WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
November 2, 2017
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Jean Blanton was in attendance.

County Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Gary Meyer, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Gary Meyer: Before we get started, well, better wait, do Roll Call.

Krystal Powless: Gary Meyer?

Gary Meyer: Here.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: Here.

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

Krystal Powless: Paul Rudolph?

Paul Rudolph: Here.

Gary Meyer: Before we get started, I thought, I didn't think we'd have to do this again, but I hope that everyone would keep their thoughts and prayers with the family, the terrorist attack in New York. Kind of getting tired of doing that every month. But I guess this is the time we're living in. So, just keep those folks in mind. And if you haven't seen a picture of Krystal's new grandbaby, I'm sure she's got one (1) you can see, handy anyway.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
OCTOBER 5, 2017

Gary Meyer: Approve the Minutes of the October 5th Meeting, Department Hearings, Review of the Levy Limits, Budget Session, Public Hearing, and Budget Adoption.

Krystal Powless: I just have one (1) thing. I wouldn't mind you tabling the Budget Session until I have time to review it. Cause I've just, with everything going on this week, I didn't get a chance to do that.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Brad Overton: Motion.

Gary Meyer: Motion to?

Brad Overton: Table.

Gary Meyer: Table the Budget Session and the Budget Adoption, both of those?

Krystal Powless: Yeah, that's fine.

Gary Meyer: Made by Brad. Is there a second?

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Greg to table those items. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, zero (6-0 Councilman Christmas left the room briefly and was not present for this vote). Okay, so we need a motion to approve the Minutes of the October 5th meeting.

Greg Richmond: So Moved.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Brad to approve.

Krystal Powless: You can approve the Department Hearings also.

Gary Meyer: And the Department Hearings, okay. Okay.

Greg Richmond: I've not read the Department Hearings.

Krystal Powless: Okay, then maybe include them in the tabled.

Gary Meyer: Leave that in the tabled?

Greg Richmond: Yes.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Gary Meyer: So we're just dealing with the Regular Meeting, October 5th. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, nothing…six, zero (6-0 Councilman Christmas left the room briefly and was not present for this vote).

Paul Rudolph: So I think we need someone to motion to, on the Department Hearings, since we just did the other two (2), right? We need a motion to table that?

Gary Meyer: To table the Department Hearings?

Paul Rudolph: So, I'll motion that.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Okay, motion made by Paul and seconded by Brad to table the Department Hearings. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, zero (6-0 Councilman Christmas left the room briefly and was not present for this vote).

NEW BUSINESS
EMS BUSINESS
THIRD QUARTER REPORT

Gary Meyer: Okay, under New Business, EMS Business, Third Quarter Report.

Tony O'Neal: Tony O'Neal, St. Vincent's Warrick EMS. Nice to see you all again. It's been a while.

Gary Meyer: Yes, it is.

Tony O'Neal: Yes. I have the Third Quarter County Report for, for your EMS Service. We're slightly off from last year about this time from about a hundred and seventy-two (172) from last year. We were down in our Local Hospital Transfers and Refusals, too. And also in our Private Runs. We just this last quarter, just seem to be a, maybe everyone's just stayed healthy and they've stayed safe. So the runs, the volume is just down. We, we have noticed October's spiked back up. So it's going to get busy again on us. Community events, some things we've been involved with the last quarter. Ohio Township Fire Department Open House, we were there. Castle High School Marching Band Competition, football games, we've stayed for the football games here in the County. We did stand-by for the Fourth of July Celebrations, also was involved with the Kid's Day at Chandler Library, National Day Out, Job Sparks event. Then something else we started this, this year, is we're doing what we call, Adult Training, the local fire departments right now. And it's just another, it's another safety program that kind of goes along with SIDS and infants. This has to do with sleeping arrangements and placing babies properly in the crib and stranglization and things like that that could happen in a crib. We've trained, I think four (4), of the fire departments in the County already. We passed out like a hundred and seventy-five (175) to two hundred (200) packets in the County to mothers. So we're pretty heavy in that this year that we're doing it with the fire department. So doing a lot of other things, and we're working with also Boonville High School on an External Heat Stroke Program that we, we've put into place this year. And it's very successful. It's been a busy summer. Any questions?

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Tony O'Neal: Thank you, appreciate it.

Gary Meyer: See you in three (3) months.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUSINESS
WARRICK PATHWAYS GRANT
INDUSTRIAL INCENTIVES-ALCOA

Gary Meyer: Okay. Economic Development, Additional Appropriation, Warrick Pathways Grant, two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000.00). Industrial Incentives, hundred and forty thousand dollars ($140,000.00), for a total of three hundred forty thousand dollars ($340,000.00).

Larry Taylor: I, I, I'll speak to, Larry Taylor, Economic Development Director. I'll speak to the Pathway's Grant request first. That's a request for two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000.00). And as you know, or may know, Warrick Pathways is a 501C-3 Group that's been very active in the County the last couple of years. They've been going out and have raised quite a bit of money from a variety of, of different organizations. And a lot of people like Toyota, Vectren, Old National, Pollocks, Deaconess, Welborn Foundation, and St. Vincent's have contributed to their efforts. So they're truly

going out and raising money. If you look at some of the stuff that's visible, that they've started construction on, there's route markings from Friedman Park all the way out to Boonville. And there's a, it goes out through the roadways there and they're all, it's all mile markings and everything else is all marked off. There's a trail head establishment at Vann Road Park. That's down where the Vann Road Baseball Fields are. And what they did is they took and they spruced up and renovated and put stone and turned the one (1) shelter that was up by the door into a trail head and put the bike racks and that sort of thing there. There's a trail around Vann Park Lake that they've put in. So if you take, if you go from that trail head and there's a lake there, the, anybody could walk out around. But now they've got a loop trail that goes around, around that lake. So somebody could go and park there and just go to that shelter and then use that loop. There's a new trail head under construction on Anderson Road. And that's at the part of Friedman Park that fronts Anderson Road. It's right along the main part of the highway there, or the roadway there. And that's about seventy-five (75) percent done. I think this week they were pouring concrete and things for that. But they've got the structure up and they're going to stone and expanded the parking lot there. And again that's where people can come and park then take their bike and go out onto the different trail networks. There's a through trail from Roselin Road to Anderson Road at Friedman Park. So it comes clear out from back by the Brentwood Meadows, where it used to be, the back of Victoria, all the way through to Anderson Road has been put together. There's a trail along Stahl Road, has been put out. And there's a trail along Anderson Road. So if you go in the front of Friedman Park where that through trail comes out, then there's a, a connector trail that goes up to the entrance to Victoria. And that trail, the future plans for that, is it's going to go south, south along Anderson Road also. We will connect that trail head down to the Vann Road Park trail head. So the idea is, is a lot of the people that live there can either get out and walk or they can take their bikes from their house and, you know, connect into the network. So they have a whole network. I believe the goal is to do thirty (30) miles of, thirty (30) miles of new connected trails by next year. And they are well on their way to getting that done and they've been raising money and everything for it. From a financial standpoint, they've been raising money from all of the groups that I've mentioned. They've also been working with the Evansville MPO, which is the Regional MPO that we're part of. And the Commissioners and Warrick County Schools and they've gotten a Federal TAP Grant. Previously that was known as the Safe Routes to School Grant, but now it's called a TAP Grant that they'll be putting some trails in around the school system. And they worked with the Warrick County School Corporation to put that together. As part of the regional cities program, they received a one point nine-five million ($1,950,000.00) grant from that that they're administering everything on. So that's all stuff that's benefitting our area. And what we're asking for is to provide a grant out of some EDIT Funds that would provide some, they would use to provide matching funds so if you have some other communities around Warrick County that are trying to do a matching funds type project, it will leverage their funds. They are coming to the trails group to do that and want to provide a grant that would help them work with some of those groups. And also to do some design engineering, you know, in advance of things coming out. Cause lots of times, if you have a design that shows this is what it's going to cost in the estimates, then it helps them to get some of the, the monies for it. Specifically, it's to complete that Rivertown Trail in Newburgh that goes out to where Mulzer's fields are. So we take it from where it is today, it goes out by Mulzer fields and then eventually you can connect it and go into the Evansville system if you by chance, would ever want to go to Evansville. And then there's, from Tecumseh High School into Lynnville, would be the engineering part of it. I don't know if you've ever been up there at the end of the school day, but that's, I think it's State Route 68?

Charlie Christmas: (State Road) 68.

Larry Taylor: And there's kids walking along that highway with all the trucks and everything else. Instead of waiting for the bus or ride, they walk from there to Lynnville. So just to put a trail system in there would be really, really great. So that's what the funds are for and right now we're requesting two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000.00) for that. I do have Steve Roelle who is the head of the Warrick Pathways Group. And Howard Nevins, who's Chairman Emeritus or something of that group, if there's any questions.

Greg Richmond: Is there a map on the website?

Larry Taylor: Yes, there is.

Greg Richmond: And what is the website?

Larry Taylor: You know what the website is?

Steve Roelle: Warricktrails.org.

Greg Richmond: Thank you.

Steve Roelle: And I can give you a mini map and you can look at it right now if you like.

Larry Taylor: The reason why we're involved in this from Economic Development is it all goes under the quality of life type thing. I mean, I tell you, if you go out and go look on Anderson Road, go out to the new Friedman Park and look at that through trail. It's amazing the people that are using that. I believe a lot of the people using that wouldn't be out walking if there wasn't a trail or a something that's safe for them to be going on.

Paul Rudolph: How much money have we raised from Deaconess, Welborn, all that? I think it was said it was significant. Just curious what that means.

Steve Roelle: For that amount we are right over, like at one point one million dollars ($1,100,000.00).

Larry Taylor: One point one million dollars ($1,100,000.00) was the answer.

Paul Rudolph: Thank you.

Larry Taylor: And a lot of that is they're sponsoring some of the trail heads. And one (1) thing I didn't mention was the planned development. They've already broken ground on a trial head that's up at the, one (1) of the out lots at the Warrick County Industrial Park right off Eskew Road right there. And it'll have a trail head like the ones down on Anderson Road and the one (1) down by Vann Road Park. But this one (1) will also have a little walking loop. So if you wanted to just go there and park and walk the little loop a couple of times, you know, you could do that. Or you could use that as a kicking off point to take your bike down on the trails and do whatever.

Larry Taylor: Yes, um hum.

Ted Metzger: Is that those signs along Highway 61?

Larry Taylor: There's some signs along (Highway) 61, but the majority of the route goes on some of the backroads up to Boonville.

