WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
March 1, 2018
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Jean Blanton was in attendance.

County Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Gary Meyer, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Gary Meyer: Roll call.

Krystal Powless: Gary Meyer?

Gary Meyer: Here.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: Yes.

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

Krystal Powless: Paul Rudolph?

Paul Rudolph: Good evening.

Gary Meyer: Okay, before we get started on the agenda, just a quick announcement. It's Krystal's birthday today. So wish her a happy birthday.

Councilman Christmas: She's twenty-one (21) today.

Councilman Meyer: Again.

Unknown: Twenty-nine (29)?

Councilman Meyer: Again.

Councilman Christmas: Twenty-one (21).

Councilman Meyer: So anyway, happy birthday, Krystal.

Krystal Powless: Thank you.

PUBLIC HEARING
COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
INDIANA GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS SERIES 2018
COUNTY FACILITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Councilman Meyer: Okay, we have a public, it's our second Public Hearing for this bond that we are discussing. And I'd like to have Brad and Matt come up and explain a little bit before we open it up to the public.

Brad Bingham: Good evening, Brad Bingham again with Barnes and Thornburg. We're serving as bond counsel to the County with regards to the proposed G-O Bonds to finance the improvements here at the Courthouse and some other miscellaneous projects. Under Indiana law, before a city, town, county, can impose a property tax levy to repay bonds or lease rentals for projects that are costing more than five million dollars ($5,000,000.00), you have to hold two (2) Public Hearings. And so as you noted that tonight's the second Public Hearing. At the Public Hearing, there's certain information that has to be presented, including the maximum term of the proposed bonds, maximum principle amount, estimated interest costs, and some other miscellaneous information as well. So I'll turn it over to Matt Eckerle from Umbaugh to kind of run through the, the information that needs to be presented.

Councilman Meyer: Thank you.

Matt Eckerle: Hello, again. As Brad mentioned, my name is Matt Eckerle. I'm with Umbaugh. We are the financial advisors for the County for this transaction. As Brad also mentioned, there's certain items of information that is required to be presented at these Preliminary Determination Hearings. So I'm going to run through the letter dated February 20, 2018 that summarizes the items that are necessary for the Preliminary Determination. The maximum term of these proposed bonds is ten (10) years with a final maturity of January 15, 2028. That's simply the maximum. Whatever bonds you decide to issue, if you decide to issue bonds, can be any term shorter than that. You don't have to decide that tonight. This is simply laying out the maximums. The maximum principle amount is seven point four million dollars ($7,400,000.00). Again that's simply a maximum. You don't have to issue seven point four ($7,400,000.00) if the project costs do not dictate that amount. Below, we have illustrated three (3) different term scenarios for your consideration and reference. The short term scenario is five and a half (5 ½) years. The median term is seven and a half (7 ½) years. And the maximum term is ten (10) years. And what we've done is we've included the estimated interest rates which range from two percent (2%) on the short end to two point seven-five percent (2.75%) on the long end with a maximum authorization of up to four percent (4%). That would be on the ten (10) year term. Those are based on current estimates with a little cushion build in to account for the possible future fluctuations in the market. The estimated total interest cost for these bonds would be five hundred ninety-five thousand dol...approximately five hundred ninety-five thousand dollars ($595,000.00) for the five and a half (5 ½) year term. Approximately eight hundred twenty-two thousand dollars ($822,000.00) for a seven and a half (7 ½) year term. And for the ten (10) year term at the maximum interest rate, approximately one point six million dollars ($1,600,000.00). The estimated increase to the County's debt service tax levy would be one point four million dollars ($1,400,000.00) for the five and a half (5 ½) year term. One million...just under one point one million dollars ($1,100,000.00) for the seven and a half (7 ½) year term. And at the maximum term, over ten (10) years, nine hundred and forty thousand dollars ($940,000.00). The estimated increase to the debt service tax rate, and I want to emphasize that this estimated increase in tax rate is based on today's net assessed value, the certified 2018 net assessed value. We have assumed no growth in the net assessed value. So if Warrick County's historic trend of growth and assessed value continues, you can expect that the actual tax rates would come in lower than what's estimated here. And this also assumes that one hundred percent (100%) of the annual debt service payments are made from property tax levy. I know there's been some discussion of maybe using other resources. That was discussed last week. That would lessen the amount needed for the property tax levy. But for the five and a half (5 ½) year term, it would be approximately five cents ($0.05). For the seven and a half (7 ½) year term, it would be approximately three point seven cents ($0.037). And for the maximum term, it would be approximately three point one-seven cents ($0.0317). And that's all per hundred dollars ($100.00) of net assessed value. The current debt service levy and tax rate for the County is an easy number. It's zero (0). You do have outstanding Park District Bonds. But for the purp...legal purposes we're considering here, and Brad can elaborate if needed, Park District is considered a separate special taxing district from the County. So it's not accounted for in the debt service levy for the County unit itself. It's separate from there. The sum of all the County's long term debt, and this is every taxing unit in the County divided by the net assessed value of taxable property in the County, is two point one percent (2.1%). That includes the proposed bonds. That's considered a pretty low percentage over all. And then if you look at all of the debt service levies divided by the net assessed values and that's again for all of the units, schools, libraries, cities, towns, County, it would be point zero-five percent (0.05%). Again a very low percentage. On the second page of that analysis, what we have done is we have illustrated, excuse me, the total current debt service levy which again is zero (0). The total debt service levy, assuming the County issues these bonds, and what we've done is we've used the five and a half (5 ½) year scenario, because that is the scenario that would have the highest annual payments. And then the corresponding total debt service tax rate with current and then with the proposed bonds again based on that five and a half (5 ½) year scenario. Subsequent to those pages, we have included a couple schedules that highlight all the outstanding debt of the County. That'd be direct debt of the County which includes your equipment leases, things like that. And then all of the overlapping tax units as well. So you can get an idea of just how much debt is outstanding here in Warrick County. The final page includes some debt ratios that are required to be included in the Preliminary Determination, including debt per capital, debt as a percentage of 2018 net assessed valuation, and this is by some different, different categories, by a percentage of gross assessed valuation, and debt per capital to per capital personal income. Again this is just based on principle on the maximum authorized amount of seven point four million dollars ($7,400,000.00). That's a lot of information I know. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have. I know this is not new to you, but it's new to some of, some of the others in the public.

