WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
March 2, 2017
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Cliff Whitehead was in attendance.

County Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Gary Meyer, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Gary Meyer: Roll call.

Krystal Powless: Gary Meyer?

Gary Meyer: Here.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: Yes.

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

Krystal Powless: Paul Rudolph?

Paul Rudolph: Here.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
FEBRUARY 2, 2017

Gary Meyer: Okay. Minutes from the February 2nd Meeting and February 13th Meeting. We can take them separately if you rather.

Paul Rudolph: Let's take them separately, please.

Gary Meyer: Okay. February 2nd Meeting. Any additions or corrections to that meeting?

David Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Charlie to approve February 2nd Minutes. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

FEBRUARY 13, 2017
MINUTES

Gary Meyer: Now we have February 13th. That was a special meeting. Any additions or corrections to that meeting?

Greg Richmond: Motion.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Brad, did you second that?

Brad Overton: That's me.

Gary Meyer: Brad, to approve February 13th Minutes. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven (7), zero (0).

Paul Rudolph: I abstained actually, since I wasn't at the 13th...

Gary Meyer: Okay, sorry. Motion carried six, zero, one (6-0-1 with Paul Rudolph abstaining). Paul was not here, that's true.

TABLED ITEMS
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
MOTORIZED EQUIPMENT-DODGE DURANGO

Gary Meyer: Okay, Tabled Items, Economic Development Business, Additional Appropriation, Economic Development, Motorized Equipment, thirty-four thousand dollars ($34,000.00).

Larry Taylor: Larry Taylor, Economic Development Director. At the last meeting, you had asked me to collect some more information on the vehicle replacement. I, I believe that Krystal put a hand-out out for you there that shows the comparisons that we came up with. And basically the, if you go with the Chevrolet, the Chevrolet that meets the needs, because we do, do some off-road things where we take clients and we go out schluffing them around the Industrial Parks, and it's two hundred (200) and some acres, and we take 'em out and look at different sites and all that. So the recommendation after looking at all this was that the vehicle that's the lowest price and meets the needs would be a Dodge Durango. Joe Grassman, the County Purchasing Manager, went and got these quotes for us. So we're able to fine tune the quote. And of the thirty-four thousand ($34,000.00) that was advertised, I'm requesting that we do the thirty-one thousand ($31,000.00). Now the vehicle, the current vehicle, and this is what we did with my last vehicle, it was redeployed to another County department by the County Commissioners. And I believe the intention on this vehicle is to redeploy that to the EMA group. And basically what that does for the County is it eliminates the need to buy, to purchase a vehicle or have that expenditure out of a Property Tax Fund, and it allows them a more current vehicle. So I request that thirty-one thousand ($31,000.00) of the advertised thirty-four thousand ($34,000.00), and then we can continue to work with Joe to purchase the actual replacement vehicle. Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: If I could say one (1) quick statement here. Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioner. One (1) option we could have with that vehicle, is I've talked to Bobby Howard, our Highway Engineer and Superintendent, he could take that vehicle. He has a three quarter (¾) ton truck that's probably three (3) years old, Bobby? And we could reissue that to Emergency Management. I mean, their truck, as Charlie knows, he's served on that Board for years, you've turned down their request to replace that truck the last three (3) or four (4) years. But it's a 2004, I think it's got two hundred forty thousand (240,000) miles on it.

Charlie Christmas: It's in the shop now.

Marlin Weisheit: It's in the shop now. Two (2) weeks ago we put a fuel pump on it. But that would solve that problem. I mean I'd rather see you buy him a new truck but that would work and do him for several years there, or help out. And Bobby, it's a heavy three-quarters (3/4), it would pull the trailers, he would be okay driving the used Durango. But that's an option we have, if you want to consider that option.

Brad Overton: How many miles does Bobby's vehicle have on it?

Marlin Weisheit: I think it's got forty (40) something on it, don't it?

Bobby Howard: Thirty thousand (30,000).

Marlin Weisheit: Thirty thousand (30,000), thirty thousand (30,000), yeah.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible) has two hundred thousand (200,000) on it.

Marlin Weisheit: Two hundred (200), well over two hundred (200).

Charlie Christmas: Well over two hundred (200).