Ted Metzger: But they utilize the County roads anyways?

Larry Taylor: Yes, uh huh.

Ted Metzger: So where's the overhead in doing something like that, I mean besides the signs themselves?

Larry Taylor: Well...

Ted Metzger: Where's the overhead in laying out a trail along an existing highway?

Larry Taylor: That's not, that's not a huge cost other than engineering costs of the signs. But there are some specific requirements that you have to have looked at on the signs and the distance that they're put out there and everything else that they went through. Not a lot of overhead on that portion of it.

Ted Metzger: Yeah, so where's the majority of the overhead going on producing this trail? Is that just trail heads?

Larry Taylor: Well, there's trail heads and then the, the first trail that's been laid along Anderson Road. The loop trail that's going around Vann Road Park Lake...

Ted Metzger: Now the one (1) laid at Anderson Road. Where was this laid at?

Larry Taylor: It goes from the entrance from Friedman Park, north. It's right along Anderson Road there.

Steve Roelle: I can answer that (inaudible) as well.

Gary Meyer: You want to come up to the microphone?

Steve Roelle: Yeah, I'm Steve Roelle. I'm the President of Warrick Trails. Like you mentioned, on some of the State Roads, and some of those shared lanes, that is signs and some street markings. But a lot of where that money is going is the asphalt trails which are off of the road. So all the way down Anderson Road will be asphalt trail. The through trail which Larry mentioned from Anderson towards Brentwood Meadows is, is eight (8) foot wide asphalt. It's not on a road or anything else like that. So it's laying, laying new trail down. Same along the lake at Vann, and next year we plan to connect from the Anderson trail head with, not on the roads, so you're off the roads, but a separate trail all the way down Anderson Road to Vann, Vann to the baseball/softball fields, continuing east on Vann, up Whethers Road, and back into, making a much larger loop back into the new Friedman Park. And so that's where a lot of the money is going plus the trail head location.

Ted Metzger: So these trails will be running on right-of-ways?

Steve Roelle: For the most part. I've talked to some private land owners as well.

Ted Metzger: Uh hum.

Steve Roelle: But a lot of that is within County easements.

Larry Taylor: They've worked with the Commissioners and the Highway Department to look at where they need right-of-ways and the Commissioners have cooperated with them on it.

Steve Roelle: And the stoplight on (Highway) 61, it's a small section, and hopefully, depending on new developments that are coming in, we are looking at taking it off (Highway) 61, once some new developments come in, get back onto County roads. We do have a one (1) of a kind agreement with INDOT to be able to do that. We did that on (Highway) 261. We've done that a little bit on (Highway) 61 and a little bit on (Highway) 62 as needed. And that's the first time INDOT's agreed to do that kind of markings. That is an agreement with Warrick Trails.

Ted Metzger: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Anybody else have any questions? Questions?

Paul Rudolph: This money will be coming from EDIT Funds? Correct?

Larry Taylor: Yes, Sir.

Paul Rudolph: Krystal, do you know the balance of EDIT, give or take?

Krystal Powless: Gosh, I don't know. One million eight-seventy-nine-six-fifteen ($1,879,615.00) estimated at the end of the year.

Paul Rudolph: So we're getting a little close and a little low. I'm actually a big proponent of the w...of trails and Warrick Trails in specific sound like a great idea. Like to see more people walking, using the pathways for exercise and so forth. I motion we approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul to approve. Is there a second?

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Charlie. Any further discussion?

Greg Richmond: This is on the two hundred thousand dollar ($200,000.00) grant.

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Gary Meyer: Right. I'll say one (1) thing, the one (1) in Newburgh, I kind of had mixed emotions about that, but I don't care what time you go down there, there are people, there are people walking.

Charlie Christmas: They're walking (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Or riding a bike or something. That one's being used a lot. Any further discussion? Motion made by Paul and seconded by Charlie. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried five to two (5-2 with David Hachmeister and Ted Metzger opposing). Ted and David. Okay?

Larry Taylor: Okay, thank you. Second request was for ALCOA reopening their smelter, or partial reopening. It's three (3) of the five (5) pot lines. And as part of that, they are spending around fifty-eight million dollars ($58,000,000.00). And the restart expense, capital expense, or working capital, and of course the working capital, a lot of that would have been working capital that they're replacing, that when they closed it, they did away with. So it's kind of a, a, a give and take type of situation. In the smelter, there'll be three hundred and seventy (370) employees employed. And out of that, it's estimated there'll be two hundred seventy-five (275) recalls, or, and/or new hires. Some of those will be transferred from other parts of the plant. And this was just part of when they were looking at doing that to see if they could get some local help. It, it is some amount of money. It's a hundred and forty thousand dollars ($140,000.00) is what we estimate it would be. But the big thing that this accomplished was them to be able to, ALCOA to be able to go to the Corporation to say, yes, we have local support to do that. You know of course Warrick County has supported ALCOA, you know, every step of the way since they came here. But this was a big decision for them, a big capital outlay for them, and this basically demonstrates that local support.

Gary Meyer: Do I understand that you're coming back in December for something else with them?

Larry Taylor: There's a tax phase-in request and they're still determining what of the new equipment that they are buying would count as incremental new equipment to determine what that request would be for. So.

Gary Meyer: Can we just table this 'til we could do it all at one (1) time? Is that a problem?

Larry Taylor: Not for me. No.

Gary Meyer: Not for you? Well, it just seems to me that it makes more sense if we do it all at one (1) time. We're looking at a tax abatement and this incentive that's all tied up into the same project. So, I don't know what the Council thinks?

Charlie Christmas: I agree.

Ted Metzger: I think it's separate.

Paul Rudolph: Hmm?

Ted Metzger: I think it's separate instances. I think we ought to vote on them separately.

Charlie Christmas: We can do that in December.

Larry Taylor: They are two (2), they are two (2) different types of things. One (1) is a tax phase-in for new equipment that we regularly entertain for ALCOA and other existing businesses in the County. And then this one (1) is an employment incentive for recalled Warrick County Employees or new hire employees. So they are two (2) separate things, but they all, they go towards the one (1) issue which is to support the opening of the smelter, partial reopening of the smelter.

Ted Metzger: So do we have to have a motion to discuss this any further?

Paul Rudolph: We can discuss it. But then someone could do a motion at any point in time.

Gary Meyer: If you want to make a motion, Ted, make it.

Ted Metzger: Well, I don't know if I want to make a motion. I have a question about this.

Gary Meyer: Go ahead.

Charlie Christmas: Spit it out.

Ted Metzger: Everybody's trying to work hard to get ALCOA back in the County, up and running and that. The taxpayers are in, the County's in, ALCOA's in obviously. And I just, I don't see anywhere where the Unions are in. What are the Unions contributing to this? I mean they're getting ready to get their Union members back to work here. Everybody has skin in the game except the Union. And the Union's the one (1) that will benefit pretty good here.

Charlie Christmas: The whole County's going to benefit.

Ted Metzger: Yeah, the whole County's going to benefit. But I'd like to see everybody has some skin in the game equally and take a little pressure off the taxpayers. If we table this, is there any chance we can go to the steel...Steel Union and ask them to pay this two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) an employee?

Larry Taylor: I can't speak for ALCOA, they're not here.

Charlie Christmas: Can't speak for the Union either.

we can pursue? The Union's getting off here scot-free. Hum? Does that sound feasible? Am I out of line asking the Union to put some skin in the game?

Gary Meyer: I don't know who would ask the Union is the only thing.

Brad Overton: Yeah, I'd...

David Hachmeister: Well, it's the obligation of the Company to train the employees. But the only thing is too, a lot of these employees are going back on the same job that they were laid off from.

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Ted Metzger: Exactly.

David Hachmeister: Where my complaint is, every time they come to the phase-in, and I've got with ALCOA and the Union as well, the basis on their new hires is about twenty-one (21) percent is from Warrick County.

Charlie Christmas: Not even that much.

David Hachmeister: And why Warrick County would, you know, give a hundred and forty thousand dollars ($140,000.00) for, to help replace, although they are being employed in Warrick County, it goes back to help ALCOA. And...

Larry Taylor: Well, it's the, the, the employment and the benefit to Warrick County beyond the ALCOA workers living in Warrick County and buying property and that sort of thing, is the EDIT contribution that they would have. And we get the EDIT contribution from any of the people that come from Kentucky over here as well as ones in Warrick County. If they come from other counties in Indiana we don't. So, and then the other benefit is just the property tax on their equipment and their plant. And with the smelter, you know, they were already were taking steps to fully depreciate that and take that off their books, which would be a big property tax hit for us. So I think the real, the real risk is, do they not do it at all and they could continue down a path of not being, being productive because they don't have on site metal. You know, you would have thought they would have figured that before they closed it the first time. But they didn't and that's really what the issue that they're struggling with is, you know, right now they're at a certain level of profitability and this will make it a more viable facility for ALCOA corporate wide, and one (1) they would continue to invest in.

Paul Rudolph: So I think...

Ted Metzger: (Inaudible) I'm sorry. I think we're all in agreement. It's a wonderful thing. I can't wait for them to get started. It's great for the County. Great for the employees. Great for everybody. But the issue is, people with skin in the game here. I keep saying skin in the game, but the Unions, you know, are making out like bandits here. And it seems like even if you could go back to the Union and have them give us the five hundred dollars ($500.00) per employee. I'd even take a hundred bucks ($100.00) an employee. Letting them just skate, kind of rubs the grain the wrong way. I would say, I would make a motion if I made a motion, this is not a motion, that, to go ahead and table this and approach the Union and see if they're willing to...

Larry Taylor: I don't know if it's appropriate for somebody from Warrick County to be talking to ALCOA's steelworkers.

Paul Rudolph: It would be ALCOA that would...

Gary Meyer: I think ALCOA would have to make that.

Paul Rudolph: If ALCOA makes that. Obviously they're a private entity. So we can't really force...

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible).

Charlie Christmas: Yeah, we can't. They'd have to.

Paul Rudolph: ALCOA to do anything.

Brad Overton: I think you're going to have a hard time getting them to make contract...

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible).

Brad Overton: Larry, this hundred and forty thousand dollars ($140,000.00), is that based upon seventy (70) Warrick County hires? Is that what they're projecting?

Larry Taylor: Yes, Sir.