Councilman Meyer: One (1) question I have, (inaudible) said that we would not have to spend or sell seven point four million dollars ($7,400,000.00) in bonds if it didn't come in that high?

Matt Eckerle: Correct.

Councilman Meyer: Don't we have to do that to determine that before the bids are let?

Matt Eckerle: What you would do is you would receive the bids and once you have firm costs associated with the project, then you would sell the bonds.

Councilman Meyer: Oh, I see.

Matt Eckerle: What we prefer to do, sometimes timing dictates otherwise, but typically when we're doing projects like this, you want to receive the bids first, then sell the bonds.

Councilman Meyer: Then sell the bonds.

Matt Eckerle: Because you don't want to be in a situation where the bids come in a quarter million dollars ($250,000.00) underneath what had been estimated.

Councilman Meyer: Right.

Matt Eckerle: You don't need to have an extra quarter million dollars ($250,000.00) in bonds if you don't have to.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah, okay. Any Council member have any questions? Okay. Thank you. This is a Public Hearing. Anybody from the public like to address this issue? They'll be one (1) more Public Hearing, which is next Thursday evening before it's signed. That'll be the third hearing. Anybody? Okay.

RESOLUTION FOR PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION TO ISSUE BONDS RESOLUTION 2018-03

Councilman Meyer: Then from what I understand, we need to have a motion and vote on the Resolution of the Warrick County Council making a Preliminary Determination to issue bonds. That's on your desk. That needs to be voted on, introduced and voted on tonight.

Councilman Overton: I make a motion to introduce that Resolution.

Councilman Christmas: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by, Charlie, did you second that? By Charlie, to introduce this Resolution. Like I say, you got it. It's Resolution 2018-03. Again, it's a Resolution of the Warrick County Council making a Preliminary Determination to issue bonds. Any discussion or questions on the res...on the motion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Councilman Meyer: Okay, now we have two (2) other documents here.

Krystal Powless: Just to clarify, you guys just approved that, not just introduced. It's an approval. I can't...

Councilman Meyer: What did she say?

Councilman Overton: She said we didn't just introduce it, we approved it.

Councilman Meyer: We did approve it.

Krystal Powless: Okay. I just wanted to clarify.

Councilman Hachmeister: We approved to introduce.

Councilman Meyer: Just because it's your birthday.

Krystal Powless: You said introduce.

Councilman Meyer: I know.

Krystal Powless: I just wanted to clarify.

Councilman Meyer: I did. But we did vote on it too.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

(Resolution 2018-03 is located on Pages 14 through 15 of these Official Minutes)

INTRODUCE ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF A GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND ORDINANCE 2018-02 FIRST READING

Councilman Meyer: We have two (2) other documents. The longer one (1) is Ordinance number, what?

Krystal Powless: '03, no, '02.

Councilman Meyer: '02. 2018-02, this is the bigger one (1). It's an Ordinance or well, I guess I need a motion to introduce it.

Councilman Overton: Motion to introduce Ordinance, Ordinance, Ordinance 2018-02.

Councilman Meyer: Okay, motion made by Brad and seconded by?

Councilman Hachmeister: Yes, Sir. I'll second.

Councilman Meyer: David, to introduce Ordinance 2018-02. An Ordinance of the Warrick County Council as fiscal body of Warrick County, Indiana, authorizing the issuance of General Obligation Bonds of the County for the purpose of providing funds to pay for the costs of certain capital improvements at various County facilities and incidental expenses in connection therewith on an account of the issue of the bonds and approving certain matters related thereto. Now we don't have to vote on this tonight, but we have to introduce it. The way I understand it, we'll vote on it next week? Is that correct? Okay. We also have the other, the last one (1), which would be, wait a minute. What did I do with, here it is. Ordinance 2018-03, I guess?

Krystal Powless: You did not vote on the '02.

Councilman Meyer: We did not. I just thought of that. Okay. We had a motion by Brad and seconded by David to introduce 2018-02. All those in favor of that motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0). I apologize. I did just think of that.

ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING THE PROCEEDS OF THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS SERIES 2018 ORDINANCE 2018-03 FIRST READING

Councilman Meyer: Now we have Ordinance 2018-03, which is an appropriating Ordinance. So we need a motion to introduce that.

Councilman Overton: Motion to introduce.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Brad to introduce.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Seconded by David. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0). An Ordinance of the Warrick County Council as Fiscal Body of Warrick County, Indiana, appropriating the proceeds of the Warrick County, Indiana, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2018. This will be voted on again at our next meeting next Thursday night. So those have been, is that all we need? Okay. Now, we should probably next week start discussing a little bit more detail, how we want to, as far as timing of these bonds, how long we want to take to pay it off. And if we want to add any other supplemental funds to it. We don't have to discuss that tonight. But I want you to be thinking about it. We should probably think about that next week, cause we may have to have our attorney draw up some kind of an Ordinance if, if, if we go that route. Anyway, that's for next week.

CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING

Councilman Meyer: Okay, I need a motion to close the Public Hearing. Wait a minute. Going to give you one (1) more chance. Anybody want to discuss this? You still have next week if anything comes to mind. Okay, we need a motion...

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to close the Public Hearing on the bond issue.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Motion's been made and seconded to close the Public Hearing. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Councilman Meyer: Okay, on with the meeting.

Councilman Rudolph: Before we go on to the meeting, I just want to suggest if anyone wants a copy of the Ordinances or Resolutions, we can make it available to them...

