councilman Richmond: 7C, Amended Salary Ordinance, Sheriff, seventy percent (70%) of Prosecutor Salary and Holiday Pay. That's basically what his contract is. So, I need a motion.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve. Want to do 7C…?

Councilman Richmond: Yeah. Cause we have to do 7D also with that, don't we? Well, Deputies is in there. No, let's do them separately.

Councilman Overton: Okay.

Councilman Richmond: 7C.

Councilman Overton: 7C.

Councilman Richmond: Brad made a motion. Is there a second?

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by David. Discussion? All those in favor? That's six, zero (6-0). Thank you.

SHERIFF SALARY – DEPUTIES ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Councilman Richmond: Now, 7D's Additional Appropriations, Sheriff's Salary. That covers what we need too for the contract. Three thousand eight hundred fifteen dollars ($3,815.00). That's above the seventy percent (70%). And the Deputies, seven thousand five hundred forty-three dollars and sixty-seven cents ($7,543.67); for a total of sixteen thousand three hundred fifty-eight dollars and forty-seven cents ($16,358.47).

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad. Is there a second?

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by David. Any discussion? All those in favor? Six, zero (6-0). Thank you.

COMMISSARY RESOLUTION RESOLUTION 2019-02

Councilman Richmond: Commissary Resolution. It's nice that you provided this for us, cause I didn't know we had this in the past available.

Sheriff Wilder: Well, and for the Commissary Funds there's a State Statute that basically, I don't know if you guys got a copy of that or not. But it…

Councilman Richmond: It goes to the Auditor, I think, twice a year.

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah, but I mean on the State Statute it basically, there's not an item basically that describes how the Sheriff can spend the funds out of Commissary. And the first eight (8), the first eight (8) are pretty, kind of self-explanatory really. But the ninth one (1) reads, 'But any purpose that benefits the Sheriff's Department as mutually agreed upon by the County Fiscal body and the Sheriff.' And you're right, Greg, in the past the Sheriff just, I don't think there was a lot of questions. He spent money out of there. I mean, he did his report. I don't really know; I can't speak for the previous Sheriffs. But when I was at Sheriff's School speaking to several other Sheriffs, they all had this Resolution and I liked it. So, I can't take any credit that I invented it. But, I did steal it and copy it from several whatever Sheriffs are using within their Counties. I think it kind of sets up some ground rules for number nine (9). So, that was kind of my purpose in bringing this to you all. And just thought it kind of made it more transparent what we do on, for the ninth item.

Councilman Overton: Sound good.

Sheriff Wilder: Yes, he did.

Councilman Richmond: T's and dotted the i's. So, do we have a Resolution number?

Krystal Powless: '02.

Councilman Richmond: '02?

Krystal Powless: '02.

Councilman Richmond: Warrick County Council Resolution 2019-02. Resolution authorizing and permitting disbursements from the Jail Commissary Fund pursuant to Indiana Code 36-8-10-21.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by David. Now, discussion?

Councilman Metzger: Line number eighteen (18) here, any, any purpose that benefits the Sheriff's Department that does not exceed two thousand dollars ($2,000.00). I have a problem with that. I don't really think that the Statute meant to take that verbatim as one (1) of the conditions. Any purpose. I think they meant if another purpose came up that we've thought about, it could be applied. But this number eighteen (18), any purpose that benefits the Sheriff's Department does not exceed two thousand dollars ($2,000.00), a little bit troublesome to me.

Sheriff Wilder: Okay. I don't, I don't really have an argument. Like I said, I copied and there's things that come up. I think they put a dollar amount on there so that the Sheriff couldn't, cause it isn't tax dollars money. I guess the Sheriff's, speaking as a new Sheriff, so give me a little...but I haven't had a lot of experience spending much money out of the Commissary yet. But maybe if he felt, I don't know what that item might be, but let's just say it's three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) and didn't fit and he thinks, it benefits, it, I think it's trying to set a dollar amount there. I think that there may be other, how other departments policies that they felt two thousand ($2,000.00) was a fair amount. I just kind of, like I said, Ted, I just really copied it. I don't know where, what I would buy that would fall underneath this category. Obviously, if you pass this, it's something I would be able to do. So, I understand your questions.

Councilman Metzger: I can see where it would be very useful.

Sheriff Wilder: I just don't know how to answer it other than I just, it's part of this.

Councilman Metzger: Yeah.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Is it two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) annually? Or is that just two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) any time you need two thousand dollars ($2,000.00)?

Sheriff Wilder: I think, I mean anytime, not to exceed, I would have to think it means on that one (1) time purchase. Not an annual.

Councilman Richmond: Thank you.

Sheriff Wilder: I'm not totally against it if you wanted to strike it. I just, I just, I do feel like there's times, I know things, lot of people don't understand the Commissary Fund. There's times that some things have popped up and I know there's one (1) in here that I thought you guys would question me on just cause, I looked it over, and there's one (1) on here that says reimbursing like an employee. I forget what number it was.

Councilman Metzger: For (inaudible), yeah.

Sheriff Wilder: Number nine (9). Yeah. And what happens there, I mean as an example, and this is just one (1) of those, there's nothing in place. But a Jailor gets in a fight and his glasses, his eyeglasses are two hundred twenty dollars ($220.00), prescription glasses. We can file for restitution against the inmate, but that may take three (3) months. May take a year. May never if he's indigent. Who fixes it? Commissary is not tax dollars. It's just, it's just a way...

Councilman Hachmeister: Right.

Sheriff Wilder: Not trying to buy him something. He lost property of value. That's a good way of replacing them that doesn't cost the County money.

Councilman Metzger: I have no problem with any of the others. It's just the way the eighteen (18) is worded any purpose. It's awful wide open. And that just gives you a two thousand dollar ($2,000.00) limit per occurrence.