Paul Rudolph: So I can't speak for anyone else here, but you know, my thought is whenever we look at the amount of money to contribute to something, I look at the package as a whole. Right? And if we give a ten (10) year tax phase-in, I might be more inclined to do that than if we give a three (3) year phase-in I might be more (inaudible) to why. If Larry's come before the Council next month all ready to discuss ALCOA, I agree with Gary's original suggestion that we should probably table this and discuss it all at the same time and make our decisions accordingly. So I move that we table this until after we have discussed the tax phase-in.

Charlie Christmas: I second that motion.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul and seconded by Charlie to table this industrial incentive until the December meeting. Is that correct?

Charlie Christmas: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: Yes.

Gary Meyer: Okay. All those in favor of that, of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Larry Taylor: And Councilman Metzger, I'll, I'll address that with ALCOA, your suggestion.

Ted Metzger: Thank you.

Brad Overton: And, and, I don't, if you go to ALCOA, that's everybody's concern for them to push for the Union to do that, or if that's just Councilman Metzger's concern. So you might want to let that be known as well.

Charlie Christmas: I'll tell you what, (inaudible) we can't talk...

Brad Overton: Cause I, yeah, I don't know...

Charlie Christmas: Company has to but then you're talking about a contract. They have a Union contract. And somebody's gonna say, well they can change (inaudible) the contract, (inaudible) then you've got another problem.

Brad Overton: Yes, would kind of agree with Charlie. That's probably a little more...

Larry Taylor: It's a tangled web.

Brad Overton: There's a little more, there'd be a little more involved than just asking them...

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Brad Overton: To fork over 'x' amount of money for that.

Larry Taylor: Okay, thank you, guys.

Gary Meyer: Okay, thank you.

COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
CUMULATIVE CAPITAL
JUDICIAL CENTER HVAC

Gary Meyer: Okay, Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriation, Cumulative Capital Development, Judicial Center HVAC, twenty-three thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars ($23,476.00).

Roger Emmons: Good evening. Roger Emmons, County Administrator and Commissioner Weisheit, Commissioner Saylor. Did he leave?

Paul Rudolph: He saw you getting up and he ran for the door. I'm not sure what that says, Roger.

Roger Emmons: Regarding, yeah, getting the additionals, I sent you an email on October 31st. Well, I sent it to Krystal and asked her to forward it to you. The, the amount here for the Cum Cap, that is for eighteen thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars ($18,476.00) for the labor...hardware, wiring, and labor for wireless network for the Judicial Center heat pumps. And that will take care of all the, the fifty-six (56) to be installed under the Courthouse Renovation Project.

Gary Meyer: Is that eighteen thousand ($18,000.00) in addition to the fifty-three ($53,000.00)?

Roger Emmons: No, no, no. No.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Roger Emmons: This amount is broken down by eighteen thousand four-seventy-six ($18,476.00).

Gary Meyer: Okay, I gotcha.

Roger Emmons: And then the five thousand ($5,000.00) that we had to inline transfer...

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Roger Emmons: Out of there to pay the deductible for the Sheriff's loss.

Gary Meyer: I, I gotcha.

Roger Emmons: Okay. So, this, the eighteen-four-seventy-six ($18,476.00), when I came to you at the last meeting, or whenever the meeting was, the ninety thousand ($90,000.00) for the heat pumps, the fourteen (14) that we're doing now, that wasn't made, I didn't know that. I didn't know if we were going to do that or that, but this is a pretty nominal cost. And I believe we have, Krystal, didn't we have about a hundred and ninety-nine thousand ($199,000.00) in unappropriated cash to cover this?

Krystal Powless: Well, I'd say there's a little bit more than that.

Roger Emmons: Okay, alright. That's even better.

Gary Meyer: Is this, these need to be replaced immediately? I mean is that the reason we're doing this now, and not just tying it into the rest of them?

Roger Emmons: Yes, yes. These, these are the fourteen (14) most problematic.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Brad Overton: So you probably want to take these all separately, I'm assuming?

David Hachmeister: Motion to approve the eighteen thousand four-seventy-six ($18,476.00).

Krystal Powless: Wait.

Brad Overton: Whoa, whoa, whoa, whoa.

Krystal Powless: It would be, the total, it's all together. The five thousand ($5,000.00) that he's talking about for the building construction will be a separate item.

David Hachmeister: Right.

Krystal Powless: So the five (5), the other five thousand ($5,000.00) is in this twenty-three-four-seventy-six ($23,476.00).

Roger Emmons: Yes.

David Hachmeister: But I thought you only needed eighteen thousand ($18,000.00) now for this (inaudible).

Roger Emmons: We do, but the five thousand ($5,000.00) was when we had the inline to pay for the Sheriff's deductible. The five thousand dollar ($5,000.00) deductible.

Krystal Powless: You're also reimbursing that. You also would be reimbursing that.

David Hachmeister: Okay.

Roger Emmons: That's a reimbursement.

David Hachmeister: I'll make the motion after the twenty-three-four-seventy-six ($23,476.00) to approve.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Brad to approve the twenty-three thousand four hundred seventy-six dollars ($23,476.00). Any discussion?

Paul Rudolph: Roger, I just want to tell you, Commissioner Saylor's back in the room again. In case you wanted to include anything else.

Roger Emmons: Hey, Dan.

Paul Rudolph: You were looking for him earlier.

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay, all those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Gentlemen.

COURTHOUSE
BUILDING AND STRUCTURES

Gary Meyer: Additional Appropriation, Courthouse, Building and Structures, five thousand dollars ($5,000.00).

Roger Emmons: The 4360.00 line item is basically a repair line item. And for several months now, we've had to do inline transfers within that budget to get by for certain repair items we've had. So right now, there's a zero (0) balance in there. And we've got to the point where we just don't have any place to inline to, to make up for this line item.

Paul Rudolph: So what kind of repairs are we doing that you can speak to?

Roger Emmons: We've, well, I didn't have that, and she didn't put it on the additional appropriation. But I'd have to, if you want to table, I'd have to get you the information tomorrow, come back in December.

Marlin Weisheit: There's something that breaks here every day.

Roger Emmons: I mean it, we've got unexpected costs on a regular basis. So…

David Hachmeister: I know, thanks for transferring what you can do. Approve the five thousand dollars ($5,000.00).

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Brad to approve. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, one (6-1 with Paul Rudolph opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the one (1)?

Gary Meyer: Paul.

TELEPHONE
CELL PHONE

Gary Meyer: Okay, Additional Appropriation, Commissioners, Telephone, fifteen thousand dollars ($15,000.00); Cell Phone, two thousand seven hundred dollars ($2,700.00); for a total of seventeen thousand seven hundred dollars ($17,700.00).

Roger Emmons: After we looked at these a little bit closer, since I turned in the additional to Krystal, and we can reduce the fifteen ($15,000.00) to ten ($10,000.00), and the twenty-seven hundred ($2,700.00) to two ($2,000.00), for a total of twelve thousand ($12,000.00).

Brad Overton: Did we just underfund those, Roger? Or was there just an increase?

Roger Emmons: Yeah, that, I don't know. We made our request based on...

Krystal Powless: They requested more but you did not approve his, you know, what they had been spending. So...

Brad Overton: Motion to approve the adjusted amount of ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) for the Telephone and the two thousand dollars ($2,000.00) for Phone or Cell Phone.

Roger Emmons: Cell Phone.

David Hachmeister: And I'll second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by David to approve the, the revised amount of twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00). Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries six, one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing). Ted.

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

REQUEST PAYMENT
VIRTRU CORPORATION
ENCRYPTED EMAIL

Gary Meyer: Request Payment, Virtru Corporation, five thousand three hundred ninety-nine dollars and eighty cents ($5,399.80).

Roger Emmons: This, excuse me, the Council, this will be the third year for this subscription service. It's just for encrypted emails for confidentiality on HIPPA items and anything that needs to be confidential. And the Council's been gracious enough to pay for the first two (2) years. That's why I would ask you to consider it.

Greg Richmond: Motion.

Gary Meyer: Who said that? Greg?

Greg Richmond: Yes.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg. Is there a second?

David Hachmeister: Yes, Sir.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by David to approve the five thousand three hundred ninety-nine dollars and eighty cents ($5,399.80), that will be paid out of our budget. Correct?

Krystal Powless: Correct. That is correct.

Gary Meyer: Any discussion?

Paul Rudolph: Roger, one (1) question. Do we pay by email address it sounds like?

Roger Emmons: Yes, one hundred forty (140), there's a hundred and forty (140) email addresses at, what is it...?

Paul Rudolph: Thirty-eight-fifty-seven ($38.57).

Roger Emmons: Thirty-eight-fifty-seven ($38.57).

Paul Rudolph: And so, do we, is that every single county employee? Is that how we got to the hundred and forty (140)? Or is that only certain ones that we're...?

Roger Emmons: That's the actual numbers of computers.

Paul Rudolph: Of computers?

Roger Emmons: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: So it's by computer really, more than by email.

Roger Emmons: Yeah, at a work station.

Paul Rudolph: For example, my email, Warrick County email, is not a part of this. Is that a correct statement?

Roger Emmons: If we have, if we put them on yours, and I know you're fairly new on the warrickcounty.gov emails, we'd have to get more licenses.

Paul Rudolph: Okay. And I don't think it should be, to tell you the truth. Because I'm not sending any type of private information. I mean my job is obviously public by definition. But I was just trying to make sure I understood. Okay. Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion by Greg and seconded by David. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Roger Emmons: Thank you very much.

TRANSFER TO JANITORIAL SUPPLIES

Roger Emmons: I have a couple more items for your consideration. The first has to do with the transfer of some funds, excuse me, which were freed up. We got it, this is in the Courthouse, Fund 112. And there's eight hundred sixty-three dollars and eighty cents ($863.80) available in the Custodial Employee line item and that's 4116.00. And two hundred fifty dollars ($250.00) in the Part-Time Custodial. That's 4119.00. The eight-sixty-three-eighty ($863.80) is available due to one (1) of the Custodians having some health issues earlier this year and had to take some unpaid time off. The two-fifty ($250.00) is available because the Part-Time Custodian position was unfilled during part of the year. So this is available for transfer and we'd like for you to consider transferring it into 4224.00 line item, Janitorial Supplies.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve the transfer.

Ted Metzger: I'll second it.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Ted. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Roger Emmons: Thank you, Gentlemen.