Marlin Weisheit: Thirteen (13) years old. And you know, Dallas has worked hard. Of course, he's retiring. We've got a good guy coming in there. Many trailers to pull and deploy. And as Charlie knows, they've worked hard to get all this equipment through grants and we've got a barn full of trailers and equipment. That vehicle needs to be ready to go at times. We really depend on it.

Gary Meyer: That will, if Bobby's truck goes to Emergency Management, will his truck pull all the trailers we need?

Marlin Weisheit: Yep. It's a heavy duty three-quarter (3/4) ton, same as what they've been using.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Marlin Weisheit: Yep.

David Hachmeister: What's the engine though?

Marlin Weisheit: What's your engine in your truck?

David Hachmeister: Five, four (5.4)

Bobby Howard: Six, six (6.6) I think.

Marlin Weisheit: I think it's a six, six (6.6)? It's a heavy duty three-quarter (3/4).

Bobby Howard: Six, six (6.6) (inaudible).

David Hachmeister: Six, two (6.2)?

Marlin Weisheit: And it's set up for trailering, isn't it, Bobby?

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Marlin Weisheit: Even though you've never trailered with it, but you told me the other day. So, usually his truck goes on to the Highway Department or Storm Water, whatever. But this would solve that problem, I just want to throw that out there.

Gary Meyer: Is there any need for you to have a truck or vehicle that can tow things, something with?

Bobby Howard: The objective of that at the time was so that we could go down into (inaudible)?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, we'll just repurpose it when he got done. We've done that with Steve's truck before, but there, there, a couple of 'ems still out there and still in use. And we use them daily.

Gary Meyer: Okay, Gentlemen, so this request is come down from thirty-four thousand ($34,000.00) to thirty-one thousand ($31,000.00).

Ted Metzger: Mr. Taylor, how many times a year do you put seven (7) or eight (8) people in the Durango, and go out to these sites?

Larry Taylor: Probably six (6) or seven (7).

Ted Metzger: Six (6) or seven (7) times a year?

Larry Taylor: Well, what we do is, we'll take, we have a Project Manager, that knows about the Industrial Parks, and usually one (1) or two (2) engineers. And then the customers will usually have people with them. And if I have someone from the State with me, so rather than have people go in two (2) trucks or two (2) cars and go up and look at stuff, we put everybody in the same vehicle and they can point stuff out and can drive over and say well this is topsoil that has to be removed and that kind of thing.

Charlie Christmas: What do you need? Are you needing a motion to spend the thirty-one thousand ($31,000.00)?

Gary Meyer: That's what I need.

Charlie Christmas: I'll make it.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Charlie to approve the thirty-one thousand dollars ($31,000.00)? Is there a second?

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Greg. Any further discussion?

Brad Overton: Just so, for the record, this is out of the EDIT Fund?

Gary Meyer: This is out of EDIT Fund.

Brad Overton: Not out of Property Tax.

Gary Meyer: Right.

David Hachmeister: That's, that's the only advantage that I can see of doing it.

Brad Overton: Yeah, I'd have to agree with you on that.

Paul Rudolph: Just for my understanding, do we control rights of, we're authorizing the purchase of a vehicle for Economic Development. Do we actually get to choose where that current Economic Development vehicle goes? Or is that Commissioners' decision?

Gary Meyer: That's Commissioners'.

Paul Rudolph: Economic Development's decision?

Gary Meyer: I'd say that'd be the Commissioners'.

David Hachmeister: The title's Commissioners', isn't it?

Krystal Powless: The title's Commissioners'.

Gary Meyer: The title's Commissioners', yeah.

Paul Rudolph: Okay. At the end of the day, it's really not our call, other than whether or not we want to give Economic Development a car.

Marlin Weisheit: And I'm just letting you know what our plans are.

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible) that's your call.

Marlin Weisheit: (Inaudible) the direction we're taking here, it's only fair.

Gary Meyer: It's an Economic Development car but where that car goes...

Paul Rudolph: That's what I'm saying. The dominos after that...

Gary Meyer: Right, right.

Paul Rudolph: Are no longer our call. Right? That's what I was trying to (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: And I just hope that they would do it to save us some money...

Brad Overton: Well, I don't think that Marlin would have came up here and said that he was gonna do something and then not...

Marlin Weisheit: It's a good plan.

Brad Overton: Then turn around and...

Marlin Weisheit: And I know Charlie feels the same way I do. We've been trying to figure a way to help EMA for the last three (3) years.