Sheriff Wilder: I get it. I understand. I don't plan on trying to go out and abuse it. But, I understand your question.

Councilman Metzger: I know. But it could be if some Sheriff down the road came into office and wanted to abuse it and say well, just bill me three (3) times at two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) for a six thousand dollar ($6,000.00) item.

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah. And I, yeah. I would hope, you know, that an Elected Official be held to standards...

Councilman Metzger: I would too. But it's still tempting...

Sheriff Wilder: But, I have no purpose or problem with you guys. I know there's a motion for this now, right now, but that dollar amount being changed, I...

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Can we put an annual limit on the dollar amount? Would that help?

Cliff Whitehead: Yes, just to be clear, the language comes from the Statute. The number nine (9) does say, any other purpose that benefits the Sheriff's Department that's mutually agreed upon by the County fiscal body and the County Sheriff. So the language in the proposed Resolution does come from the Statute. Not to dissuade your issue.

Councilman Metzger: Right.

Cliff Whitehead: But that's where the language comes from.

Councilman Overton: And does it not say, agreed upon by the (inaudible) fiscal body?

Cliff Whitehead: Correct.

Councilman Overton: So you've got to be (inaudible)...

Councilman Metzger: If something would come up...

Councilman Overton: It's got to be some mutual, I mean we've got to...

Cliff Whitehead: Well, with this Resolution...

Councilman Overton: I mean...

Cliff Whitehead: Well, what this Resolution would do for any purpose under two thousand ($2,000.00) he believes benefits the Sheriff's Office. He wouldn't have to come back to the Council for that.

Councilman Metzger: He wouldn't need a mutual agreement.

Cliff Whitehead: This is the mutual agreement. Yeah, he wouldn't need a mutual on the specific issue cause this is the mutual agreement that would flow to that.

Councilman Metzger: Right.

Councilman Overton: Do you not, you give us an annual report (inaudible).

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah, it comes in January.

Councilman Overton: Is it quarterly or semi?

Sheriff Wilder: January and July 1st.

Councilman Overton: (Inaudible).

Sheriff Wilder: It actually comes a few days late cause we try to give you the reconciled report. But, I can provide one (1) anytime you want. But that's what the State, is mandated by law.

Councilman Overton: My thought kind of is if we see that he's abusing something, come July we can always rescind it.

Sheriff Wilder: And I can't speak for me, not the past Sheriff, but if you look when I gave this to you all, but if you look at what the last Sheriff spent. If you just look at the first items, he spent twenty-eight thousand five hundred dollars ($28,500.00) on equipment that really should have been bought by either the Commissioners or the Council that we saved, I mean that alone right there. But you can go through that whole list of what he's, you know, how we fund our Jail with stuff. So, I mean, we've always provided that. I mean this is, you know, even though it's not tax dollar money. It's still public funds. We're not trying to hide anything. I think this Resolution's just trying to be more transparent.

Councilman Metzger: I have no problem with what you've done in the past. I think you're doing a very good job with it. It's just this new one (1), number eighteen (18), any purpose.

Sheriff Wilder: And you're welcome to strike it. I, I, I'm not out to argue with you on it.

Councilman Metzger: Right.

Sheriff Wilder: I can tell you that.

Councilman Metzger: I would feel more comfortable with those stricken. I like to keep in touch with the Sheriff's Department. We like to see you. If you need two thousand dollars ($2,000.00), come see us.

Councilman Richmond: Counselor, how would we go about doing that?

Cliff Whitehead: Well, you have two (2) options. One (1) and this is still a discussion, so one (1), we have a motion on the floor. So that motion either stands or if Brad wants to amend, it's Brad, yeah, if Brad wants to amend his motion to take in what Ted is suggesting then he can do that. So two (2) options.

Councilman Metzger: And that's just my opinion. Would you like to amend it? Or leave it as is and vote as is?

Sheriff Wilder: I want to clarify something just so we're not misunderstood now. That is a one (1) time purchase. Cause I buy, we buy our cellular ADL's out of, again they're like eight hundred ($800.00) a month. So, it's only one (1) time. That, but that's covered by higher up line items. But that will eventually exceed that two thousand ($2,000.00) a year. I think the way that was written, Counsel, was for a one (1) time purpose of two thousand dollars ($2,000.00).

Cliff Whitehead: That was the intent of the way I wrote it. Again, taking it from what the statutory language is. The statutory language doesn't set an annual amount, but a one (1) time purchase. It's your Resolution, Council, so it's whatever you would like.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: What other equipment do you have that's close to that dollar amount out of that fund?

Sheriff Wilder: Oh, shoot. All kinds of stuff. If you want to pay for it...? Last year we bought an oven, six thousand three hundred dollars ($6,300.00). We bought an ice machine. Three thousand dollars ($3,000.00); just short three thousand dollars ($3,000.00). But that's actually not going to fall under number, that number though. That falls under State Statute. So that doesn't really fall under that, really, anyways. I mean, you know, I don't know what would fall under that. It's in there. The State Statute, the first eight (8) actually covers any equipment and stuff. So, I don't know what would really fall under that that we've ever bought to fall under that. The reason it's in there is to keep me from being able to buy a five thousand dollar ($5,000.00) big screen t.v. I guess. That wouldn't fall under that. Get a big home theater system. I don't know.

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, you've got to get a home theater under two thousand ($2,000.00).

Sheriff Wilder: Make sure it's under two thousand ($2,000.00), yeah.

Councilman Metzger: So, if we haven't needed it and you don't see any reason to need it.

Cliff Whitehead: The minutes can't pick up context, but I think that was a joke.

Krystal Powless: It was definitely a joke.