Councilman Meyer: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Councilman Rudolph: They can sign in on the sign in sheet and put their email address as the item to be discussed. And we can forward them an electronic copy, if anyone's interested.

TABLED ITEMS CORONER BUSINESS MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT

Councilman Meyer: Okay, first item under Tabled Items would be Coroner Business, Additional Appropriation, Motorized Equipment, twenty-five thousand nine hundred fifty dollars ($25,950.00)

Krystal Powless: And just so you're aware, she got in touch with me and there was a fatality and a house fire. She's not going to be able to make it. So.

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to table.

Councilman Christmas: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Motions been made by David and seconded by Charlie, I guess it was Charlie, Charlie to table. All those in favor? Opposed?

Krystal Powless: We're tabling this to the April meeting?

Councilman Meyer: April meeting, yes.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Councilman Meyer: That was opposed?

Councilman Metzger: Opposed.

Councilman Meyer: Me and Ted. Motion passed five to two (5-2 with Ted Metzger and Gary Meyer opposing) to table. Ted and I were against it.

Councilman Rudolph: Can we not put a date on there. This way if the Coroner would like to come to the March 8th meeting, which really isn't that far, she could. Is that possible? Or do we just table it for a month for sure.

Councilman Meyer: We can do it under Other Business.

Krystal Powless: I, I can table it today if you like.

Councilman Meyer: If she'd want to bring it.

Councilman Rudolph: Table it 'til we bring it up. Right? So leave that date blank rather than fixing a date in case she'd prefer the 8th.

Krystal Powless: Okay. Okay.

Councilman Meyer: That's fine.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUSINESS
INDUSTRIAL INCENTIVE
ALCOA

Councilman Meyer: Okay. Okay, Economic Development Business, Additional Appropriation, Economic Development, Industrial Incentive, a hundred and forty thousand dollars ($140,000.00).

Larry Taylor: Larry Taylor, Economic Development Director. We have an employment incentive that we've been talking about for a little while. We didn't have the whole Council here last month. So we decided to or you guys allowed us to bring it up again. The original incentive that was requested was all part of their corporation making the decision to come to reopen all their smelter and everything. But also it was very important to the State because the State offered incentives for them reopening the smelter, that there was some local community support. And that, on an ongoing basis for the ALCOA team at the Warrick Operations, that's a very important thing because as they're competing for capital with other people within the ALCOA Corporation, you know, they having community support is important to that. We originally had asked for an employment incentive for any recalled or new hire, Warrick County residents. And we've talked to people and there seemed to be some concerns in the discussion last month that, well, on the recalled, even though they are Warrick County residents and it's benefitting you know, Warrick County cause they're back to work there. You know, they were going to come anyhow, you know, it's by the contract and everything else. And so we've, we'd like to go ahead and keep the amount there but only make it for the new hire, Warrick County residents. And it will still be the same incentive amount but it's not for the recalled residents. It's just for the new residents that come in. Again, that would have a clawback on it. You know, if for any reason that you know that business doesn't keep going on over a certain period of time then there would be a clawback that we would regain that money. We've got Kari Fluegel Ed Hemmersbach wasn't able to come again tonight. But Kari Fluegel from ALCOA, and then she had Larry York who's the guy that's responsible for opening the smelter. He's the smelter manager that came to do that. So, Carrie I think would like to say few words.

Councilman Meyer: Okay.

Kari Fluegel: Good evening, as Larry said, my name is Kari Fluegel and I'm the Communications Leader for Warrick Operations. As you said, Ed Hemmersbach isn't here but he did send, did ask me to give, give you all his regards. I'd like to begin by thanking you for your approval of the tax phase in last month. And I express our appreciation for your consideration of this incentive tonight. Work continues to move forward on the smelter restart and we're on track to complete the restart of the three (3) pot lines by the second, in the second quarter of this year. Hiring is also continuing. In February, we brought on twenty-one (21) new employees and we have another group that will be joining us in the middle of March. Last month the Council expressed a desire to see more Warrick County residents hired. We heard those concerns and are currently discussing the best methods for reaching out to the Warrick County community to notify them when positions become available and also educate them on our hiring process. We've seen as we've hired people that a lot of people will opt out of it midway through and they don't complete the process. So we want to make sure they're well informed on that as well. This could involve improving some of the methods we're currently using or developing some new methods to reach out through social media. We're still discussing all of those. As Mr. Hemmersbach said last month, one (1) of the factors that played an important role in obtaining approval for the restart was the strong support we've traditionally had here in Warrick County. And your support of this incentive will play a role in us gaining the, the approval from our corporate leaders so that we can continue operations at our facility for a long time to come and we can remain an important part of this community, this vital growing community that we love so much. We're happy to take any questions for your time. And thank you for your time and consideration.

Councilman Meyer: Anybody have any questions? Comments?

Councilman Rudolph: I have one (1) question for Larry. At the, with the change that we're creating this month, what is the estimated financial amount verses the hundred and forty ($140,000.00) we were originally estimating?

Larry Taylor: The hundred and forty ($140,000.00) included their, their recalls and, but I know they were a little bit ahead of the recalls and the new hires and what we had projected. So I think last month there were around eighty (80)...

Kari Fluegel: Eighty-three (83).

Larry Taylor: Eighty-three (83) last month.

Kari Fluegel: I think.

Councilman Rudolph: Eighty-three (83) recalls?

Larry Taylor: Well, that's recalls and so...

Kari Fluegel: That included (inaudible).

Larry Taylor: I, I mean we would be safe to cap it at a hundred (100).

Kari Fluegel: Right now we're at ninety-nine (99) folks who are Warrick County residents and that included the recalls.

And I think we had around forty-five (45) so, recalled folks. So fifty-four (54) I think is what we currently have.