COURTHOUSE RENOVATION PROJECT DISCUSSION

Roger Emmons: One (1) last, if I may real briefly, given the presentation that was made to you prior to your regular meeting started by Nick Atherton of ESG, relative to the Courthouse Renovation Project. We'd like to respectfully request your consideration of starting the funding process. I will tell you that while Nick is here, that in their proposal, which is the only one (1) we received, they are able with their bonding capacity of five hundred million ($500,000,000.00), to arrange financing and obtain bonding. So we don't have the time to do it today, but perhaps I can get with Krystal and Nick and we can discuss what needs to be done, if needs to come back to your December meeting. The, given the estimate that he provided for this building here and some of the items in the Judicial Center, some items that Sheriff Kruse would like to get done at the Jail and his office, and then the stabilization and reconstruction of the Historic Warrick County Jail. We would respectfully consider your consideration of eight point four million ($8,400,000.00) in funding. And we firmly believe that once the process develops and they get the bids, that this will greatly reduce. Because we've paid off the debt for the Judicial Center that frees up, you know, Krystal, in addition to the payment you made, there was also like a hundred and fourteen (114) or two-eighty-eight thousand ($288,000.00) a year.

Krystal Powless: Two (2), it was two-fourteen ($214,000.00) out of Economic.

Roger Emmons: Two-fourteen ($214,000.00), two-fourteen ($214,000.00). But then the total is a little under two million ($2,000,000.00).

Krystal Powless: Correct.

Roger Emmons: That this particular bond could be retired fairly quickly and they're much needed repairs as we're really grateful that Greg and Brad and David and Ted were able to attend the walk though at 4:30 (PM) to take a look at some of these needed items. So, just, just for your consideration.

Paul Rudolph: Roger, before you leave, just one (1) thing I want to understand. Did you say that for an eight point five million dollar project ($8,500,000.00), we've only received one (1) bid?

Roger Emmons: This wasn't a bid. It was based on a Quality-Based Selection which is the process most municipalities are going to in the State where they send in statements of qualifications. During, during these, ESG, which is Energy Savings Company, they suggested that we go to a performance contract. So the Commissioners went though that process, advertised, as it was legally advertised in the paper. We got some interest from other companies that do this. They chose not to submit their RFP. The Energy Savings Contracts, you're not really required to bid it out. It's different from public purchasing laws.

Paul Rudolph: I'm not worried about the legality of it. But I'm just worried about the frugality of it. I mean, eight point five million ($8,500,000.00) bid, how do we know that we're getting our money's worth when we've only got one (1) bid. That's the challenge I have.

Roger Emmons: That's not how it's (inaudible), I'd defer to Nick's comments on that.

Marlin Weisheit: Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioner, and I mean, if they get this contract, they'll be bidding out to local contractors and local vendors, and, you know, we'll have final say so on who does the work. You know, we did interview some other companies in the early stages of this process. But we selected them for the energy savings reasons. That was the best option for Warrick County, the Commissioners felt. So I mean, they'll be the general contractor and the engineering and the architect on staff. Am I talking right, here, Nick? But they would be selecting the contractors and bidding it out and getting us the best price. You know, and we look for some of these prices to be reduced. But I might add to this, you know, we talk about what the other engineering firm recommended at the Old Jail.

We know we have to do something there. It's on the Historic Register. We can't let it fall down. We can't tear it down. We can't, nobody's going to take it in the shape it's in. We can't give it away. But I did have breakfast this morning with the Coalition, Debbie Bennett-Stearsman. They are vigorously trying to figure out different options on grants there which would help to do some funding too. They're probably going to be matching grants if they're able to pull them off. There's two (2) different options we might have on the Old Jail there. So you know, we're pursuing grants as they come available and you know they're as good as they come. I mean, you know, Debbie's told me she had two (2) possibilities on that Jail, so I was kind of excited to hear that good news this morning. So we'll continue to do that to try to, we don't want to have to borrow any more money than we have to. But we've got to get these buildings up in shape.

Paul Rudolph: But I'm still a little confused. So, I mean, eight million dollar ($8,000,000.00) public works in Southwest Indiana don't grow on trees. Why did we only get one (1) RFP? I'm not saying the one (1) we received was faulty in any way, shape, or form. I'm not suggesting that in any way. I'm just wondering why we weren't able to gather more interest?

Roger Emmons: Well, we did have interest from when we put it out as a regular RFP. Hafer was interested in it. DLZ, we interviewed those companies.

Paul Rudolph: Um hum.

Roger Emmons: We went through the process. Then when we decided to make it an energy savings, which has benefits inherent in that type of process, those companies were well aware of it. They chose not to submit. I'd have to ask them why they didn't submit.

David Hachmeister: Cause, it's a lot of work.

Roger Emmons: But in, in Energy...

Unknown: Yes, it is.

Roger Emmons: Systems Group, corporate office located here in Warrick County, with Warrick County employees, they've done a lot of these types of projects and they've been very successful and they've saved a lot of energy. So.

Marlin Weisheit: We spent many hours interviewing and selecting this company, you know, for the best option for Warrick County. And Nick can answer a lot of questions that I can't. But I mean, they, they're basically, they'll be the general contractor, engineering firm, and they'll be, but we'll have the final say so on, on the selection and the project as it's done.

Roger Emmons: Right.

Marlin Weisheit: We might have to cut some of these back. We don't have to do everything. You know, we'll have to get a budget established and live with it. But I do want to warn you. Some of you remember, it's been around longer than me, but I remember when the Judicial Center was built. You know, they was talking seventeen ($17,000,000.00), eighteen million dollars ($18,000,000.00) and they cut it back, the Council cut it back to about, what, fourteen ($14,000,000.00)? I don't remember.

Charlie Christmas: They cut it back to seven ($7,000,000.00).

Marlin Weisheit: But you know what happened. We ended up getting used, almost, air conditioning units that was outdated. They was setting on a desert out west. They was full of sand. We've been fighting that system ever since. We've been having to spend money on that building since it was there. And one (1) thing about this company, when we do this building, we want to do it right. And we want to do it where it's going to save us money and utility bills and lasts for the future. You know, twenty (20) years ago they threw a million dollars ($1,000,000.00) to this building and they done some good. But most of it was cosmetic. Most of it was cosmetic, you know. And we've got to fix some things here.

Charlie Christmas: Those heating units over there expired their warranty two (2) years before we purchased them.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, so, a dollar ($1.00) saved today, might not be a dollar ($1.00) saved tomorrow. Might cost us two ($2.00) tomorrow. You understand that. So.

Roger Emmons: And you know, most companies like this, they use a clipping service, which any legal add that goes in a paper in Indiana, or even throughout the United States, that's relative to their line of business, they'll be notified about it. So not only were the companies I mentioned notified about it. But who know how many else, other companies were. But you know, the ones that didn't respond, from interviewing them and seeing their, their brochures, they had plenty of work to do. So, cause construction was pretty good at this time.

Marlin Weisheit: And you know, they have staff that lives here in the County. Like the project manager, he lives here in Warrick County. I mean that was part of our decision. You know they're a local company with local people here that will be overseeing the project. They put the best proposal together for us.

Gary Meyer: What's the Council think about meeting a half hour or an hour earlier in December to discuss how we might end up paying for this project? Is that something you all want to...?

Brad Overton: Better meet about an hour and a half before.

Gary Meyer: I know. I mean since this is the first time we've seen these numbers and...

Marlin Weisheit: They were larger than I hoped for too, Gary. I'll tell you that.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, I was looking around five ($5,000,000.00). It didn't show up.

Marlin Weisheit: Me and you both.

Gary Meyer: But I think the Council should have some discussion, debate, or whatever you want to call it about how we

Charlie Christmas: Set the (inaudible), set it up.

Paul Rudolph: I'd be in favor of that.

Ted Metzger: That's a good idea.

Gary Meyer: Is that alright with everybody? 5:00 (PM)?

David Hachmeister: 5:00 (PM), December 7th meeting?

Gary Meyer: 5:00 (PM), December 7th meeting?

David Hachmeister: You want a motion? Or just do it?

Gary Meyer: Well, if everybody's agreed with it, we'll just do it.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible) be here an hour early.

Gary Meyer: I'm afraid someone'd vote against it.

Paul Rudolph: So should we just say we're going to start the whole meeting and start this at the beginning, so we don't have to start and then stop? Start the meeting at 5:00 (PM) with this?

Gary Meyer: Well, we can if you want to do it that way. Why don't we just have a discussion of this item and then start the regular meeting at 6:00 (PM) like we normally do? And I don't know if we'll come up with a decision that night or at this point.

Roger Emmons: The advantage or disadvantage of having your meeting start an hour early, would be you'd have it recorded for minutes.

Gary Meyer: Well, we would have that, that's true. Okay, that's fine. I would like to discuss this first, however. Yeah, start that first. So yeah, we'll start the meeting at 5:00 (PM) then.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Gary Meyer: And I was wondering if the Commissioners, before that meeting, or Roger, could you take this list for us and prioritize it?

Marlin Weisheit: Sure.

Gary Meyer: In case we decide not to fund the whole project. What projects on this list are the most important to you to get done?

Marlin Weisheit: I know we talked about this before, David, you know, you said this in some of our earlier meetings. You know, our heating and air, our electric and our masonry, and our windows and our doors. Some of the bathrooms downstairs that's, it's still gonna be a big list when you get done, you know.

Gary Meyer: Oh, I know. I know. But if you can just kind of give us a priority list so we have something to go from there.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, okay. We definitely will. And you know, we'll do whatever you want us to do. We feel, the three (3) Commissioners and Roger and our staff, we've done everything we can. And it's clearly in your hands, it's in your hands.

Gary Meyer: I understand that. That's the reason I, Paul, since you mentioned that, and since we're going to make the meeting start at 5:00 (PM), let's have a motion for that.

Paul Rudolph: I agree, I'll motion that.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Motion made by Paul to start the December 7th meeting at 5:00 (PM) instead of 6:00 (PM). Do I have a second?

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Brad. Okay, all those in favor?

Brad Overton: I think Charlie's got a question.

Charlie Christmas: No I don't, I'm voting.

Gary Meyer: All those....

Paul Rudolph: He's raising his hand.

Gary Meyer: He's ready to vote. All those in favor? Okay. Seven...seven-'o (7-0). So meeting on December 7th will start at 5:00 (PM).

Paul Rudolph: And if we're making requests, I have a second request in addition to Gary. So Gary's request was we sort of rank 'em. My request is if we can talk about energy operating savings, in fact we talk about, for example, the first one (1), potential for operating savings due to improve lighting arrest system. May put to the side, something to the side that says how much. Right? Ten thousand ($10,000.00) annually. A thousand ($1,000.00) annually. Ten bucks ($10.00) annually.

Roger Emmons: We won't know for sure until they're implemented.

Paul Rudolph: It, it's a, it's definitely an estimate.