Charlie Christmas: We told a bunch of people last night that that's what we planned on doing.

Paul Rudolph: We know where he lives.

Gary Meyer: There you go.

Charlie Christmas: Do you remember? A bunch of people.

Greg Richmond: That Durango's diesel, isn't it?

Larry Taylor: No.

Greg Richmond: I didn't know they had a twenty-five (25) mile to the gallon that wasn't a diesel.

Larry Taylor: It's a, the mileage on that's incredible. It's a 3.6 (L), V6 and, but it's got like a six (6) speed transmission. But it's pretty high gear. It really gets decent mileage, I really think. Before I came to work here I had a Chevrolet Tahoe. This one (1) I bet doubles the mileage of the Tahoe.

Marlin Weisheit: Probably shouldn't have told Bobby that was a V6, (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Okay, any further discussion? We have a motion by Charlie and seconded by Greg to approve the thirty-one thousand dollars ($31,000.00). All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried six, one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the one (1)?

Gary Meyer: Ted.

Larry Taylor: Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you.

Larry Taylor: Thank you, Gentlemen.

NEW VEHICLE FOR BUILDING DEPARTMENT

Marlin Weisheit: I'd like to bring up one (1) thing while we're talking vehicles, if I could for next month. I'd like to get on the agenda, I talked to Krystal about it, about our Building Department. Their vehicle has a hundred (100), Dennis's vehicle has a hundred and sixty-one thousand (161,000). It was handed down from Larry last time, I believe, is where it's come from. And it's needing some repair. He depends on it every day. His budget, he had the best month ever last month. They took in fifty thousand dollars ($50,000.00) in permits. Two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000) last year. And what we'd like to do, I've talked to the other two (2) Commissioners, we'd like to get him on a program with the Sheriff's Department when they order their Dodge pick-ups, and you know, I'll bring this to you next month. I just wanted to give you a head's up on it. We'd like to buy him a new Dodge pick-up. And that'll be just a little over twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000.00). That's what we paid last year. And then he could actually trade that in every year for little of nothing, and his budget would handle it. But I'll bring that to you next month. So if I could get on the agenda, I just wanted to give you a heads up on it. If you've got any questions between now and then, be sure to ask me.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Marlin Weisheit: Fair enough. Krystal, does that work?

Krystal Powless: Yep. Yep.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you.

PRESENTATION
4-H AMBASSADORS

Gary Meyer: Okay, Item 3, I understand, has been delayed to April maybe.

Krystal Powless: Yes.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

COMMISSIONER/DRUG COURT BUSINESS
COURT SERVICES BUILDING

Gary Meyer: So, Item 4, Commissioner/Drug Court Business. Additional Appropriation, Courthouse, Utilities of seven thousand dollars ($7,000.00); Telephone of five thousand one hundred dollars ($5,100.00); Fuel and Pest Control, two hundred and eighty dollars ($280.00); for a total of twelve thousand three hundred and eighty dollars ($12,380.00).

Roger Emmons: Roger Emmons, County Administrator, Commissioners' Office. We have Commissioner Marlin Weisheit and Judge Zach Winsett. This stems from an email on January 24th of this year from Judge Winsett where he discussed, he had, we were discussing phone lines out at the Court Services from when we moved the Coroner's Office. And I think Krystal was copied on that and probably forwarded that email to you, Councilmen. I, I sent it to the Commissioners and they agreed that we would submit this on Judge Winsett's behalf to see if the Council would put utilities, phone, fuel, and pest control in the Commissioners' budget. I did get back one (1) response, from Brad, who said it wasn't, he really didn't want to put anything into the Commissioners' budget at this time, but that perhaps it could be considered at budget time. And he did thank us for trying to be creative on this issue to help reduce the expenditures out at the Drug Court. So, I don't know if Judge Winsett would like to comment to the Council or not, but it would (inaudible). I told him to go get his robe. He'd be...

Gary Meyer: Would be more impressive, wouldn't it?