Larry Taylor: Well, then a hundred (100) wouldn't take care of it. No, but that's really what we're trying for is to get the Warrick County people, to incent them to focus on Warrick County residents. So, I would, I mean we're not going to pay it out unless they give us documentation. So I guess I would ask that we keep it at a hundred and forty ($140,000.00) but we only pay it out on documented new hires that are Warrick County residents.

Councilman Rudolph: Understood, just trying to get a number. So about fifty (50) give or take, people have been recalled from Warrick County is what I'm hearing.

Kari Fluegel: Yes.

Councilman Rudolph: I appreciate the willingness to change. I think this in more appropriate for what I think and so I motion that we approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Rudolph: Which is a difference from last month.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Paul to approve and seconded by?

Councilman Christmas: Brad.

Councilman Meyer: Brad. Any further discussion?

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, of course I want to commend ALCOA for being involved in all the community as far as the Counties and Towns as far as the projects that they've done. And I realize that ALCOA got a bunch of different funds. They take many to do this. But I look at it too as Warrick County giving money back to ALCOA and they use these to build a playground in Vanderburgh County. I know it's out of different funds. But really it's all money back to ALCOA. That's, that's what I, one (1) of the things I dislike about it. It can still (inaudible) for the project.

Kari Fluegel: Okay. If, if you're talking about a specific project, I'm sorry, I can't speak to that. I'm not familiar with a playground project.

Councilman Hachmeister: Well, they've done that as far as Evansville and with Spencer County. I don't know, they've done different projects and in Warrick County.

Kari Fluegel: Okay.

Councilman Hachmeister: You know, money coming out of taxpayers of Warrick County back to ALCOA and it's spent other places. Even though it's not the same money, but round about it is.

Councilman Meyer: Any other comments? Okay, we have a motion made by Paul and seconded by Brad to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries four to three (4-3 with David Hachmeister, Ted Metzger, and Gary Meyer opposing).

Larry Taylor: Okay, thank you.

Kari Fluegel: Thank you.

Larry Taylor: And thank you for allowing us to bring this back.

Councilman Meyer: Sure.

Kari Fluegel: Thank you.

Krystal Powless: And the three (3)?

Councilman Meyer: Ted, David, and me.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
FEBRUARY 1, 2018

Councilman Meyer: Okay. New Business, Approve Minutes, Regular Meeting, February 1st.

Councilman Metzger: I make a motion we approve the meeting, or the Minutes.

Councilman Meyer: Okay, motion made by Ted.

Councilman Richmond: (Inaudible).

Councilman Meyer: You want to pull your motion a minute? He's got a correction.

Councilman Metzger: Or sure, I'll pull, pull my motion.

Councilman Richmond: It's not that much. But...

Councilman Christmas: This is our correction boy here (inaudible).

Councilman Richmond: I know. On Page 2, the very bottom. One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6)

Councilman Christmas: Uh oh.

Councilman Richmond: I think he meant even number? Or maybe I read this wrong. "That's the problem we've got tonight, we've got an uneven number." So I think he meant even number, if that can be changed. That's probably what he intended. And then on Page 7, let's see, one (1), two (2), third line up. Councilman Richmond, "And there is a call back." It sounds like call back, but it's claw, c-l-a-w. And Larry Taylor said the same thing if you go up one (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6) lines up from my statement. Instead of call back, it's claw, c-l-a-w. On Page 8, the same issue. One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), Councilman Richmond, "And there is a clawback, c-l-a-w." That's the only corrections I found, instead of call back. Does anybody think that's an employee (inaudible)?

Councilman Meyer: You still want to make your motion, Ted, with these corrections?

Councilman Metzger: Sure.

Councilman Meyer: Okay. Motion made by Ted to approve minutes as corrected. Is there a second?

Councilman Richmond: Second.

Councilman Meyer: And seconded by Greg. All those in favor? Opposed? I guess I better abstain since I wasn't at the meeting. I did read the Minutes, however. (Motion carried 6-0-1 with Gary Meyer abstaining).

Councilman Rudolph: At least you didn't cause this one (1) to be uneven.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah, there you go. Thank you. Okay. Item 4, Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriation.

Councilman Hachmeister: (Inaudible) we vote?

Councilman Meyer: I thought we voted. Six (6), 'o (0), and one (1).

Krystal Powless: Yeah.

Councilman Hachmeister: I didn't, I didn't hear you say it.

Councilman Meyer: Are you at our meeting tonight?

Councilman Hachmeister: (Inaudible) write it down. I heard you abstained.

COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
JUVENILE DETENTION

Councilman Meyer: Okay. Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriation, Juvenile Detention, thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00).

Roger Emmons: Good evening, Councilmen, Krystal, and Counselor. Roger Emmons, the County Administrator, Commissioners' Office. I think Krystal forwarded an email that went back to January 19th. We basically, when we were asking for this year's budget request, we put in thirty thousand ($30,000.00) for the Juvenile Detention line item in hopes that perhaps the Circuit Court Judge wouldn't send as many to these juvenile detention facilities. Back in 2016, his actual expenditures we a little over seventy-nine thousand ($79,000.00). And so we, I do, you know, I'm sure we came for additionals on that. We've also had to do some inline transfers just to get to, to get to the point where we come to you for more funding. In 2017, he cut back a little bit. It was only fifty-eight thousand ($58,000.00). So far this year, with a thirty thousand dollar ($30,000.00) beginning, some in the budget, we are at thirty-two thousand and eighteen dollars ($32,018.00). So for that 2018, over that thirty ($30,000.00), we've done inline to get to this point. I'd emailed Krystal that January 19th and asked her what she would recommend, because I thought another thirty thousand ($30,000.000), and she agreed with that with the caveat that we may have to come back. Judge Granger is Circuit Court Judge, he's the only one (1) that has the authority to send our juveniles to these types of detention facilities. And he uses the accredited facility Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village Center in Vincennes. To give you an idea the costs of those, where's the big one (1) that she had. They do, they not only charge a hundred and forty-two dollars ($142.00) a day per each juvenile, they administer drug tests and those are, those are costs, ten dollars ($10.00) every time. And so it ends up for this PO here, the first one (1) in 2018, we had four (4) drug screens, One (1) regular, one (1) synthetic, four (4) of those were rapid. Seventy-six (76) days of detention at a hundred and forty-two dollar ($142.00) a day. And forty-four (44) days of detention at that same rate plus seven (7) single drug screens. That was a total of seventeen thousand six hundred and twenty-six ($17,626.00) on this purchase order alone. And that, that was three (3) different invoices. We would have encumbered money from 2017 budget for this line item, but we didn't have anything to encumber. So we had to wait until this year's budget came into effect before we could pay these.