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah, it was a joke. Sorry.

Councilman Metzger: If you haven't used it in the past, and you can't really see any reason you would use it in the near future, why do we need it?

Councilman Reid: Strike it.

Councilman Overton: And Cindy, you mentioned something about maybe putting a cap on it?

Councilwoman Ledbetter: And annual cap on it?

Councilman Overton: Yeah. I mean why don't, why don't we just say like, I mean I wouldn't be, maybe say like four thousand dollars ($4,000.00). I mean if we see that he's spent too, or you know, or in a month or something then we could come back. I mean I don't know. I mean some of the stuff, like little small things, I don't see us necessarily making him come back here for five hundred bucks ($500.00).

Sheriff Wilder: I understand you guys can't cut me on the first aid, I want to make sure that's clarified.

Councilman Overton: No, I'm just talking about this two thousand ($2,000.00) here.

Sheriff Wilder: Right.

Councilman Overton: So.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: Any purpose that benefits the Sheriff's Office that does not exceed four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) annually.

Sheriff Wilder: That's fine.

Councilman Metzger: You're usually here monthly anyway, aren't you?

Sheriff Wilder: What's that?

Councilman Metzger: You're usually here monthly.

Councilman Reid: He's here to protect us.

Sheriff Wilder: Oh, yeah, we're here.

Councilman Metzger: I mean you're here anyways.

Sheriff Wilder: Yeah.

Councilman Overton: I mean honestly, I just, you know, I mean, I'd just leave it as it is. And I figure if somebody finds the Sheriff jacking around all the time, which I don't think he is anyways. Then we can come back and do it. It's not like we can't see what he's doing. I don't feel like we're dealing with Roscoe P. Coltrane or something.

Cliff Whitehead: I think the...

Councilman Overton: I mean, if that'd make everybody comfortable I mean I would just say if you want to strike. I don't know.

Councilman Metzger: Let it stand.

Councilman Richmond: We'll just vote on it the way it is right now and if it doesn't fly, we'll re...

Councilman Overton: Yeah, I just (inaudible). Yeah, I'll just leave it the way it is.

Councilman Richmond: Okay, the motion is as it is to leave item eighteen (18) in there. All those in favor of Resolution 2019-02? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4). Opposed? Two (2), Rick and Ted. (Motion carried 4-2 with Ted Metzger and Rick Reid opposing).

Sheriff Wilder: Thank you. And I will be very transparent. I think the previous Sheriff, we even made a big (inaudible)...

Councilman Overton: He set a good example. And I don't expect you to do anything crazy with this.

Sheriff Wilder: Statute by, that we, but I do have one (1) question, Counsel. Does this have to be signed yearly? The Resolution?

Cliff Whitehead: The Resolution? No.

Sheriff Wilder: No? Okay.

Councilman Richmond: Thank you, guys. And we did pass that properly. There's no second reading or anything like that.

Cliff Whitehead: Correct. It's a Resolution. It doesn't require a Second Reading.

(Resolution 2019-02 is located on Page 20 of these Official Minutes)

HEALTH BUSINESS
ANIMAL CONTROL – VAXCARE

Councilman Richmond: Next item is Health Business. This is the normal, usual reimbursement.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by, I'll read it here. Animal Control, eleven thousand five hundred thirty-three dollars and eighty-nine cents ($11,533.89). Vaxcare, four thousand six hundred forty-one dollars and seventy-six cents ($4,641.76); for a total of sixteen thousand one hundred seventy-five dollars and sixty-six cents ($16,175.66). Motion was made by Brad and seconded by Dave. Any discussion? All those in favor? You voting for this? Six, zero (6-0). Okay.

(March Appropriations are located on Page 20 of these Official Minutes)

COUNCIL BUSINESS
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION APPOINTMENT

Councilman Richmond: Council Business, Economic Development Commission Appointments. Randall Pemberton wishes not to be, he's our appointee on that. He wishes not to be on there. And there were two (2) names given to us. Aric Pryor and Tony Ellsworth.

Councilman Metzger: You looking for a nomination?

Councilman Richmond: Yes.

Councilman Metzger: Aric Pryor.

Councilman Overton: I make a motion for Aric Pryor.

Councilman Reid: Aric.

Councilman Richmond: I'm sorry, did you say...?

Councilman Overton: Oh, sorry.

Councilman Richmond: Aric? That's what he was going to say. Alright. We have Aric Pryor nominated. Anybody else? Alright. If there's nobody else, all those in favor of Aric Pryor? That's six, zero (6-0). Thank you. And Ted you made the motion by nominating and I'll say Rick Reid seconded it. Is that okay?

Councilman Metzger: Good with me.

Councilman Richmond: Since you both were.

Councilman Overton: (Inaudible) second.

TELEPHONE NETWORK DISCUSSION

Councilman Overton: Roger, did that give you enough time to rest your legs? You have been popping back and forth.

Roger Emmons: Yeah. I'm doing good, Brad. Thank you.

Councilman Overton: I just wanted to make sure.

Roger Emmons: The, what we'd like to do is discuss, it has to do with the new phone system for the County. And as I understand it, it's not just for this building and the Judicial Center, but County-wide. So, we're, we've been using Midwest Telecommunications and Brent McCollom and Emily Kiefer are here with that company. Their owner, David Shipley was unable to attend tonight, so, Brent and Emily will fill in for him. And of course, Commissioner Saylor. We're going on the premise that in late 2017 and continuing into '18, the Council indicated that they would look into funding the new phone system that stated with, if we could find a way with Rainy Day Fund money or some other way to do it. It's pretty important. The current system we have in these two (2) buildings is obsolete. The phones are no longer manufactured. The system is no longer manufactured. And we've been limping along with it for many years now. And we've had several outages because of that phone system. And so, the bottom line is not just hear phone sales, but you have to take into consideration in this day and age the IT and computer data that's needed for a state of the art phone system. So, and we're not going whole hog on that by no means. Back then, we'd mentioned that we might, it was right around four hundred thousand ($400,000.00). When we come back to you, which we'll have to do in April, that's what we'll be asking for an appropriation, you know, out of Rainy Day Fund. Hopefully, the Council will consider that. So, I this point I will hand it over to Brent and he can tell you more about phone systems than anybody I know.