Roger Emmons: From previous experience, they'll be able to, on a similar square footage building they might be able to do that.

Paul Rudolph: I don't want to spend, you know, five hundred thousand dollars ($500,000.00) just to save fifty bucks ($50.00). I do want to do it to save three hundred thousand ($300,000.00).

Marlin Weisheit: Honestly, Paul, great question. We did a lot of research here and one (1) of the most impressive things I, they showed us a video they did in Shelby County, Ohio, a courthouse a lot bigger than this. What was it? A four point one million dollar ($4,100,000.00) project. But it actually paid for itself within fifteen (15) years. That was how it was set up. You know, and energy savings in fifteen (15) years. It was a bigger building than this, but they was actually able to put a savings package together that was going to pay that four point one million dollar ($4,100,000.00) back. Am I right, Nick, on that?

Nick Atherton: It was a savings combination of some energy savings, I'm sorry, do I...

Gary Meyer: Yeah, yeah, you better come on up.

Marlin Weisheit: I, I think it's important you know.

Nick Atherton: (Inaudible) back and forth here. Um, yes, Nick Atherton with Energy Systems Group. There are three (3) allowable forms of savings with the form of contracting that was utilized on that project that will be utilized in this one (1). One (1) would be the energy savings, which is the guaranteed savings to which, if we don't meet, we have to write a check. Number two (2) would be operational savings, which is where we get into the bills. We see what you're spending on upkeep, just to keep things in normal, normal operating expense. That's your second savings fund. And the last one (1) is capital avoidance and that is fund you know you're going to have to spend to keep things running on the building. So the combination of those three (3), you have to get to the point that it pays for the project in the term that we determine.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Marlin Weisheit: You know we did a similar project on the jail about six (6) years ago, one point three million dollars ($1,300,000.00) that was supposed to pay for itself over twenty (20) years. It's on track to do that just in energy savings out there before we did it at the Sheriff's Department.

Paul Rudolph: So I can't speak for the rest of the Council, but I just heard Marlin say that we could do a building bigger than this for four point one million ($4,100,000.00) which means that this building should cost three ($3,000,000.00)?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, and I was hoping the same thing. You know the problem is, we've let this one (1), we've let this one (1) go a little too far.

Paul Rudolph: We have.

Marlin Weisheit: Some of the masonry and the stone. And you know all the heating and air needs to be replaced. All the electrical. That's the problem, they didn't have to make, it wasn't as in dire need as this one (1). And it's a beautiful building, isn't it, that one (1) over there?

Paul Rudolph: I was teasing you with that, Marlin. I was teasing.

Nick Atherton: There's, there's...

Marlin Weisheit: I know that, yeah, yeah. (Inaudible) make sure you're clear.

Nick Atherton: There's definitely in this (inaudible) work than the other one (1).

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay, thank you.

PROSECUTOR BUSINESS
PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION
LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING

Gary Meyer: Prosecutor Business, Additional Appropriation, Pre-Trial, Law Enforcement Training, two thousand five hundred dollars ($2,500.00).

Krystal Powless: He called just a few minutes ago and he would like to just withdraw this request.

Gary Meyer: Withdraw it?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Paul Rudolph: Motion to withdraw.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Okay, motion's been made by Paul and seconded by Brad to withdraw. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries seven, zero (7-0). I thought we had already approved that?

Brad Overton: No.

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

RECORDER BUSINESS
ORDINANCE FOR PERPETUATION FUND 2018
ORDINANCE 2017-07

Gary Meyer: Recorder Business, Ordinance for Perpetuation Fund 2018.

Pat Brooks: Pat Brooks, Warrick County Recorder. I think you already have a copy of my sworn statement for 2018. This is for use of the Perpetuation Fund. And it's very similar to the one (1) you approved for '17. I guess they have it? Right, Krystal?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Pat Brooks: This is just my acknowledgement to State Board of Accounts that the information on what I will be spending. The money spent will be done in order to perpetuate the records and information in the Recorder's Office. And as you know, this includes, we fund a couple of employees, and we have Storage Guardian now which we are paying. And scanners, maintenance, and plat map scanner, which we have not replaced yet, but we will have to replace. So the total is in there. It will be spent appropriately. And that's what I have.

Greg Richmond: Motion to introduce Ordinance 2017-04.

Brad Overton: Second.

Krystal Powless: '07.

Greg Richmond: '07?

Gary Meyer: '07.

Charlie Christmas: '07.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Greg and seconded by Brad to introduce Ordinance 2017-07. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries seven, zero (7-0). Ordinance 2017-07, an Ordinance of the Warrick County Council of Warrick County, Indiana, Approving the County Recorder's Office Expenses for the 2017 Fiscal Year.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Pat Brooks: 2018?

Krystal Powless: 2018.

Pat Brooks: '18.

Gary Meyer: Says '17.

Krystal Powless: No, the Ordinance is 2017.

Charlie Christmas: '18.

Gary Meyer: It says...

Pat Brooks: For 2018.

Krystal Powless: For 2018.

Greg Richmond: For funding 2018.

Gary Meyer: No, it says for 2017.

Krystal Powless: It's a typo.

Gary Meyer: Is that right?

Krystal Powless: Yeah, there's a typo.

Gary Meyer: You made me think I was wrong.

Krystal Powless: I didn't do this. So you (inaudible). 2018.

Gary Meyer: So, okay, it's an Ordinance of the Warrick County Council of Warrick County, Indiana, Approving the County Recorder's Office Expenses for the 2018 Fiscal Year.

Paul Rudolph: Motion.

Gary Meyer: Motion to approve made by Paul. Is there a second?

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by...

Brad Overton: Brad.

Gary Meyer: Brad. Excuse me. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Pat Brooks: Thank you, Gentlemen. Appreciate it. Thank you.

Unknown: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay, who made that motion? Did you make that motion?

David Hachmeister: I had it down twice.

Gary Meyer: Paul made it.

David Hachmeister: Paul and Brad.

Gary Meyer: Brad then. There we go. I guess everybody voted. Okay.

(Ordinance 2017-07, Recorder's Perpetuation, is located on Pages 26 through 27 of these Official Minutes)

CIRCUIT COURT BUSINESS
PAUPER DEFENDANT DOCUMENTS

Gary Meyer: Circuit Court Business, Additional Appropriation, Circuit Court Business, Pauper Defendant Documents, thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00).

Paul Rudolph: And I believe Krystal said that the Judge would not be here because he is out of town on business.

Krystal Powless: Correct.

Paul Rudolph: With that being said, this is for a murder trial, if I remember finding that correctly in my notes. Didn't he say that he could use actually less now than he originally estimated?

Brad Overton: He did.

Paul Rudolph: He said eighteen ($18,000.00), or something like that?

Brad Overton: You got the number, Greg?

Greg Richmond: Email says seventeen thousand ($17,000.00) instead of thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) as advertised.

Paul Rudolph: So I would just motion for seventeen thousand ($17,000.00).

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul to approve the seventeen thousand dollars ($17,000.00) and seconded by Brad. Any discussion? Now that was for the end of this year? To the end of this year?

Krystal Powless: Correct.

David Hachmeister: Seventeen ($17,000.00).

Krystal Powless: He'll be back next year.

Gary Meyer: I know he will. Yeah. Okay, all those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUSINESS
LOCAL HEALTH MAINTENANCE
VACCINE

Gary Meyer: Health Department Business, Additional Appropriation, Local Health Maintenance, Vaccine, four thousand dollars ($4,000.00).

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Is this a reimbursement?

Krystal Powless: Yes, it is. Correct.

Gary Meyer: That's what it is, isn't it. Motion made by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Paul. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

VAXCARE
PART-TIME ANIMAL CONTROL

dollars and forty-eight cents ($2,179.48). Part-Time Animal Control, two thousand seven hundred fifty dollars ($2,750.00). For a total of four thousand nine hundred twenty-nine dollars and forty-eight cents ($4,929.48).

Brad Overton: And both, it's either, a reimbursement and a transfer, so motion to approve for both items.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Paul to approve both items. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PARKS DEPARTMENT BUSINESS
WATER AND ELECTRIC

Gary Meyer: Parks Department Business, Additional Appropriation, Parks and Recreation, Water, five thousand dollars ($5,000); Electric, seven thousand dollars ($7,000.00); for a total of twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00).

Ben Labhart: It's just to get it through the year.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Ben Labhart: We're out of money in both of them.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Tell us who you are so we have it recorded.

Ben Labhart: I'm sorry, Ben Labhart, Superintendent, Parks Department.

Gary Meyer: There you go. And you, did you make the motion?

Brad Overton: I did.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by David to approve. All those in favor?

David Hachmeister: How come you didn't get these guys to appropriate enough money every year?

Ben Labhart: I ask every year.

Paul Rudolph: Ben, I have a quick question for you. The, the commentary I received said that we're having increased camping and rental for 2017.

Ben Labhart: Yeah.

Paul Rudolph: Where are we in comparison into last year on our camping revenue?

Ben Labhart: This is figures for the end of September, cause I don't have the October figures yet. But we are, right now a hundred and seventy-three thousand ($173,000.00) total. And last year we were at a hundred and thirty-two thousand ($132,000.00) total.

Paul Rudolph: So we're forty thousand dollars ($40,000.00) ahead of last year? Thirty (30) percent?

Ben Labhart: That's why I'm out of money, so.

Paul Rudolph: Makes sense. Okay, thank you.

Gary Meyer: Do we, do we have two (2) different rates for the campground? Or is it all one (1) rate?

Ben Labhart: Well, there's four (4). Fifty (50) amp full hookup, which is fifty (50) amp plugin with water and sewer...

Gary Meyer: Okay, okay, well, what I meant was if you live in the County or outside the County.

Ben Labhart: No.

Gary Meyer: It's set the same?

Ben Labhart: Yes. It's the same.

Gary Meyer: The only reason I ask that, I stayed at a campground at Hamilton County, and they have a, they have it if you live in the County, it's twenty-five dollars ($25.00). Outside of the County is thirty dollars ($30.00).

Ben Labhart: Yeah, we don't, it's all the same.

Gary Meyer: We don't do that? I didn't know if we did that or not. Okay. You guys having your own little discussion over there?

Paul Rudolph: Nothing.

Gary Meyer: Okay. We have a motion made by Brad and seconded by David to approve twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00). All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Ben Labhart: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Charlie Christmas: See how easy that was?

SHERIFF BUSINESS
REDUCTION
FUEL

Gary Meyer: Sheriff Business, Reduction…there's a new word.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Huh?