Councilman Rudolph: So, Roger, tell me if I under, make sure I understand, we're on March 1st, which means we've incurred thirty-two thousand dollars ($32,000.00) in sixty (60) days?

Roger Emmons: Well, like I say, the invoices that we got in late last year, that Judge Granger's Office sent to us, they included two (2) invoices from the end of June and one (1) from October 31st. Now we didn't get those, we don't get them until he submits them certifying that they're proper.

Councilman Rudolph: How much were those invoices?

Roger Emmons: The first one (1) was only seventy-five dollars ($75.00). The second one (1) was ten thousand seven hundred and ninety-two dollars ($10,792.00). And the third invoice was six thousand seven hundred and fifty-nine ($6,759.00) for a total of seventeen thousand six-twenty-six ($17,626.00). (Inaudible).

Councilman Rudolph: So put another way, those should be added to, really to 2017 expenditures if we were (inaudible).

Roger Emmons: They were 2017, but paid out of this year's budget.

Councilman Rudolph: Right, so really, so I think we said it was seventy-six thousand ($76,000.00) in '16? Right? Fifty-nine ($59,000.00) in '17.

Roger Emmons: And I don't know how much of that was at the end of 2015.

Councilman Rudolph: So really, we didn't, I guess what I'm trying to say is that, my thought, we went down last year in expenditures, but we really didn't. And it sounds like the Judge held on to them.

Roger Emmons: Somewhat, but the point is that he's spending more than you're budgeting.

Councilman Rudolph: Agreed.

Roger Emmons: And you know, the School Corporation, as far as I know, they feel like Judge Granger's doing an excellent job. But you have more and more situations where, I think Judge Kelley, when he was in, it was a time perhaps when our children weren't quite as aggressive. And now days, the kids that Judge Granger's dealing with, they're beating up their parents. Their parents are afraid of them, and they don't want them in their home. So, he sends them off to Vincennes to these detention facilities.

Councilman Meyer: I remember the days we spent three hundred thousand ($300,000.00). Don't you?

Roger Emmons: For?

Councilman Meyer: For detention.

Krystal Powless: It's been a long time. (Inaudible) a long time.

Councilman Meyer: It's been a while. I remember those numbers.

Roger Emmons: Oh.

Councilman Meyer: Don't you?

Roger Emmons: No, I don't.

Councilman Meyer: Yes, you do. You presented it.

Roger Emmons: Three hundred thousand ($300,000.00) for Juvenile Detention?

Councilman Meyer: Yeah.

Councilman Christmas: Oh, yeah.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah.

Krystal Powless: We used to appropriate a lot, I don't, back then.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah, it was a lot.

Roger Emmons: How far did that go back to? I mean I've been here forever. I just don't (inaudible).

Councilman Meyer: I know you have. You've been here longer than dirt.

Roger Emmons: You know, but I don't think it's going to stop anytime soon.

Councilman Meyer: No, it's not.

Councilman Christmas: It's going to get worse.

Councilman Meyer: And these are apparently problem people.

Roger Emmons: Right.

Councilman Meyer: That's for who he's doing it.

Roger Emmons: Yeah.

Councilman Meyer: So we need, we probably need to do it.

Roger Emmons: And like I said…

Councilman Meyer: It's sad.

Roger Emmons: The Superintendent and the School, I think Krystal's husband even commented, she thought, that this was doing some, a lot of good for these children. Hopefully they come back rehabilitated somewhat.

Councilman Meyer: Well, that what you hope.

Roger Emmons: Reconnected with their parents.

Councilman Hachmeister: In other words you think right now that you need fifty thousand ($50,000.00)? Correct?

Councilman Meyer: That's the only thing we can approve is thirty ($30,000.00).

Councilman Hachmeister: I make a motion to approve the fifty ($50,000.00).

Councilman Christmas: Second.

Councilman Meyer: You mean thirty ($30,000.00)?

Councilman Christmas: Thirty ($30,000.00) excuse me. Whatever, yeah.

Councilman Meyer: Thirty ($30,000.00) on it.

Roger Emmons: Thirty's ($30,000.00) all we advertised, so you can't...

Councilman Hachmeister: Oh, excuse me. I looked at the wrong one (1). I'll put my glasses back on. Fifty's ($50,000.00) next.

Councilman Christmas: Yeah.

Councilman Meyer: Got a motion...

Councilman Hachmeister: I talked, I talked to you earlier about the thirty ($30,000.00) and I sat here and looked at the fifty ($50,000.00) on the wrong (inaudible). Make a motion for the thirty thousand ($30,000.00). You got that?

Councilman Rudolph: Final answer.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah. I got it.

Commissioner Saylor: (Inaudible) more money.

Councilman Meyer: We have a motion by David and seconded by Charlie to approve the thirty ($30,000.00). Any more discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing).

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Krystal Powless: And the one (1)?

Councilman Meyer: Ted.

Councilman Metzger: Ted.

ELECTION BUSINESS
ELECTION EQUIPMENT SOFTWARE

Councilman Meyer: Okay, Election Business, Additional Appropriation, Election Equipment Software, fifty thousand dollars ($50,000.00).