Brent McCollom: Thank you. So like he stated, they were talking about, you know, appropriation of four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00) for the phone system. But...

Councilman Overton: Can you state your name?

Brent McCollom: Sorry. My name is Brent McCullum.

Councilman Overton: Thanks, just for the record.

Brent McCollom: Not a problem. And so, but the phone system also includes some IT work. You know, the phone system runs on the network and we need to upgrade the network to get a phone system installed in here. And with that we had some fiber repairs. So, the Commissioner had called us to kind of come look at a project out at the Sheriff's Department to install some fiber between Court Services, the Highway Department, Animal Control, and Storm Water. That project was estimated to be about thirty to forty thousand dollars ($30,000.00-$40,000.00). And we went out there. We looked at it. We deemed that we could connect all those buildings via a wireless bridge for about a seven thousand dollar ($7,000.00) project and save the County about a thousand dollars ($1,000.00) a month therefore, more or less paying for the project within a year. And they continue to benefit from those savings. So with that they had us kind of look at the phone system and you know the County was looking at subscription based phone system and that was going to cost an average, about one point two million dollars (1,200,000.00), in a ten (10) year period. And your current phone system has lasted about twenty (20) years. So, if you were to do the math, if you were to keep the subscription based service for twenty (20) years, you'd be looking at two point four million dollars ($2,400,000.00).

Roger Emmons: Brent, is that, you were talking if it was in the cloud?

Brent McCollom: Yes, if it was in the Cloud. Yeah. And so with that, we decided that okay, the new phones will run on the network so let's look at the network. And we started uncovering a lot of troubling things. They currently had some bids out to upgrade the network to support the phones. The network requires what's called POE, which stands for Power over Ethernet, would actually power the phones. And so the other bids included in that only talked about upgrading the network to provide switches that have POE. But they're really only upgrading the switches. They were not upgrading the network. And so they were more or less leaving things the same, just adding POE for a very expensive amount. So we came in and we kind of analyzed things and you know we were able to develop a plan to upgrade the network, cause I know the Council is bringing in some high speed internet, for approximately, next year. And with the way that the network currently stands, you guys wouldn't be able to really utilize that service. And we're talking about some remote closets having roughly a hundred and five (105) users ran to that closet linked up at a gig speed. And then that whole entire closet coming back to the main closet again at a gig speed so those hundred and five (105) users are fighting for a one (1) gig connection. So, with our proposed plan, we were able to up that to a twenty (20) gig connection, therefore, making it a little more easier to share that bandwidth. Sorry. So really what we're kind of asking for is more of a technology upgrade budget not to exceed four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00) as just opposed to a plan for a phone system for four hundred thousand dollars ($400,000.00). And one (1) thing that we started doing was we started looking at, after we looked at the network, we started looking at how the closets were connected up. You guys were doing some renovations over here and over at the Judicial Center and you guys had brand new network drops to every work station. And one (1) thing that nobody had stopped to look at was number one (1) was, they're called patch cords that connects the end user to the equipment. Well, we had run all new wires but nobody had stopped to think of how were gonna connect these wires up back to the network. So the current plan was to reuse all that old cabling to connect the new cabling back to your equipment. So within the network proposal that we submitted to the Commissioners, had that included in. But the biggest problem we seen was the fiber that connects the closets together. It's, it's very old and some, in one (1) spot it's actually, it's failed. It was installed long ago, very incorrectly. It's not protected down in the basement when they started doing the renovations. They started uncovering the, the fiber patch cord that's ran from underneath the stairs to the server room. And when I'm talking like a fiber patch cord, we're talking about two (2) strands of glass that is the thickness of your hair. And it is zip-tied to conduits, smashed under conduits and cable. It's not protected. It's not ran properly and I do believe the Commissioners had deemed that a repair, emergency repair issue due to the simple fact that it's already failed in some places and this, this entire building now is running on something that could potentially, I'm shocked hasn't failed already.

Councilman Overton: It's hard to believe we're doing something like that.

Brent McCollom: So with that we also looked at...

Roger Emmons: I just wanted to interject that at the Commissioners' last meeting, they did award Midwest Telecom the network switches. That was based upon their bid, with their quote being the lowest submitted. And then as Brent stated, on advice from our Counsel, the Commissioners did declare the emergency situation because our system could go down at any time given the current condition of it as Brent has described.

Brent McCollom: So, we started looking at the WOW Services. So, we look at the network. We looked at the telephones. Now let's look at the service that's coming in that's going to be supporting. And we started uncovering, the County's paying for over a hundred lines today. Well, the problem we have with that is, is that's based on old needs. We're talking about twenty (20) year old phone system. We have old needs out there. And you know, when we look at this technology, we're looking at, okay, we need some new switches. Let's go for bids for new switches. We need a new phone system. Let's get bids for a new phone system. And we tell people, well, this is what we have, so give us a system to connect with what we have. The problem is it's not what we need. And so right now the County is way overpaying in dial tone services based on old technology needs. So, your contract with WOW actually is not up until March of 2020. But within the new phone system that we're proposing, come built in to adapt to the new services that we would need which would drastically lower your guy's monthly cost from dial tone services. Cutting, you guys have over a hundred (100) lines now. We're thinking you guys probably need about fifty (50) or maybe even less. And to do that would be a County-Wide phone system. You know, cause we can't, right now everything's separate so this department needs five (5) lines; this department needs three (3) lines; this department needs two (2) lines. But they're not using those lines all the time. We can bring them into a pool and kind of share. So, we'll say out of like twenty (20) lines, what we actually had ten (10) lines, not twenty (20) people are going to be on the phone all at the same time. It's kind of like you know, restrooms in the building. You have several toilets, but you're not flushing them all at the same time. If you were to flush them all at the same time, we wouldn't have the water supply to come in to handle all that. And so just like the toilets, you know, the phones, they're constantly being answered and hung up and answered over here. We can share those resources and drastically lower those monthly costs.