Judge Zach Winsett: Good evening, Gentlemen. Zach Winsett, Superior Court I. We have been, we've been good stewards, I think, of that Old Harbor House building out there. We've paid the utilities over the years out of our program fees. We were happy to do it. We didn't have to pay rent as we had at other places in the past. It's a good building. Now we have another office in there. We have the Coroner's Office and we're hoping to bring other offices in there. But now we have a situation where money's always tight, as you guys may remember from my past appearances. So now we have the Coroner's Office in there with two (2) phone lines, internet, water usage, AC/heat, and I just, we're just thinking it may be time to avoid conflict and the best interest of all parties involved. It's hard to figure out what the Coroner's Office should pay because she's not really, the nature of her job is, she's not in there every day. So the phone lines is probably easy, the internet's easy, but water's going to go up, everything else's going to go up. And we're just respectfully asking for this additional appropriation so this can be paid, not out of Drug Court Fees. And I'd be happy to field any questions.

Gary Meyer: My problem, I think is that we'd be taking Property Tax money to support a User Fee Program. Is that correct?

David Hachmeister: Only if part of it goes to you.

Gary Meyer: Well, the Commissioners' budget is property taxes.

David Hachmeister: Yeah, yeah.

Gary Meyer: And we're going to take some money to support a User Fee Program. That's my problem.

Paul Rudolph: So if I can clarify, Judge Winsett?

Judge Zach Winsett: Yes?

Paul Rudolph: Are you allocating all of the utility costs now to the Commissioners' budget? Or are we just getting a part of it now? Is this being split?

Krystal Powless: No, this is, this is all of it.

Roger Emmons: No, that's just the request.

Paul Rudolph: This is all of it.

Judge Zach Winsett: This is all of it. It's based on last year. It's a little over twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00) for the entire year. It's a County building. It's a County owned building. And we're just in it, like Community Corrections is downstairs in, in, in the Courthouse, or the Judicial Center, excuse me. And so, and now we have the Coroner's Office in there as well. And we're open for other offices and other utilizations of that building. So it's not, you're not funding the, the program directly as much as the building and the upkeep of the building. If we weren't there, there would be some minimal costs to that or else it would fall into disrepair. And it has fallen into disrepair in the past. We're just now, done a lot of work to get it up to snuff.

Marlin Weisheit: Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioners. As I've told you in the past, we'd probably be taking demo bids on that building if we hadn't have to a serious look at it about three (3) or four (4) years ago. And I'm proud of what we've done to it. And they're a good tenant out there. But I'm amazed at what they've accomplished. When this program started with Judge Meiers, gosh, I mean, about how many years has it been?

Judge Zach Winsett: About ten (10), twelve (12).

Marlin Weisheit: At least that. I was working in law enforcement. And I had my doubts. I mean, I was trying to put the drug users away and drug dealers away. And I had my doubts that this program would work. But I've been amazed at what they do out there. And the people that go through that Court that stays out of Sheriff Kruse's jail because they're in that program and they report in there every day. And one (1) of the ladies out there, one (1) of your Field Agents, she told me the other day, and I've been out there a lot, we've been working on the building and getting it up in shape, she keeps in touch with two hundred (200) clients on a regular basis. I mean there's that many people that's benefited and when you start going to these Drug Court graduations and you see these people that's got rehabilitated and able to work and maintain their jobs and support their families as opposed to sitting in our jail. This is a great program. It works. It works well.

Gary Meyer: I'm not questioning the program.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, yeah, I just wanted to make sure you all understood that.

Gary Meyer: I'm questioning, I think it's a bad precedence, because we have other user fee programs that once we start this, where do we stop?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, and I...

Gary Meyer: Where do we stop?

Marlin Weisheit: One (1) more statement and I'll let you guys decide, but you know, Community Corrections works out of the basement of the Courthouse or JC don't they? They don't have to worry about utilities?

Krystal Powless: Right.

Marlin Weisheit: They don't have to worry about utilities. They don't. Where out here, we force them to be responsible for the utilities. And if they moved out, we'd still have to maintain the building.

Roger Emmons: They're a County owned building.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, they're a County owned building. So, I mean there is like sixty-five hundred square feet (6,500) of space out there. There's room for more expansion within that building. And in time, you know, we've talked to Community Corrections Board, they might merge and move out there. But right now, the burdens on them. And I know they have a tight budget, so that's why we're up here pleading with him, because we want to see this program continue to help people in Warrick County and keep people out of our jail. Thank you.

Paul Rudolph: So, long story short, what I'm hearing is that this is, these are shared expenses? They're shared expenses which mean, you know, what should belong in the Commissioners' budget and what should theoretically belong in Drug Court. If they're shared expenses, I would suggest that both offices share the expense, fifty/fifty (50%/50%). Commissioners' Office pays half; Drug Court pays half.