Clerk Perry: Good evening. I had met with you and we had, we were going to take thirty-two thousand eight hundred and some change ($32,800.00) from our budget that we have right now from the savings that we have on the brochure that I had given to you regarding our e-poll books. Do you remember this brochure that everyone received? And then what they've done, what we've done is, or the Auditor, or the Council has added another Line Item 4443.00 for Election Equipment Software in the amount of fifty thousand dollars ($50,000.00). And that will bring the total amount with KNOWiNK to purchase the e-poll books is eighty-two thousand eight hundred and seventy-five dollars ($82,875.00).

Councilman Rudolph: That's the new total cost?

Clerk Perry: Yes. That's...

Councilman Meyer: That's a one (1) time deal, right?

Clerk Perry: Yes, Sir.

Councilman Meyer: I know I wasn't here last time and I apologize for that, but isn't this so when people come to the voting booths, they can basically go to anybody that's sitting there to get checked in? Is that, or am I wrong?

Clerk Perry: We will have poll books at, we've reduced our precincts down from forty-three (43) to thirty-two (32).

Councilman Meyer: Okay.

Clerk Perry: And we're going to have a number of poll books that will expedite the voters as they're going through the line.

Councilman Meyer: Right.

Clerk Perry: Is what's going to happen.

Councilman Meyer: Okay. That's what I understood.

Clerk Perry: And we're also adding more machines at, since we limited the number of precincts. We're going to put more voting machines in those locations.

Councilman Meyer: Okay.

Clerk Perry: And we're going to have all of the ballots will be on all the machines. So...

Councilman Meyer: Okay, that's, that's what I understood. I just wanted to make sure. Okay.

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Christmas: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by David and...

Councilman Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

Councilman Meyer: Seconded by Charlie to approve the fifty thousand ($50,000.00). Are you looking at fifty thousand ($50,000.00) this time?

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah.

Councilman Meyer: Okay.

Councilman Rudolph: You're not looking at thirty ($30,000.00)?

Councilman Meyer: He's not looking at the thirty ($30,000.00). Any more discussion? All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Clerk Perry: Thank you very much.

Councilman Meyer: Thank you.

SUPERIOR COURT I AND II BUSINESS
ADULT PROBATION SUPERIOR
DRUG SCREENS

Councilman Meyer: Superior Court I and II, Adult Probation Superior, Superior II Drug Screens, five thousand dollars ($5,000.00). Superior I Drug Screen, five thousand dollars ($5,000.00), for a total of ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00).

Krystal Powless: This is out of their user fee.

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah, they've got.

Krystal Powless: There's plenty of money.

Councilman Hachmeister: They've got plenty of money. Motion to approve.

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by David to approve and seconded by Brad. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries seven, zero (7-0).

PROSECUTOR BUSINESS
PRETRIAL – OFFICE EQUIPMENT

Councilman Meyer: Prosecutor Business, Additional Appropriation, Pre-Trial. Office Equipment, six thousand dollars ($6,000.00).

Prosecutor Perry: Good evening.

Councilman Meyer: Good evening.

Prosecutor Perry: Mike Perry, Prosecutor. You know I'm always second at home and the least you could do is let me go before my wife at the meetings.

Krystal Powless: I'll remember that.

Councilman Meyer: She presented much better.

Prosecutor Perry: She does, doesn't she?

Councilman Christmas: Looks better than you, too.

Councilman Meyer: You ought to take a lesson.

Prosecutor Perry: Yes, Sir.

Councilman Rudolph: (Inaudible) motion to table just after that.

Prosecutor Perry: We're in need of computers. I'm just asking to switch money over from my Infraction Deferral money. It's no money from the General Fund. We got a quote...

Councilman Meyer: Is this hard drives or actual...?

Prosecutor Perry: It's just the hard drive not the monitors. Unfortunately, I'm still tied to the contract that my predecessor signed, that I have to deal with the IT company that I have in my office. And so we, we got a quote, I think it's for eleven (11) machines.

Councilman Meyer: How long you under that contract?

Prosecutor Perry: For another year.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Meyer: Seconded by David. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PROSECUTOR TRANSFER

Prosecutor Perry: Did I have something else?

Krystal Powless: You have a transfer. But...

Councilman Rudolph: Motion to approve.

Councilman Meyer: There's a transfer from General to Equipment...

Councilman Christmas: To Equipment.

Councilman Meyer: Seven hundred twenty dollars ($720.00). Made a motion by Paul and seconded by...

Councilman Hachmeister: Me.

Councilman Meyer: David. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Prosecutor Perry: Thank you.

Councilman Meyer: Thank you.

Prosecutor Perry: Happy Birthday.

Krystal Powless: Thank you.

AREA PLAN BUSINESS OFFICE EQUIPMENT

Councilman Meyer: Area Plan Business, Additional Appropriation, Office Equipment, five thousand five hundred dollars ($5,500.00).

Sherri Rector: Hello.

Councilman Meyer: Hello.

Sherri Rector: Sherri Rector, Executive Director, Area Plan Commission. My request is, according to the letter that I sent you, for four (4) new computers. The ones that we're replacing were bought in 2011. The warranty ran out on all of them in 2013. I'm also asking for software, updates for all the computers in our office, which would be two (2) more than the new ones. I don't need a new computer and we have another new one (1) that's in there. But we do need to all have the same software, which is, Doug, what? Windows 16? Is that what we're talking about?

Doug Duncan: Office 16.

Sherri Rector: So we can all read each other's, the data and everything. The rest of it is for two (2) transcription kits, which is what we need to do our minutes from the Area Plan Commission and BZA Board Meeting since it no longer, the ones that we have to use this equipment no longer runs on our computers. The foot pedals have broke. They don't work at all. We're trying to do it through our keyboards and trying to get it, just limping through 'til we can get some new computers. They just don't work anymore. For instance also I'm responsible for the census through our County.