Roger Emmons: Brent, you might give them an example of Expressway Dodge.

Brent McCollom: Exactly, yeah. So, Expressway Dodge, which is in Evansville and Mount Vernon, Indiana, they have well over three hundred (300) handsets. They together have forty-eight (48) lines, but just out at Mount Vernon alone, I don't know if you guys are familiar with that complex. They have three (3) separate dealerships and about a total of five (5) separate buildings all together. We've got sales. We've got parts. We've got advertising. You know, ordering details, and we have ran statistics on how much they used the most, the most people on the phone at one (1) given time. And it was only fourteen (14). No more were fourteen (14) people on the phone at one (1) given time at their busiest time. And I mean these are salesmen and service repairmen and they're, I can tell you they use their phones heavily. So we're able to consolidate and save the County a lot of money in that way. And one (1) thing we noticed right now is that the Sheriff's Department phone system has zero (0) redundancy. So, right now, if the Sheriff's Department's phone system were to go down, they're without phones. They're scrambling for an emergency, for a very high dollar amount and within the new phone system plan that we're proposing, it would create redundancy for the Sheriff's Department and redundancy for the Courthouse and Judicial Center. If one (1) were to go down all the phones can immediately start ringing at the other location. So if something were to happen to the Sheriff's Department as quickly as they can send somebody over, they'd be able to stay operational telecommunications wise. And on the back side there, we kind of talk about how, how all this comes together kind of like building a car. You know, we have the, you know, the network upgrade is kind of like car wiring. We have the, the, I'm sorry, like the fiber in the drops at the locations and the patch cords. It's like the car's wire. The network is kind of like the cars onboard computer. WOW Services is kind of like the gas mileage. The Sheriff's Office redundancies, it's more than just like a spare tire. It's like AAA Roadside Assistance and things like that. But to say, to just group it as just a telephone budget would be kind of unfair to that side of the industry. Because that's just one (1) piece of the puzzle as opposed to everything else. And I brought with me kind of a little power point presentation that I kind of left to the side that kind of shows pictures of your current state of your network and the fiber that I'd be happy to share with you guys if you were interested in seeing. But you know really we're kind of wanting to get on the agenda for next month to have a technology upgrade budget as opposed to the telephone system itself.

Councilwoman Ledbetter: This, with the Sheriff's Department, do they have to have a landline for safety issues if the power goes out? What's the backup plan?

Brent McCollom: Well, the backup plan for that is, right now even if the power were to go out, I mean the telephone line itself is still on a piece of powered equipment. It's not like the good old day, ten (10) years ago, fifteen (15) years ago with AT&T where you had two (2) copper lines that went straight from your building to AT&T. Federal Government has kind of outlawed that. It doesn't exist anymore due to bandwidth issues and architecture. It still all comes in to a power box. And with that technology upgrade proposal, would be battery backups to provide clean power for, in case of a power outage. All the phones throughout the entire County would stay active. The services from WOW or any other provider would stay active in the case of an emergency like that.

Councilman Overton: It's not a POTS line (inaudible).

Brent McCollom: Well, actually, right now out to the Sheriff's Department, you actually have twenty-three (23) POTS lines.

Councilman Overton: Right, well, but I mean going forward that's not what your...

Brent McCollom: Correct. And even the POTS lines out there currently are not your traditional POTS lines like they were fifteen (15) years ago.

Commissioner Saylor: Brent, let me say something. Dan Saylor, Commissioner. Cindy (Councilwoman Ledbetter), right now the Sheriff's Office has no redundancy. We don't have any redundancy. This building, we have EMA. Our redundancy here is cell phones that we've got to activate. It's a poor system. So when we started learning of some of these deficiencies, we kind of brought these guys onsite cause Doug was, our previous IT guy, was wanting to do some things for the Sheriff's Office. He was wanting to run hardline fiber to the Animal Control and Court Services. We felt like, the Commissioners felt like, why does Animal Services need hardline fiber? So we, we contacted these guys. We

Brent McCollom: About seven ($7,000.00).

Commissioner Saylor: Seven ($7,000.00). So this just, it kind of started as this little ball and then of course the renovation of this, learning that there's no redundancies anywhere. If the Sheriff line goes out, they're basically on radios. And now the 911 line has a lot of redundancy, cause that, they would go, you know, they would go over to Evansville. But as far as their admin lines or just calling, some people don't call the 911. They call the other number, 6180, when they need a Deputy. So, this is just part of the bigger picture thing. And like again, the analogy of the car's good. We have this car that we're rebuilding and we've got to put in a new wire and new tires. We've got to create a good spare tire and that kind of thing. So it's kind of really involved and more than what we were anticipating. But I might add, Roger might be able to, what did we spend on our phone system twenty (20) years ago?

Roger Emmons: I think it was around two hundred and fifty thousand ($250,000.00). Maybe a little bit less than that.

Councilman Overton: How many strings and cans did that get, Roger?

Councilman Hachmeister: I was thinking two-forty ($240,000.00) something. Yeah.