Marlin Weisheit: Fair enough.

Roger Emmons: That's a start.

Paul Rudolph: That to me seems reasonable. And I don't want to get into, we could go more, but for twelve thousand dollars ($12,000.00) I don't really wanna (inaudible) save the County money (inaudible) yes, some of it's User Fees some of it's not. But I would suggest, and I'm motioning as such, that we just split it in half and say half of it comes out of Drug Court, and half of it comes out of Commissioners.

Marlin Weisheit: I can live with that.

Judge Zach Winsett: I can live with that as well.

David Hachmeister: And...

Paul Rudolph: Split the baby.

David Hachmeister: On the pest control was that...

Gary Meyer: He made a motion.

David Hachmeister: And I'm going to add to it.

Gary Meyer: Oh, you're going to add to it.

David Hachmeister: If that was for the whole building or a one (1) time deal or is it going to need to be split later on?

Judge Zach Winsett: I think it's a monthly service.

Roger Emmons: Yeah, it's Arab, Arab.

Judge Zach Winsett: Yeah, yeah.

David Hachmeister: I'll second that motion that we divide those costs.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Paul and seconded by David to approve half...?

David Hachmeister: Half.

Gary Meyer: For the, half for the Commissioners and half for the Drug Court, okay.

Brad Overton: You need (inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: So half the additional appropriation.

Cliff Whitehead: Six thousand one hundred ninety dollars ($6,190.00).

Gary Meyer: Six thousand ($6,000.00)...

Cliff Whitehead: One hundred and ninety dollars ($190.00).

David Hachmeister: Well, really...

David Hachmeister: Excuse me, yeah. Excuse me, your motion was to split all the further expenses, correct? Utilities?

Paul Rudolph: Yep, fifty/fifty (50%/50%).

David Hachmeister: That's what I thought I seconded.

Paul Rudolph: Yeah.

David Hachmeister: So.

Roger Emmons: So you're really not approving the additional, you're telling us how to proceed.

David Hachmeister: Correct.

Paul Rudolph: Yes.

Roger Emmons: It could be such as the Commissioners' budget would pay January through June and the Drug Court could take over, or vice versa.

Krystal Powless: But we have to put the money there, right, Roger? Don't we want to appropriate half?

Gary Meyer: We have to put some money in the line item.

Greg Richmond: You've got...

Krystal Powless: I mean I know you have money in your budget but don't you want to put in half tonight?

Roger Emmons: I think we'd need some in there for the remainder of this year.

Gary Meyer: Yeah.

David Hachmeister: And you thought last...

Gary Meyer: That'd be six-one-nine 'o ($6,190.00), right?

David Hachmeister: Yeah.

Marlin Weisheit: That'd be a good (inaudible).

Judge Zach Winsett: I think it's fair.

Marlin Weisheit: We'll live with that. It's close enough to last year's, so...

Judge Zach Winsett: We're in to February now, March.

Paul Rudolph: March.

Charlie Christmas: March (inaudible). I heard a motion, but I haven't heard a second.

Gary Meyer: Uh, David seconded it.

Charlie Christmas: David did? Okay.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, we have a motion and a second. Any further discussion? Okay, all those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried five, two (5-2 with Ted Metzger and Gary Meyer opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the two (2)?

Gary Meyer: Ted and me.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Judge Zach Winsett: Thank you, Gentlemen.

DRUG COURT BUSINESS TRANSFER

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have Transfer, Drug Court Business, transfer from Operating Expense, twenty-seven thousand five hundred dollars ($27,500.00), to Field Compliance Officer, seventeen thousand five hundred dollars ($17,500.00), and also to Health Insurance, ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00).

Judge Zach Winsett: Yes, Gentlemen, this is that Field Compliance Officer that we want to hire and split the salary and the benefits with Community Corrections, to work half the time with Community Corrections, half the time for Drug Court. We've been without a Field Compliance Officer now for the better part of, I've been saying six (6) months for a few months now. So it's probably been between six (6) months and a year. And it's going to come out of our, of our operating expenses for the program.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible) one (1), forty (40).

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

David Hachmeister: And I'll second with a statement, again, when the money is out, it's out.

Judge Zach Winsett: It's out, I completely understand. Paul said that last time and message is clear.

David Hachmeister: Yeah.