David Hachmeister: He wants it back.

Gary Meyer: I know he does.

Brad Overton: He does.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Brad and seconded by somebody down here.

Paul Rudolph: Paul.

Gary Meyer: Paul to approve the reduction. I won't even ask for discussion. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

JAIL
UTILITIES

Brad Overton: Motion to approve

Gary Meyer: Now he wants it back.

Paul Rudolph: Awe, I was going to motion we end the meeting.

Gary Meyer: I know.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by David to approve the Additional Appropriation for Utilities of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00). Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? How did you vote?

Brad Overton: Oh, I was for it.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Brad Overton: I was reading my notes.

Gary Meyer: Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

AMENDMENT TO RETIREMENT PLAN

Gary Meyer: Okay, Amended to Retirement Plan. Is that something we're going to look at tonight as far as voting on it? Or is that information?

Brett Kruse: Brett Kruse, Warrick County Sheriff. That is part, goes along with that drop change that we made.

Gary Meyer: Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.

Brett Kruse: June, and I forwarded the entire email to you, because I wanted you to have, to be able to reference with McCready and King if you had questions about it. The way I understand it, and believe me I don't totally understand all this, but this keeps us in line to keep our pension plans solvent with the IRS guidelines because the mortality rates change and mortality rates are different for men than women. And also to keep the pension plan solvent, it talks about doing, it uses the interest rate from the November prior to the year you're getting ready to do, fund. And that's what I understand about it.

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible).

Brett Kruse: It's to keep the pension plan and the drop, the drop plan solvent, to keep everybody, so that, so that twenty (20) years from now, whenever the County doesn't have to come back and make up a whole bunch of money to keep this pension plan funded.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Charlie to approve. Any discussion?

Paul Rudolph: So is there any...what's the financial impact of this?

Brett Kruse: Paul, that's the reason I had the full email forwarded to you. So you could talk...

Paul Rudolph: I'm starting to remember that.

Brett Kruse: Uh, I don't know...

Paul Rudolph: That's fine. I'll relook. I'll relook at it.

Brett Kruse: Okay.

Brad Overton: Did we discuss this, the financial...

Brett Kruse: This was, this was discussed before we made the changes to the drop and I think at that time they estimated sixteen thousand ($16,000.00) and actually when we got the (inaudible) amount from McCready and King it had actually dropped below that for next year. But I, you know, the pension plan fluctuates with the markets. But if, if you do have questions that you want to ask them about this, they would just like to have this signed by January 1st. So if you want to postpone voting on this until December meeting so you can contact them and ask questions, or your Attorney...

Gary Meyer: We already have a motion made and seconded.

Brett Kruse: Okay, I just, I just wanted to throw that out there.

Gary Meyer: Too much information.

Brett Kruse: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Any further discussion. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Brett Kruse: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

AUDITOR BUSINESS
PLAT BOOK
EXPENSE

Gary Meyer: Okay, Auditor Business, Additional Appropriation, Plat Book, Expense, sixty-five thousand dollars ($65,000.00).

Debbie Stevens: Good evening, Debbie Stevens, Warrick County Auditor, and my request is for an appropriation from the Plat Book Fund 1181. Plat Book Fund, the money in the fund, is from fees collected for deed transfers. And the Statute for the Fund is for the preservation of the records. And so I have met with one (1) company who has quite a large number of customers, county customers in the State of Indiana, to kind of get an idea of what it would cost to begin the process of digitizing the records in the Auditor's Office. We currently have over a hundred (100) books and we have roughly sixty-five thousand (65,000) transfer cards, where at one (1) time the cards were created and the transfers were made with typewriters on heavy cardstock and so we have about sixty-five thousand (65,000) of those records in our office. We, we currently, of course, do everything electronically within the MVP system. All of the transfers take place electronically. It can be searched from anything 1998 and forward. But everything older than those records are not in a searchable or protected format. It's all hard copy. The only records that exist are in our office and they are subject to fire, water, and can easily be destroyed. So the appropriation would start to process very similar to what we've done in the County in the Recorder's Office, where those records were on little aperture cards and now they're digitized where they can be searched electronically, either in the office or via the internet. So we would have the same option with these records for the Auditor's Office. I'm working currently with Joe Grassman. He issued the invitation to quote this week. So we'll wait and see what those come back as. But sixty-five thousand dollars ($65,000.00), based on just the first vendor that came in and measured all the cards to determine how many are we talking about, and then how would we store those and be able to search those. Now this will also be available through a website from whichever vendor we chose to go with. And there would be an option for someone to search via the internet and then purchase the records. So there would be some revenue generated from making them available via the internet.

Gary Meyer: How much do you have in the Plat Book account now?

Debbie Stevens: The Plat Book Fund, and this was as of a few weeks back, we currently have, oh, this is an old report. Let me grab my new one (1), sorry.

Brad Overton: This one (1) says ninety ($90,000.00). Is that about right, Debbie?

Krystal Powless: That's what...

Debbie Stevens: Yes, right around a hundred thousand ($100,000.00) is where we're at with the Fund right now. And since we've actually implemented the Statute for charging five dollars ($5.00) per legal description, the collections for the deed transfers are picking up. Deed transfers overall have, have begun to increase. There's more activity of home sales, that type of thing. So that will add also to the amount we'll be able to accumulate in the Fund.

Paul Rudolph: Two (2) questions for you, Debbie.

Debbie Stevens: Okay.

Paul Rudolph: First question, how much, do we have additional expense after the sixty-five ($65,000.00)? Or would this

sixty-five ($65,000.00) be the total expense of the digitization?

Debbie Stevens: There could be. This is just to begin with just the transfer of cards and just the books in the office. It's just an initial quote on those records only. So to go beyond that to preserve other records in the Auditor's Office, we may have other expenses. But I feel like the first and most, the highest priority would be to preserve the property records. You know...

Greg Richmond: It's user fee and money's available, I'll make a motion to allow the additional appropriation up to sixty-five thousand dollars ($65,000.00).

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Brad to approve the sixty-five thousand dollars ($65,000.00) out of the Plat Book Fund. Any further discussion?

Paul Rudolph: Yeah, so the second question I had was the, is there any time savings or efficiency from digitizing these records?

Debbie Stevens: Oh. Oh, certainly there it is. For us in the office, we would be pulling large books off the shelf and then flipping through pages. By being able to use a document management system, we can put in a parcel number and as long as what was scanned is identified, and they will have some features within the scanning system that will key in on certain numbers and certain fields within the documents that are scanned, and we'll be able to search then on those numbers. Where now it's go to the drawer, you flip though and hope it's filed back where it came from and in the appropriate place. And if it's in a digital system, it really, you're not filing and keeping things in order. It's going to come up if you search by that number.

Paul Rudolph: So do you estimate just a few hours a week, I mean...?

Debbie Stevens: What is it going to net for us?

Paul Rudolph: Yes.

Debbie Stevens: Savings, timewise?

Paul Rudolph: I just know that you're crunched for time in your Auditor's Office, right? And you're always...

Debbie Stevens: Right.

Paul Rudolph: Asking for more help.

Debbie Stevens: I'm not going to say, hours per week. But, but any given day someone can come in and they could be searching for a property and we may go, we may try to assist them and go from book to book to book before we finally locate exactly what it is they're looking for or trying to identify. And I've seen a couple of our folks in our office spend, you know, hours with one (1) person trying to track down things. Because maybe they don't even know what they're looking for. They know where it should be, and approximately what it is. But trying to find it on a plat or a transfer record for it can sometimes be very difficult. Because all of these records are old and initially all by hand, and then the transfer cards were typed up with typewriters on cardstock.

Charlie Christmas: What you're saying is you can get it done in five (5) minutes or five (5) hours. Depends on the situation.

Debbie Stevens: It could be.

Charlie Christmas: I know. That's what I'm saying.

Debbie Stevens: I'm not going to say that happens daily, or...

Charlie Christmas: No, but...

Debbie Stevens: Or even weekly. But it could.

Ted Metzger: But the focus is on the preservation, which is our responsibility.

Debbie Stevens: That's a high priority, because our records in Warrick County, everything in that office is subjected, subject to fire, water, whatever. It's gone. It's the only records we have.

Ted Metzger: And as far as repairing a few of those books? And cost wise?

Debbie Stevens: Well, there was an appropriation in 2014 for fifty-five thousand dollars ($55,000.00) just to give you an idea. And the entire appropriation was spent and there was twenty-four (24) township books that were preserved. Just twenty-four (24). And they're still not preserved, really. They're in nicer books and the pages are in little protectors. And so that did make the books nicer to work with and they're, some of these papers are very fragile and old. And so that did preserve just those books. But that was just twenty-four (24) books, fifty-five thousand dollars ($55,000.00) from this Fund.

Ted Metzger: And this Fund is specifically for the preservation of our records?

Debbie Stevens: Yes. And those same twenty-four (24) books will still be part of this project.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Greg and seconded by Brad, excuse me, to approve. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Gary Meyer: You're welcome. Thank you.

TRANSFER FROM COUNTY GENERAL TO INHERITANCE TAX 7202

Gary Meyer: Auditor Business, Transfer, from County General, one hundred seventy-one dollars and forty-one cents ($171.41), form County General Unappropriated Cash to Fund 7202 Inheritance Tax.

Ted Metzger: I'll make a motion to approve.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Ted and seconded by Brad to approve. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Debbie Stevens: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Yes, Ma'am.

(November Additional Appropriations are located on Page 27 of these Official Minutes)

COUNCIL BUSINESS
BOONVILLE WARRICK PUBLIC LIBRARY APPOINTMENT

Gary Meyer: Council Business, Appointment, Boonville-Warrick Public Library.

Krystal Powless: I believe you guys received an email that Gary Howard had to resign from the Boonville-Warrick County Public Library Board. And they requested that Lisa Byers would be willing to serve if you guys would...

Charlie Christmas: I know Lisa would make an excellent person.

Brad Overton: Yep, motion to approve.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Charlie to approve, is it Lisa Byers?

Brad Overton: Lisa Byers.

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Gary Meyer: For the Boonville-Warrick County Public Library.

Brad Overton: Trustee.

Gary Meyer: Trustee, yeah. Any discussion?

Brad Overton: (Inaudible) appointment.

Gary Meyer: All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

SALARY ORDINANCE
CASA

Gary Meyer: Okay, Council Business, Salary Ordinance, CASA, 2017 and 2018 CASA Part-Time Salary Ordinance.