Commissioner Saylor: So, so, one (1) more thing. When we came in the office, I was shocked one (1) day that Debi was on eBay. And I was like, Debi, what are you doing on eBay? That's our office person. She said, 'I've got to buy more telephones." "Why are you buying telephones off eBay?" "That's the only place we can get them." You know, our phone system's obsolete and I think this is just more than phone system and I just wanted to clear up a few things you know that maybe Brent wasn't.

Roger Emmons: We're not asking the Council to do anything today of course. We'll be back to your meeting next month. We'll be taking the quotes officially at the Commissioners April 8th meeting. The RFP has to be advertised two (2) times and we have to wait ten (10) days. Based on the preliminary estimates, you know, we believe it could be done for less than the four hundred thousand ($400,000.00). But at least we can ask you to appropriate out of Rainy Day at least that amount. And we'll hope that we come in much lower than that.

Councilman Richmond: When it was talked about a long time ago, and it was just talked about, we were getting ready to do the Bond issue on this Courthouse. And one (1) of the ways of saving some money on the Bond issue, which is taxes, was to pull from our Rainy Day Fund to pay for this when it came up. It was never voted on. I'd like you all to include, they would have to pay their portion of it, but I have not heard rather the Waste Disposal and Recycling Center on this, cause I know they've had telephone problems and a few problems in the past.

Commissioner Saylor: This is not a part of that (inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: Well, can they become a part of it and pay into it, also?

Commissioner Saylor: Absolutely.

Councilman Richmond: Because I think that they can benefit from this, too.

Commissioner Saylor: (Inaudible) from their budget?

Krystal Powless: Yes.

Councilman Richmond: Yeah, we'd have to budget in that budget to pay that portion.

Commissioner Saylor: Do you guys want to look into that?

Brent McCollom: We can look into that. Ultimately, the biggest problem will be networking them back to the County. That may actually already be in place and it may not be a problem. We'll definitely look into that.

Councilman Richmond: They're kind of two (2) separate entities. And they have the Warrick County name. The Council approves their budget every year. And one (1) Council member and three (3) Commissioners sit on that Board. So, it's kind of a separate entity. That's why they would have to pay their portion of everything when it comes down to it.

Brent McCollom: Okay. We could definitely schedule some time to go out there and look at it.

Roger Emmons: And the reason we're asking, this is coming up is because the Bond was reduced and the phone system and the IT, that was eliminated. That's why we're asking for Rainy Day Funds.

Councilman Overton: That seems like that'd be a good place to go (inaudible). That's why we (inaudible) seems like. Not like it's, not like that amount of money's a freebee. That's something, that's a reasonable amount of money. You're not asking for a million and a half ($1,500,000.00) or something. So, I would definitely be, you know, lend the positive support towards that so far. Just as long as we get some ideas on some service agreements and that kind of thing, see where we're at. But then it seems pretty reasonable. We get some redundancy and you know things have to be updated. Even I update things at times.

Commissioner Saylor: You know, and, and one (1) more thing, this lends to some security issues. While the JC is a controlled environment now, this building with EMA located in, if there was an emergency, controlled access, we'd have the ability to do that. We are putting wiring in to do that, to have swipe access. So there's security issues that are being addressed in this scope of work and this phone system will be able to do, basically a phone system for the 21st Century.

Councilman Overton: If we're going to do this, if we're going to do this to the Judicial Center and here, you know then we might as well do this stuff right. (Inaudible).

Commissioner Saylor: That's, that's our philosophy.

Brent McCollom: And the network proposal that was proposed would also handle first appearance that the Sheriff's trying to accomplish. The network is adequate for the first appearance system.

Commissioner Saylor: (Inaudible). Sheriff? That's what we were told.

Brent McCollom: Cause I think that right now they were looking at, because the network cannot support it, some service. What was it going to cost them? A thousand dollars ($1,000.00) a month?

Commissioner Saylor: It was quite a bit.

Brent McCollom: So.

Councilman Overton: Sounds like a good start.

Brent McCollom: Do you guys have any questions? I'm a very technical guy. So that's where I'm more comfortable at.

Councilman Overton: That's why I'm not asking any questions right now.

Councilman Richmond: And Guy, our technology expert is on board with keeping in the loop.

Brent McCollom: Good deal. Thank you, Councilmen.

Councilman Richmond: Any other business to come before the Council tonight? Anybody on the public speaking list?

Krystal Powless: No.

Councilman Richmond: I'll entertain a motion.

Councilman Overton: Motion to adjourn.

Councilman Richmond: Motion by Brad.

Councilman Reid: Second.

Councilman Richmond: Second by Rick. All those in favor, aye? (Motion carried 6-0).

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 7:14 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Greg Richmond
Greg Richmond, President

Ted Metzger
Ted Metzger, Vice President

(not present)
Charlie Christmas

David Hachmeister
David Hachmeister

Cindy Ledbetter
Cindy Ledbetter

Brad Overton
Brad Overton

Richard Reid
Richard Reid

ATTEST:
Deborah K. Stevens
Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges

FILED
MAR 08 2019

Warrick County Sheriff's Salary Contract

THIS AGREEMENT entered into by and between **Michael K. Wilder, Sheriff of Warrick County**, Indiana, (the "Sheriff"); the **Warrick County Council** (the "Council"); and the **Board of Commissioners** of Warrick County (the "Commissioners"); the Council and Commissioners being collectively referred to as the "County". **WITNESSETH:**

WHEREAS, the Sheriff is entitled to fees for the collection of tax warrants as described in I.C. 6-8.1-8-3 ("Tax Warrant Fees") as well as a salary fixed annually by the Council; and