Councilman Meyer: I was going to ask you that. Does this, does this have something to do with that too?

Sherri Rector: Well, yes, and we just started getting the new software from them. We have to do all the addressing updates for the 2020 Census. We got it, two (2) of my employees went to the training a couple weeks ago. We just got the new software to do the upgrades. And they will not work on our computers. We did take it over to Chris Skinner in the Engineer's Office who just got a computer that, like I'm requesting with the software. And it does work on hers. We called Chicago and everything and we just, we just can't do it on our old computers. So we just desperately need them, new ones, and everything to be updated. As you know, we did raise our fees, and, to try and bring in more money. And we did bring in from 2016 compared to 2017, we brought in fifty...over fifty-five thousand ($55,000.00) more dollars in fees. And, so I think this year we'll also bring in more. We have a lot of future, large developments that are coming in and stuff. So it will help out in supplementing the additional.

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by David to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Metzger: I'll second it.

Councilman Meyer: I'm sorry, Ted?

Councilman Metzger: Ted.

Councilman Meyer: Ted, seconded it. Any further discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Sherri Rector: Thank you very much.

Councilman Meyer: Thank you.

SHERIFF BUSINESS
JAIL – COOK

Councilman Meyer: Sheriff Business, Additional Appropriation, Jail, Cook, three thousand nine hundred and nine dollars and sixty-seven cents ($3,909.67).

Councilman Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Councilman Christmas: We wanted you to walk all the way up here, show you could make it.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by David to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Overton: Second.

Councilman Meyer: And seconded by Brad. All those in favor?

Krystal Powless: That included the Salary Ordinance, correct?

Councilman Meyer: Yes.

Councilman Overton: Of course.

Councilman Meyer: Did you vote for it?

Councilman Overton: Yes, I voted for it.

Councilman Meyer: Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Councilman Overton: You just didn't see it.

Councilman Meyer: Just didn't see it. And it also included the Salary Ordinance.

Councilman Hachmeister: Yeah.

Councilman Overton: Yes.

Councilman Meyer: Yes. Okay.

(March Appropriations are located on Page 15 of these Official Minutes)

SALARY ORDINANCE
RETIREE

Councilman Meyer: 9C is Salary Ordinance, Retiree, one thousand five hundred sixty-nine dollars and four cents ($1,569.04).

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Brad.

Councilman Hachmeister: Second.

Councilman Meyer: And seconded by David to approve. Is this from a person's leaving?

Krystal Powless: Yeah, it's not a retiree.

Councilman Meyer: Not really a retiree.

Krystal Powless: The first one (1) was, but the second wasn't.

Councilman Meyer: Okay. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Sheriff Kruse: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Councilman Christmas: Boy, you're a smooth talker there Sheriff.

Councilman Rudolph: Hey, Sheriff?

Sheriff Kruse: Yeah.

Councilman Rudolph: One (1) quick question for you real quick. So we just heard it was a hundred and seventyfour ($174.00) I think it was for the juvenile delinquencies per day. I was just curious, what do we charge other Counties if they store a...

Sheriff Kruse: Thirty-five ($35.00).

Councilman Rudolph: Thirty-five dollars ($35.00) a day?

Sheriff Kruse: If we house an adult inmate.

Councilman Rudolph: Um hum. So we pay five (5) times as much for juveniles? Okay.

COUNCIL BUSINESS
SALARY ORDINANCE
PART-TIME PAY INCREASE

Councilman Meyer: Okay, Council Business, Salary Ordinance, Part-Time Rate at three percent (3%) increase.

Krystal Powless: Okay, you actually approved this at the last meeting. But I went ahead and went though and redid all the Salary Ordinances with Part-Time and increased them three percent (3%). So I didn't know if you wanted to just make a motion to approve them. That'd be great.

Councilman Meyer: Okay. That's fine with me.

Councilman Overton: Motion to approve.

Councilman Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Councilman Christmas: Second.

Councilman Meyer: And seconded by Charlie. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Councilman Meyer: Okay, a couple of announcements that I have. As you probably have noticed, we have a new, new lady on the end of the bench here. This is Jean Blanton. And just take the place of Cliff tonight. He's in Florida of course.

Jean Blanton: Happiest place on earth.

Councilman Meyer: Yeah, that's right.

Jean Blanton: Disney World. Yeah.

Councilman Meyer: So thank you for coming.

Jean Blanton: My pleasure. Thank you.

Councilman Meyer: Appreciate it. Wanted to introduce her. Any Councilman have anything to bring up?

Councilman Richmond: Innkeeper Tax?

Krystal Powless: No, (inaudible).

Councilman Meyer: Anybody from the public like to address the Council about anything? I will, yeah. Just a reiterate the meeting, we have a meeting next week, March 8th, 6:00 (PM) in this room. That would be the third Public Hearing and the approval or disapproval of the bonds that we're looking at passing. That will be next Thursday. Our next regular schedule meeting will be April 5th at 6:00 (PM) in this room. So, any other questions? Nobody? Looking for a motion.

Councilman Rudolph: Motion.