Krystal Powless: Okay, I guess I'll just start with, you know, the Auditor had consulted with the State Board of Accounts that the CASA employees needed to basically be on a Salary Ordinance in order to receive payment from payroll. Of course I emailed you all of this information. So tonight I have drawn up a Salary Ordinance with their current part-time rate listed for 2017 and for 2018 for your approval. So I'll just start with that.

Gary Meyer: Yes, Ma'am?

Diane Alexander: Good afternoon, evening, Gentlemen and Ladies. I'm Diane Alexander. I am the Warrick County CASA Director. I, I am here, I'm struggling with Mrs. Steven's Office. For two (2) years, there has been issues ongoing that have taken time away from my twenty-eight (28) hour position leading this office. There has been ethical things come into call. There has been so many issues over the last two (2) years with her office bringing us and dragging us and wasting my time and my office. The law changed effective July 1, 2005 that requires a CASA be appointed to every child's case in a CHINS case and if there isn't a CASA on a CHINS case that is in termination, that it could result in a reversal on the CHINS which could also cause tax payers to cost more money in the long run. We have seen a huge increase with, we cannot generate a fund. CASA cannot generate a financial fund for the services we provide. We are volunteer based. We have fifty-four (54) volunteers currently that volunteer and are currently advocating for a hundred and eleven (111) children in Warrick County that are abused and neglected. My pay is twenty-eight (28) hours part-time. Again, my staff is all part-time. Everybody maximizes their time. You guys are getting a huge bang for your buck that you're investing in this program. And these children have, they are the poorest of the poor in our community. Their families are addicted to drugs. They have, you know, they have major judicial issues that they can't pay fees. We can't generate revenue. My time is wasted with these trivial things that Mrs. Stevens is, keeps coming to us with. And I, I don't know what to do anymore. I'm at the point where I'm so frustrated to the point where, I don't know how much longer I can stand in the gap. I really, honestly don't. Last year we served on a hundred and fourteen (114) cases. We served, fifty-three (53) children went unserved, meaning that no one (1) was able to speak for them in court. This year we've served a hundred and sixty-two (62) children. We current have thirty-five (35) on the waiting list. This year we've been able to get

our waiting list down to five (5) for one (1) day. That was two (2) months ago. And in less than thirty (30) days, we've received twenty-six (26) new CHINS cases. Twenty-six (26) new kids have been removed from their home in the last thirty (30) days.

Greg Richmond: How many are on the waiting list, did you say?

Diane Alexander: We have thirty-five (35) children on the waiting list. My job is to recruit and train and supervise these volunteers. Attend court. It is, I have to fundraise. I mean, part of my Fundraising Board is here. And they have raised, you know, they raise a good portion of our budget for the sixty thousand ($60,000.00) that the County would, graciously gives us and I'm thankful for. But the issues that have been coming into our office that's due to Mrs. Stevens and her office is ridiculous. I...

Paul Rudolph: Mrs. Alexander?

Diane Alexander: Yes, Sir?

Paul Rudolph: I'm sympathetic to, especially sympathetic to the CASA Organization. I think what's in front of us today is supposed to be the Salary Ordinance. That being said, I hear the frustration that you're sharing. What would you like from us? We can obviously pass the Salary Ordinance tonight. But what, is there something else we can do to assist you?

Diane Alexander: Honestly, I have no idea. I mean, Judge can't be here to help stand in the gap with me this evening.

Greg Richmond: Well, the Salary Ordinance...

Diane Alexander: Alleviates this...

Greg Richmond: Issue.

Diane Alexander: But...

Brad Overton: Motion to approve Salary Ordinance.

Charlie Christmas: I'll second that. That's (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Are you talking about this year and next year?

Charlie Christmas: Yes, (inaudible).

Brad Overton: (Inaudible), yes.

Diane Alexander: So, will I have to continue to come back? This is my issue is, is this really doesn't change much. This is how their program had been. The program has been here for ten (10) years and really we've been paid the same way except for the last six (6) months. Now she's paying us under contract, which we have no contract. So she's paid us late. We haven't received our paychecks.

Brad Overton: I think from the information we have here, then based upon the comments from the Counsel that advises Judge Granger as well. It seems to me like this should resolve a lot of these issues, would kind of be my understanding. You'd be under a Salary Ordinance.

Diane Alexander: So is this, so like just my question is, no more? This isn't going to be any more? We're not going to have to review our salaries? She's not going to micromanage our office? I mean, it's just...

Brad Overton: You'd be under a Salary Ordinance. So you should be paid, I assume, just like everybody else.

Gary Meyer: Everybody else, yeah. That's the idea.

Diane Alexander: So we're going to, she's going to take us off of contract and put us back on payroll?

Charlie Christmas: Where do you get your, these are not volunteers, I know they are employees.

Diane Alexander: I have five (5), there's five (5) of us part-time employees.

Charlie Christmas: Where do you get your employees from?

Diane Alexander: The community.

Charlie Christmas: Volunteers that come in?

Diane Alexander: No, I've had to hire staff, you know, to supervise volunteers. I...

Charlie Christmas: My wife is retired. I'd like to find her a job.

Diane Alexander: Well, we'd love for her to come volunteer with us.

Charlie Christmas: She, she retired from the School System.

Paul Rudolph: (inaudible) Charlie's always looking to give incentives to hire Warrick County employees.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Ted Metzger: Yeah. I'm not comfortable with the Salary Ordinance. I'm just, I don't think CASA employees should be paid from the County Payroll. They are employees of CASA.

Diane Alexander: We're, we're...

Ted Metzger: CASA is a separate entity.

Diane Alexander: We are employees of the court.

Ted Metzger: Right. So...

Diane Alexander: We're not County employees, we're employed by the court. So Judge Granger puts a line item in for us.

Ted Metzger: Right and you should be paid from that line item.

Diane Alexander: Yes.

Ted Metzger: Not on the County payroll.

Diane Alexander: So we, so it's my understanding from the State, which I have called my State Director and she said that as independent contractors, so there, well back up just a tad bit. Let me get my notes here.

Paul Rudolph: Let me, just while you're looking. So my understanding, this resolves a problem that, regarding how the CASA employees are situated. Our attorney, Cliff, gave an opinion that this is the best resolution. And I think this was, you know there was some discussion on this between the Auditor, the Judge, Cliff, and so forth. I thought that this was the agreed upon resolution to that.

Jean Blanton: If I may, yes, that is true. Todd Glass also, the County Attorney also agrees that they should be treated as employees and they should be added to the Salary Ordinance. So I believe it comes from both the Judge and the Council Attorney, and the County Attorney.

Paul Rudolph: So it's basically considering CASA to be like a, like the Auditor's Office. Like the Commissioners.

Ted Metzger: But they're a separate entity. They file their own taxes. And these people are employees.

Diane Alexander: We don't.

Ted Metzger: You don't file taxes?

Greg Richmond: (Inaudible) 1099 then from the Auditor's Office?

Diane Alexander: I get a W-2. I have since I've been employed. I get a W-2 'til this year. Which she has changed us to now this year I'll have a W-2 and a 1099.

Ted Metzger: Right. I'm not talking about the employees. I'm talking about the entity, CASA.

Diane Alexander: No, we're a court program. No taxes are filed. There's no income. There's no revenue generated from our services at all.

Ted Metzger: Well, then you're non-profit.

Brad Overton: We'll continue to fund them the same way they've been funded...

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Diane Alexander: For ten (10) years...

Ted Metzger: Yeah, but the thing is, they've been funded wrong for ten (10) years, and all you're doing is perpetuating this and it's going to be for eternity now once you make this Resolution.

Diane Alexander: Well, maybe I...

Gary Meyer: We told you about all of the legal entities in the County that this is the best way to solve it, Ted.

Charlie Christmas: Uh huh.

Gary Meyer: Our Attorney, the County Attorney...

Brad Overton: The Judge's Attorney.

Gary Meyer: Our Attorney, our Attorney has even contacted the Supreme Court Attorney. So I don't know what you're saying as far as that wrong. Because all of our advice, legal advice, has said to do it this way. You may not agree with it, and that's fine.

Ted Metzger: Right.

Gary Meyer: And that's okay.

Ted Metzger: Well, I don't agree with it because they're not County employees.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Ted Metzger: We should be able, if we need the grass cut over at Friedman Park, we ought to be able to take CASA employees over and cut the grass, if they're County employees. We can't do that. This is a product, CASA is a product of the Judicial System. It's not State mandated.

Diane Alexander: Yes, it is.

Ted Metzger: CASA is State mandated?

Diane Alexander: Yes, it is.

Ted Metzger: Or Federally mandated?

Diane Alexander: State requires all programs have a governing body because they're required to do things a certain way to get grant funding. And they have to be under control of a Judge or non-profit board under the IRS standards. Independent contractors have to be, just that, independent. They cannot be under control of anyone. You cannot direct their work, if you do the County is at risk of IRS saying they are actually employees and charging you back taxes and social security, etc.

Ted Metzger: Right, that's all correct.

Diane Alexander: Right.

Ted Metzger: You all are sub-contractors.

Diane Alexander: No, Sir.

Jean Blanton: In Warrick County, they are managed by the Judge (inaudible).

Diane Alexander: Judge Granger oversees our program. He is my boss.

Ted Metzger: Right.

Paul Rudolph: Similar to the Guardian Ad Litems?

Jean Blanton: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: Guardian Ad Litems are also employees of the Court.

Diane Alexander: They are full time paid employees, by the way. Both of them.

Brad Overton: But it's the same, same concept.

Paul Rudolph: Same concept as Guardian Ad Litem.

Diane Alexander: Yes, exactly. Guardian Ad Litems are under divorce and custody issues. We serve, the volunteers serve under abuse and neglect cases.

Ted Metzger: I gave you my opinion why the programs wrong. If you want to vote to do otherwise, that's up to you.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion by Charlie and seconded by Brad to approve the Salary Ordinance for 2017 and 2018. All those in favor of that motion? Opposed? Motion carries six to one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing). Ted. (Clarification: Motion was made by Brad Overton and seconded by Charlie Christmas).

Ted Metzger: And I'm sympathetic to CASA. But I just want to make sure they get paid from the right pot. That's my point here. I'm not trying to make sure you don't get paid. I just want to make sure...

Diane Alexander: I, I'm completely transparent and I completely agree that we should always do the right things. I totally agree with you.

Ted Metzger: Right.