WHEREAS, any Agreement with the Sheriff must provide for a procedure for financing prisoners' meals that involves depositing all meal allowances ("Meal Allowances") received under I.C. 36-8-10-7 in the county general fund for use for any general fund purpose; and

WHEREAS, the legislature of the State of Indiana did in 1993 enact I.C. 36-2-13-2.5 which provides the Sheriff and the County the opportunity to contractually agree to set the Sheriff's salary in a fixed amount in lieu of fee compensation; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff and the County believe that it would be in the best interest of the Sheriff and the County to provide for a fixed amount of compensation for the Sheriff in lieu of the Sheriff's receipt of the Tax Warrant Fees, the Sheriff is willing to assign the County those Tax Warrant Fees; and

WHEREAS, the County, in consideration of the Sheriff's assignment of such Tax Warrant Fees to the County, is willing to approve a fixed amount of compensation for the Sheriff.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions recited herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. As the Sheriff's total compensation – excluding benefits further described in Paragraph 2, below – ("Sheriff's Compensation"), the Sheriff shall receive:

   1.1 A fixed salary equal to Seventy Percent (70%) of the annual minimum salary paid by the State of Indiana to the Warrick County Prosecutor for calendar years 2019 and 2020, which shall be paid from the County General Fund in the manner that salaries of other County officials are paid; and

   1.2 Holiday pay for a number of holidays equal to the number of paid holidays for the other members of the Sheriff's Office at a rate utilizing the compensation hereunder as the annual base rate.

2. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as limiting the Sheriff's medical, retirement or disability benefits – including health insurance, retirement, disability or life insurance benefits – previously established by the County and existing as of December 31, 2018, and such benefits shall be in addition to and not considered a part of the Sheriff's Compensation.

2

3. The Sheriff shall assign to the County and from time to time deposit in the County General Fund any and all Tax Warrant Fees for use for any County General Fund purpose.

4. The Sheriff shall pay for the feeding of the prisoners from the meal allowance funds, provided under I.C. 36-8-10-7 under the procedures established by the "Accountable Plan" established in 1995. Neither the Sheriff nor the Sheriff's officers, deputies or employees, shall make a profit from the Meal Allowances. After the expenses of feeding prisoners are paid, the Sheriff shall from time to time deposit any unspent meal allowance money ("Unused Meal Allowance") in the County General Fund for use for any County General Fund purpose.

5. The County and Sheriff agree that neither the Tax Warrant Fees nor the Unused Meal Allowance shall be compensation for employment under the Internal Revenue Code and any regulations promulgated under that Code. Assignment of the Tax Warrant Fees and Unused Meal Allowance to the County by the Sheriff shall be made on such terms and conditions as the Sheriff, the County, and the State Board of Tax Commissioners each shall agree in order to effectuate this Agreement and to the fullest extent possible to preclude each the Tax Warrant Fees or the Unused Meal Allowance from being deemed compensation for employment under state or federal law, including the Internal Revenue Code and the Internal Revenue Service Regulations, and thus subject to W-2 reporting.

6. The Sheriff shall at all times maintain all required records and reports in such form and manner as prescribed by Indiana law and the Indiana State Board of Accounts.

7. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed in any manner to relieve the Sheriff of any of his responsibilities or duties under I.C. 6-8.1-8-3 or I.C. 36-8-10-7, nor in any other way be deemed to limit the powers and duties of the Sheriff provided for under Indiana law.

8. As required by I.C. 36-2-13-2.5(b), the Sheriff shall file an accounting of expenditures for feeding prisoners with the County Auditor on the first Monday of January and the first Monday of July of each year.

9. The Sheriff shall execute and deliver to the County such documents as may be required to effectuate the terms of this Agreement.

10. This Agreement shall commence January 1, 2019, and remain effect for the remainder of the Sheriff's term of office to and including December 31, 2020, and would otherwise terminate only upon the death, resignation or other termination of the term of Michael K. Wilder as the duly elected Sheriff of the County.

11. **Miscellaneous Provisions.**

   11.1. This Agreement supersedes all previous contracts or agreements between the parties and constitutes the entire agreement between the parties.

   11.2. This Agreement may be amended only by an instrument in writing signed by the parties hereto effective as of the date stipulated.

3

   11.3. Failure to insist upon strict compliance with any of the terms, covenants or conditions of this Agreement shall not be deemed a waiver of such terms, covenants or conditions.

   11.4. If any provision of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the remaining provisions shall continue to be valid and enforceable. If a court finds that any provision of this Agreement is invalid or unenforceable, but that by limiting such provision it would become valid and enforceable, then such provision shall be deemed to be written, construed, and enforced as so limited.

   11.5. The parties agree that the normal rule of construction to the effect any ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not be employed in interpreting this Agreement.

   11.6. In case of a material breach of this Agreement by a party, the non-defaulting party shall be entitled to recover actual damages, reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, and specific performance and injunctive relief.

   11.7. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced under Indiana law. The parties agree that any dispute arising in any way from this Agreement shall be heard only in the exclusive jurisdiction in courts of appropriate jurisdiction in Warrick County, Indiana. The parties agree to submit to the jurisdiction of such courts and will not bring an action in any other court.

Dan Saylor 2-26-19
Dan Saylor, President of the Warrick County Commissioners

Greg Richmond
Greg Richmond, President of the Warrick County Council on Behalf of the Warrick County Council

Michael K. Wilder
Michael K. Wilder, Sheriff of Warrick County

3-7-19
Date

ATTEST: Deborah K. Stevens
Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2019 - 02

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND PERMITTING DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE JAIL COMMISSARY FUND, PURUSANT TO INDIANA CODE § 36-8-10-21.