Councilman Meyer: Meeting's adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 6:44 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

David Hachmeister

Ted Metzger

Paul Rudolph

Greg Richmond, Vice President

Brad Overton

Charles R. Christmas

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 2018-03

A RESOLUTION OF THE WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MAKING A PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION TO ISSUE BONDS

WHEREAS, the Warrick County Council (the "Council"), as the fiscal body of Warrick County, Indiana (the "County") has given consideration to projects and improvements at certain County-owned facilities including without limitation: (i) renovations, improvements and upgrades to the existing County Courthouse and Judicial Center, as more particularly identified and described in the report from Energy Systems Group, Inc., dated February 8, 2018, including, but not limited to, certain structural, electrical, mechanical, plumbing, HVAC and technology equipping and improvement projects; (ii) renovations, improvements and upgrades to the County's existing historic jail; (iii) acquisition, improvement and equipping projects for the County Sheriff Department, including, without limitation, certain technology upgrades to the computer system and E911 system; and (iv) any other miscellaneous renovations, improvements or equipping projects related to the foregoing items (collectively, the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, in order to provide funds to pay the costs of the Project and any expenses incurred in connection with or on account of the issuance of the Bonds, including any capitalized interest, the Council has given consideration to borrowing money in the maximum principal amount not to exceed $7,400,000, with such indebtedness to be evidenced by issuance of notes, bonds or other obligations of the County (collectively, the "Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20-3.1, if the County proposes to impose property taxes to pay debt service or lease rentals on any construction, renovation, improvement, remodeling, alteration or expansion project, which is not excluded under Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20-1.1, it must conduct two public hearings on a preliminary determination to proceed with any such project prior to the adoption of any resolution or ordinance making a preliminary determination to issue bonds or enter into a lease for such project; and

WHEREAS, after giving notice of public hearings in accordance with Indiana law, this Council conducted separate public hearings on February 22, 2018 and on March 1, 2018 concerning the Project at which times the Council received public input and all interested people were given the opportunity to present testimony and ask questions concerning the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Council, being duly advised, finds that it is in the best interests of the County and its citizens to authorize the issuance of the Bonds for the purpose of financing all or any portion of the Project, together with any expenses incurred in connection with or on account of the issuance of the Bonds, in order to better serve the residents of the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council hereby makes a preliminary determination that there is a need to construct the Project. Accordingly, the Council hereby makes a preliminary determination to borrow moneys and issue the Bonds for the purpose of financing the Project, in order to better serve the residents of the County. The Bonds shall be payable from ad valorem property taxes to be levied and collected on all taxable property within the County.

The total maximum original aggregate principal amount of the Bonds will not exceed $7,400,000. The Bonds will have a maximum term not to exceed ten (10) years. Based on maximum interest rates that would be paid in connection with the Bonds of four percent (4.00%) per annum and assuming the Bonds are amortized over a maximum term of ten (10) years, the total estimated interest cost associated therewith, not excluding any funds of the County used to pay capitalized interest, will not exceed $1,675,456.

The County's certified total debt service fund tax levy for 2017 pay 2018 (which is the most recent certified tax levy) is $0.00, and the County's debt service fund tax rate for 2017 pay 2018 (which is the most recent certified tax rate) is $0.00 per $100 of assessed value. Assuming the Bonds are amortized over a term of approximately five and one-half (5.5) years, the estimated increase to the County's debt service levy and rate is expected to be $1,484,635 and $0.0501 per $100 of assessed value, respectively, in 2018 pay 2019 as a result of the payment of debt service on the Bonds. The percent of the County's current annual debt service/lease payments and estimated maximum annual debt service/lease payments after the issuance of the Bonds compared to the net assessed value of taxable property within the County is approximately five one-hundredths of one percent (0.05%). The percent of the County's outstanding long term debt, plus with the outstanding long term debt of other taxing units that include any of the territory of the County, compared to the net assessed value of taxable property within the County is approximately two and one-tenth of one percent (2.10%). The estimated amount of the County's debt service levy and tax rate that will result during the following ten (10) years if the County issues the Bonds after considering any changes that will occur to the debt service levy and rate during such period as a result of any outstanding bonds or lease obligations that will mature or terminate during such period is as depicted at each of the public hearings.

Section 2. A notice of the foregoing preliminary determination to issue the Bonds shall be given by the County in accordance with Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20-3.1.

Section 3. The foregoing preliminary determination to issue the Bonds shall constitute a preliminary decision to issue bonds of the County for purposes of Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20-5, and notice of such preliminary decision shall be published and posted in accordance with Indiana Code § 6-1.1-20-5.

Section 4. This resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its adoption and compliance with the procedures required by law.

-2-

DULY PASSED and ADOPTED on this 1 day of MARCH, 2018, by the Warrick County Council, as fiscal body of Warrick County, Indiana, by a vote of 7 in favor, 0 opposed, and 0 abstaining.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

Charles R. Christmas

Paul Rudolph

Ted Metzger

Greg Richmond, Vice President

David Hachmeister

Brad Overton

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, Indiana

DMS 11615425v2

-3-

Sec. 1 Be it ordained (resolved) by the Warrick County Council, Warrick County, Indiana, that for the expenses of the taxing unit the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the proposed specified, subject to the laws governing the same:

FUND	APPROPRIATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT REQUESTED	AMOUNT REQUIRED
(1) **Economic Development**	1112-000-4516.75	Industrial Incentive	$140,000.00	140,000
Total Economic Development			$140,000.00	
Coroner	1000-107-4444.00	Motorized Equipment	$25,950.00	TABLE
Total Coroner			$25,950.00	
Election	1215-000-4443.00	Election Equip. Soft.	$50,000.00	50,000
Total Election			$50,000.00	
Adult Probation Superior	2002-000-4214.00	Superior II Drug Screens	$5,000.00	5,000
	2002-000-4213.00	Superior I Drug Screens	$5,000.00	5,000
Total Adult Probation Superior			$10,000.00	10,000
Commissioner	1000-116-4315.00	Juvenile Detention	$30,000.00	30,000
Total Commissioner			$30,000.00	
Jail	1000-114-4113.00	Cook	$3,909.67	3,909.67
Total Jail			$3,909.67	
Pre-Trial	2504-000-4442.00	Office Equipment	$6,000.00	6,000
Total Pre-Trial			$6,000.00	
Area Plan Commission	1000-115-4442.00	Office Equipment	$5,500.00	5,500
Total Area Plan			$5,500.00	

Taxpayers appearing in the meeting shall have a right to be heard. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The Board will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the appropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a Certified Copy of the action.

Adopted this _____ day of _____, 2018

AYE

NAY (1)

(1)

Gary Bus Tax Tax

ATTEST: Deborah Stevens
Auditor Warrick County