Diane Alexander: This program has, I mean from the State up, seventy-four (74) counties in the State of Indiana that do it this way. Not necessarily, technically this way, but there's seventy-four (74) other counties that have CASA programs and some of those fall under the same guidelines as, that we are. So if it wasn't right and it was illegal, seventy-four (74) other counties may not have CASA programs.

Ted Metzger: I didn't say it was illegal. I just said...

Gary Meyer: Okay, let's move on cause we already voted on the issue.

Diane Alexander: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

SALARY ORDINANCE
SHERIFF SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER

Gary Meyer: Salary Ordinance, Sheriff, School Resource Officer, forty-four thousand four hundred thirteen dollars ($44,413.00). I think that was a typo.

Krystal Powless: Correct.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve correction.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Paul to approve the typo. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

OTHER BUSINESS
WARRICK COUNTY WAR MEMORIAL DEDICATION

Gary Meyer: Other Business? Anybody from the audience like to address the Council for anything?

Brad Overton: No.

Charlie Christmas: Oh my God, here comes Marlin.

Paul Rudolph: Uh oh.

Gary Meyer: Uh oh. We have Marlin.

Marlin Weisheit: I'll be quick.

Gary Meyer: He's got to leave so be quick.

Marlin Weisheit: I was going to mention it earlier. But we are finishing up the War Memorial. We still have a dedication date set for the 11th, Veteran's Day. So hope youguys can make it. I think it's going to be around 11:00 (AM). We're going to have a meeting Friday to set the time.

Charlie Christmas: Where are they starting to sell bricks for the Memorial?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, they've been doing that.

Charlie Christmas: Who?

Marlin Weisheit: You can get online at the Boonville NOW project.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible) seventy-five bucks ($75.00) (inaudible).

Brad Overton: I'm going.

(Councilman Brad Overton left the meeting)

Marlin Weisheit: You can go to the Legion or the VFW and fill out a form or see me later.

Charlie Christmas: I'm out there every morning. And I need to see him before you leave.

Marlin Weisheit: Okay. I just wanted to let you know.

David Hachmeister: What date and time did you say, Marlin?

Paul Rudolph: December 11th.

Marlin Weisheit: Tentatively, 11:00 (AM) on Veteran's Day. A week from Saturday. Thank you.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: Oh, November 11th.

Gary Meyer: Our next...

Paul Rudolph: I thought you said December.

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible).

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible) Memorial (inaudible) time.

CASA CONTINUED DISCUSSION

Debbie Stevens: May I just go on record, I feel like there were a lot of, there were some things said that I hae dealt with in my office for almost three (3) years. So I appreciate that we're kind of course correcting and getting some things on track for, for the CASA Program and how we manage those funds. But I feel like I need to defend myself and my staff and some things that we've faced.

Paul Rudolph: Hold on a second, Debbie.

Gary Meyer: We're not your judge and jury.

Paul Rudolph: You made that very clear last month.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, we're not (inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: So, if you made that very clear last month, you're going in the opposite way now.

Gary Meyer: We're not here to judge anybody.

Debbie Stevens: I just want to go on record saying, we can only pay claims and process things by Statute. Andthat's all we tried to do for the last three (3) years. So thank you.

Ted Metzger: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Our next meeting, just to reemphasize, will be December 7th at 5:00 (PM).

ELECTION BOARD BUDGET CORRECTION

Krystal Powless: I have something.

Gary Meyer: Yes, Ma'am?

Krystal Powless: There is another typo on the Salary Ordinancethat was brought to my attention and I copied you all on it. The Election budget. I had one thousand ($1,000.00) per year for their Election Board members, and it should have said one thousand ($1,000.00) per Election. Because they actually get paid one thousand($1,000.00) per Election not one thousand ($1,000.00) per year. Okay?

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Krystal Powless: That's the only change. So, I just need a motion to approve that for 2018.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we need a motion to approve that.

Charlie Christmas: So moved.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Charlie and seconded by...

David Hachmeister: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: David to approve that typo. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried six, zero (6-0). I would like to thank Jeannie for coming and sitting in for Cliff tonight.

Jean Blanton: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Cliff had a trial I guess. So you're welcome back anytime.

Jean Blanton: Well, thank you.

Gary Meyer: T.V.'s no good on Thursday night anyway. Are you wanting to say something Sheriff? Okay. Alight. Okay. Meeting is adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 7:28 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

David Hachmeister

Ted Metzger

Paul Rudolph

Greg Richmond, Vice President

Brad Overton

Charles R. Christmas

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges.

ORDINANCE NO. 2017 - 07

AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF WARRICK COUNTY, INDIANA APPROVING THE COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE EXPENSES FOR THE 2018 FISCAL YEAR

WHEREAS, the Warrick County Council (the "Council") has the authority and duty to maintain and oversee the fiscal operations of Warrick County (the "County") and to reconcile the County's financial and budgetary records; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to I.C. § 36-2-7-10.2(b) in order that the County Recorder may pay all or a portion of the expenses of the County Recorder's office for calendar year 2018 from the Recorder's Records Perpetuation Fund established under I.C. § 36-2-7-10 (f) (the "Fund"); and

WHEREAS, the Recorder has submitted a sworn statement to the Council that the current revenue to the Fund is sufficient to fulfill the statutory purpose of the Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Recorder has submitted a sworn statement to the Council that the technology of the County Recorder's office is presently updated and at a level to sufficiently meet the statutory purposes of the Fund and the County Recorder's Office; and

WHEREAS, the County Recorder specifically requests that all or a specific, identifiable portion of the Fund be used to pay expenses of the County Recorder's office for the 2018 calendar year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council as follows:

Section 1. That all or a specific identifiable portion of the Fund be used to pay expenses of the County Recorder's Office for the 2018 calendar year. Those certain expenses as identified in the Sworn Statement of Pat Brooks, County Recorder, as attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.

Section 2. The County Recorder is hereby directed to pay expenses of the County Recorder's office for the 2017 calendar year from the Fund.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect upon passage

Adopted this 2 day of October, 2017.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, President

Greg Richmond, Vice President

Charles R. Christmas

David Hachmeister

Paul Rudolph

Brad Overton

Ted Metzger

ATTEST: this 2 day of November, 2017.

Debbie Stevens, Auditor

Page | 1

SWORN STATEMENT by RECORDER for 2018

STATE OF INDIANA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF WARRICK)

IN THE MATTER OF THE COUNTY RECORDER'S
RECORDS PERPETUATION FUND ESTABLISHED UNDER
I.C. § 36-2-7-10(d)

SWORN STATEMENT OF PAT BROOKS, WARRICK COUNTY RECORDER

Patricia Brooks, the duly elected County Recorder, submits her sworn statement to the County Council pursuant to I.C. § 36-2-7-10.2(b) in order that the county recorder may pay all or a portion of the expenses of the county recorder's office for calendar year 2018 from the Recorder's Records Perpetuation Fund (1189) established under I.C. § 36-2-7-10(d). Under penalties for perjury and under oath, Patricia Brooks, County Recorder, states as follows:

(A) The current revenue to the fund is sufficient to fulfill the statutory purpose of the fund;

(B) The technology of the county recorder's office is presently updated and at a level to sufficiently meet the statutory purposes of the fund and the county recorder's office;

(C) The fund has a sufficient reserve, consistent with the recorder's plan to capitalize the next technology or other records management upgrade necessary to fulfill the statutory purpose of the fund and the county recorder's office; and

(D) The county recorder specifically requests that all or a specific, identifiable portion of the 1189 Perpetuation Fund be used to pay expenses of the County Recorder's office for Record Preservation for 2018, ($138,200). This include deputies, contracts, software, Storage Guardian, scanner, and maintenance.

I, Patricia Brooks, affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Date: 10.24.2017

Patricia Brooks, Warrick County Recorder

STATE OF INDIANA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF WARRICK)

Before me, a Notary Public, in and for said County and State, personally appeared Patricia Brooks and acknowledged the execution of the above and foregoing document to be her voluntary act and deed under oath.

Witness my hand and notarial seal this 24 day of October, 2017

County of Residence:

Notary Public

BILLIE J. FARRELL
Resident of Warrick County, IN
Commission Expires: January 14, 2023
Commission # 682684

Sec. 1 Be it ordained (resolved) by the Warrick County Council, Warrick County, Indiana, that for the expenses of the taxing unit the following additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the proposed specified, subject to the laws governing the same:

FUND	APPROPRIATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT REQUESTED	AMOUNT REQUIRED
Pre-Trial	2504-000-4380.00	Law En. Training	$2,500.00	Withdraw
Total Pre-Trial			$2,500.00	
Platt Book	1181-000-4320.00	Expense	$65,000.00	65,000
Total Platt Book			$65,000.00	
Circuit Court	100-110-4189.00	Pauper Def. Doc.	$30,000.00	17,000
Total Circuit Court			$30,000.00	17,000
Local Health Maintenance	1168-000-4340.00	Vaccine	$4,000.00	4,000
Total Local Health Maintenance			$4,000.00	
Health	1159-000-4220.00	Vaxcare	$2,179.48	2,179.48
	1159-000-4112.30	Part Time An, Cont.	$2,750.00	2,750.00
Total Health			$4,929.48	
Economic Dev.	1149-000-4517.50	Warr. Path. Grant	$200,000.00	200,000
	1149-000-4516.75	Industrial Inc.	$140,000.00	Table
Total Economic Dev.			$340,000.00	200,000
Jail	1000-114-4351.00	Utilities	$20,000.00	20,000
Total Jail			$20,000.00	
Parks & Recreation	1219-000-4353.00	Water	$5,000.00	5,000
	1219-000-4351.00	Electric	$7,000.00	7,000
Total Parks & Rec			$12,000.00	12,000
Cumulative Capital Dev.	1138-000-4391.10	Jud. Cent. HVAC	$23,476.00	23,476
Total Cumulative Capital Development			$23,476.00	
Courthouse	1000-112-4361.00	Building & Structures	$5,000.00	5,000
Total Courthouse			$5,000.00	
Commissioners	1000-116-4324.00	Telephone	$15,000.00	10,000
	1000-116-4324.10	Cell Phone	$2,700.00	2,000
Total Commissioners			$17,700.00	12,000
Reduction				
Sheriff	1000-113-4221.00	Fuel	$20,000.00	- 20,000
Total Reduction Sheriff			$20,000.00	

Taxpayers appearing in the meeting shall have a right to be heard. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the State Board of Tax Commissioners. The Board will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the appropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a Certified Copy of the action.

Adopted this 2 day of Nov, 2017

AYE	NAY

ATTEST:

Deborah Stevens
Auditor Warrick County