WHEREAS, the Warrick County Council ("Council") is the fiscal body of Warrick County, Indiana (the "County"); and

WHEREAS, I.C. § 36-8-10-21 provides for the establishment of a jail commissary fund (the "Fund") into which the County Sheriff, or his designee, ("Sheriff") deposits all money received from commissary sales; and

WHEREAS, I.C. § 36-8-10-21(d)(1)-(8) provides the Sheriff may disburse money from the Fund for certain enumerated purposes without appropriation by the Council; and

WHEREAS, I.C. § 36-8-10-21(d)(9) provides the Sheriff may disburse money from the Fund for any other purpose that benefits the Sheriff's department that is mutually agreed upon by the Sheriff and the Council; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff has determined that the following purposes benefit the Sherriff's department:

1. All forms of training and conferences associated with the duties of the Sheriff's department;
2. Reimbursement for expense of inmates in transit;
3. Reimbursement for lost or damaged inmate property;
4. Postage for indigent inmates;
5. Shipping costs regarding department equipment or evidence;
6. Supplies or equipment to promote educational activities for inmates;
7. Reimbursement for investigation expenses;
8. Expenses for advertising community events that promote the Sheriff's department;
9. Reimbursement for damaged employee property;
10. Matching funds for grants;
11. Sheriff's department cell phone contract and equipment;
12. Expenses for installation and service of department equipment;
13. Expenses for contracting with professional consultants;
14. Expenses associated with rental/purchase of specialized equipment, including vehicles to be utilized by the Sheriff's department;
15. Expenses associated with special events for inmates or employees such as Holiday Events, Retirement Events, Recognition Events, etc.;
16. Expenses regarding special details or investigations;
17. Expenses associated with hosting training events; and
18. Any purpose that benefits the Sheriff's department that does not exceed $2,000.00.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council has determined and mutually agrees that the above purposes benefit the Sherriff's department and that it is in the best interest of the County to allow the Sheriff's department to make disbursements from the commissary fund for said purposes.

PASSED this 7th day of March, 2019.

Warrick County Council

Greg Richmond, President

Ted Metzger, Vice President

Charles R. Christmas (not present)

David Hachmeister

Cindy Ledbetter

Brad Overton

Richard Reid

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, Indiana

Sec. 1 Be it ordained (resolved) by the Warrick County Council, Warrick County, Indiana, that for the expenses of the taxing unit the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the proposed specified, subject to the laws governing the same:

FUND	APPROPRIATION NUMBER / APPROPRIATION #	DESCRIPTION / DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT REQUESTED / AMOUNT	AMOUNT REQUIRED
Cumulative Drain	1141.31400.000.0000	Contractual Services	$75,000.00	75,000
Total Cumulative Drain			$75,000.00	75,000
Sheriff	1000.11100.000.0005	Sheriff Salary	$8,814.80	8,815
	1000.11210.000.0005	Deputies	$7,543.67	7,543.67
Total Sheriff			$16,358.47	16,358.67
Edit Construction	4903.61116.000.0000	Oakgrove Rd	$1,740,703.00	1,740,703
	4903.61123.000.0000	Bell Road	$731,663.07	731,663.07
	4903.63016.000.0000	Local Paving	$478,638.19	478,638.19
	4903.63017.000.0000	Greenlife Access	$120,000.00	120,000
	4903.44515.000.0000	Courthouse Ren.	$25,000.00	25,000
	4903.44611.000.0000	Prospect Drive	$200,000.00	200,000
	4903.61114.000.0000	Warrick Trails	$87,062.72	87,062.72
	4903.61115.000.0000	Lincoln Ave.	$45,455.21	45,455.21
	4903.60000.000.0000	Local Bridge Proj.	$161,489.12	161,489.12
Total Edit Construction			$3,590,011.31	3,590,011.31
Cumulative Cap. Dev.	1138.60012.000.0000	Bridge 113	$89,507.55	89,507.55
	1138.60017.000.0000	Courthouse Repair	$17,838.79	17,838.79
	1138.39201.000.0000	Contractual Services	$51,745.83	51,745.83
	1138.60020.000.0000	Judicial Center HVAC	$8,498.00	8,498
	1138.60021.000.0000	HVAC Sys Co Bldgs.	$1,244.11	1,244.11
Total Cumulative Cap. Dev.			$168,834.28	168,834.28
E911	1222.31400.000.0000	Contractual Services	$115,148.89	115,148.89
	1222.32450.000.0000	EMA Comm. Repair	$57,704.63	57,704.63
	1222.44000.000.0000	Equipment	$4,415.85	4,415.85
Total E911			$177,269.37	177,269.37
Landfill Closure/Post Cl.	1166.31111.000.0000	Expense	$31,736.80	31,736.80
Total Landfill Post Closure			$31,736.80	31,736.80
Juvenile Probation Cir.	2501.21100.000.0000	General	$1,000.00	1,000
Total Juvenile Probation Circuit			$1,000.00	1,000
Health	1159.22500.000.0000	Animal Control	$11,533.89	11,533.89
	1159.21375.000.0000	Vaxcare	$4,641.76	4,641.76
Total Health			$16,175.66	16,175.66
Administrative Probation	2000.11491.000.0000	Superior I Juvenile	$5,000.00	5,000
Total Administrative Probation			$5,000.00	5,000
Commissioner	1000.31200.000.0068	Legal Services	$30,000.00	30,000
Total ~~Administrative Probation~~ Commissioners			$30,000.00	30,000

Taxpayers appearing in the meeting shall have a right to be heard. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The Board will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the appropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a Certified Copy of the action.

Adopted this 7 day of March, 2019.

AYE	NAY
Greg Richmond	Cindy Ledbetter — 1141, 1138, 1222, 1166
Brad Overton	
Greg Richmond	
David Hachmeister	
Richard Reid	
Ted Metzger	