Charlie Christmas: Crystal clear.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Brad and seconded by David to approve. Any further discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Judge Zach Winsett: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Judge Zach Winsett: Have a good night.

SALARY ORDINANCE

Gary Meyer: Salary Ordinance, Drug Court, Field Compliance Officer, seventeen thousand five hundred dollars ($17,500.00).

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Paul to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PROSECUTOR BUSINESS
PRE-TRIAL

Gary Meyer: Okay, Prosecutor Business, Additional Appropriation, Pre-Trial, Grant Match, six thousand six hundred and eighty-two dollars ($6,682.00).

Mike Perry: Good evening, Mike Perry, Prosecutor. Levi Burkett has been talking to Krystal about this. This is essentially, this is again coming out of our Infraction Deferral Fund. It's isn't coming out of your money. The amounts are to cover fifteen hundred and seventeen dollars ($1,517.00) worth of short-fall in a salary between the grant and what Tracy Green's actual salary was with longevity. And fifty-one-sixty-five ($51.65) was due to a budgeting error in the grant and that would cover the remainder of Cheryl Chodkiewicz salary.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve. Is there a second?

Greg Richmond: Second.

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Greg. Any further discussion? When will you find out about the grant? I mean have you already…?

Mike Perry: No, we're in the middle of it, Sir.

Gary Meyer: Oh, you're in the middle of it?

Mike Perry: Yeah, and we just, we had to go up to Indy a couple weeks ago. There were some discrepancies in the amounts and we got that all figured out. So I believe there was a hold-up, Mrs. Powless, correct me if I'm wrong, in the ICGI getting approval to release some funds. I think we've gotten that cleared up and they have released the funds. Is that correct?

Krystal Powless: That's the way I understand…

Mike Perry: But yeah, yeah, we're in the middle of it, Sir.

Gary Meyer: Okay, okay, that's fine. Okay, we have a motion made by Brad and seconded by Greg to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Mike Perry: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

HIGHWAY BUSINESS
PROSPECT SALT STORAGE

Gary Meyer: Okay, Highway Business, Prospect Salt Storage, eighty-five thousand dollars ($85,000.00).

Bobby Howard: Good evening, Bobby Howard, County Engineer. This is the follow-up to, I believe it was the January

follow through to get the two (2) appraisals that are required. Those two (2) appraisals are in. There was some questions on one (1) of the appraiser's reports to ensure it was the correct property that he did appraise. He did, there is some language on there, and it's just some typos on it. I did refuse the report and he correctly appraise the property in question. So as you can see from those appraisal reports, the asking value for this property is considerably less than the appraised value of the property. And that it is the intention of the seller, he plans on putting in a water line from Vann Road to this property to tie in there with this money from the sale. So this will open up more development along the corridor of Prospect Drive as well. And again as stated at the last meeting, this property is in the location that I needed for that District area. This is already the area that we take material and supplies to without having to go from one (1) District to another District with material and it shortens the haul routes. This gives us an area to take waste material to and also to stockpile dirt at. This will also give future area for the Storm Water Management to, for when their street sweeping program starts, they'll have an area to dump waste close by. Most of our curb and gutter streets are in this vicinity of the County so this keeps it where they don't have to drive all the way back to Boonville to dump their loads. So with the benefit for several agencies.

Gary Meyer: I've got a couple questions.

Bobby Howard: Sure.

Gary Meyer: First of all, the money for this is coming out of Highway, correct?

Bobby Howard: Correct.

Gary Meyer: What else do you spend that money for?

Bobby Howard: Out of Motor Vehicle/Highway, it's, it's...

Gary Meyer: The reason I ask this, Bobby, is when the public sees it's coming out of Highway and we've told them for years how bad our roads are, they're going to question, "Why are you buying more land for storage, when the highways are so bad."

Bobby Howard: Right. And in, because of this Board, in the past three (3) years, the agreement with Economic Development and the sixty/forty (60%/40%) split...

Gary Meyer: Right.

Bobby Howard: We have had three and a half million dollars ($3,500,000.00) spent last year on roads. We are projecting about three million ($3,000,000.00) this year for our roads. And this land and location we need is to help with our road projects to keep our costs down, to keep a location...

Gary Meyer: But you don't use this money for our roads?

Bobby Howard: Um...

Gary Meyer: Or you do?

Bobby Howard: Part of it is used for some road maintenance. Part of it is for utilities and buildings. Part of it's for salaries. So, it's, it's multiple use for the Highway Department.

Gary Meyer: And where do you get that money?

Bobby Howard: I guess the money comes through gas tax and licensing fees. So it's just, it's the Highway Funds that only the Highway Department can use and it's needed for infrastructure for the Highway Department as well as material needs.

Gary Meyer: Okay, will you have enough money to build this building you want to build, too?

Bobby Howard: In, in the future, Storm Water will be looking at building a building there.

Gary Meyer: But you're not going to build a building there?

Bobby Howard: I'm not going to be building a building there at this time. I just need the additional space.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Bobby Howard: Oh, and, no I do not. Solid Waste may, this gives another location for Solid Waste to have a recycle, the recycle drop-off sites as well in the area, if we ever lose the, if we ever lost the lease over on (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: I'm sure we will.

Paul Rudolph: So it looks like we've had two (2) appraisals. Those appraisers were Greg Wasson and David Talley. Are those two (2) people certified to do appraisals?

Bobby Howard: Yes.

Charlie Christmas: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: Okay.

Bobby Howard: Yes, our Attorney's reviewed it and I believe your Attorney's reviewed it as well.

Paul Rudolph: Okay. Is there any other due diligence that we need to perform that we should have, that we should consider before we decide on a purchase, i.e., some type of, I'm thinking of, make sure the property isn't contaminated in anyway, anything like that?

Bobby Howard: Well, as we go through and do it further through the property purchase agreement and can address any issues at that time through the agreement with the property owner. This is adjacent to our existing facility and it's, it's been pretty well known what's all there at the time, and we're pretty confident that there's no problems there. We got that adjacent facility I don't know how many years ago, but we've had a salt storage facility there so...

Paul Rudolph: And one (1) last question, when was the last time this property changed hands?

Bobby Howard: I do not recall. It's been in the Maken Corporation for...

Gary Meyer: I think they've had it forever.

Bobby Howard: Yeah, as long as I can remember, Maken, they've owned...

Roger Emmons: (Inaudible).

Bobby Howard: Yeah, the mine would have owned it and then Maken Corporation has owned it since.

Paul Rudolph: Okay.

Charlie Christmas: A good thirty (30) years.

Brad Overton: So how much ground do you currently have adjacent to this one (1), Bobby?

Bobby Howard: I think it's two (2) parcels that might total two (2) acres, but usable space is not, it's less than two (2) acres because of a drainage ditch on the back side there.

David Hachmeister: Have the Commissioners discussed the possibility of selling some of the ground that they have now? Like out on, the ground out on Pelzer Road that's not being used?

Marlin Weisheit: Like the old landfill?

David Hachmeister: Well, yeah, that and that that wasn't used for landfill.

Marlin Weisheit: Not really, nothing serious you know because we have to monitor that ground. And you know it's not really worth that much. We did have one (1) buyer that wanted to do that across the road there, but after we checked on it legally, we didn't think we should be selling it because all that pretty well was adjoining landfill. And you know we're obligated to monitor that for years. We have a company that does that for us. I think at our last meeting we had to approve two (2) gas spikes be put in, you know, for methane testing. So you know that's our responsibility to do that.

David Hachmeister: Well, you know...

Roger Emmons: The old landfills are not approve for construction, so we really can't. We have to maintain that landfill, both the closed landfills in perpetuity. So...

Marlin Weisheit: Yep.

David Hachmeister: Well that ground north hasn't been used as a landfill possibility too of that. Of course also the ground we just purchased, that was the old landfill that was on lease and the County purchased it.

Roger Emmons: That was just eighteen (18) acres.

David Hachmeister: Correct. Correct.

Marlin Weisheit: The thing I like about this site, is my concern, someday we're going to lose that lease on Casey Road. And it's gonna be tough to find a spot down there that they're not going to throw a fit about when you put a dumpster site next door to you. None of you's gonna want that next door to you. And down there it's a little trickier than anywhere else in the County. But this would be a perfect location if Solid Waste loses that site, and I think we're gonna need to maintain a site down there for many years for recycling and trash drop off. And I can see, hopefully it's a few years down the road, but at least we'll, as we lay this lot out, we should leave an acre and a half (1 ½) or so to one (1) side that would work for that site that we could use. So hopefully we'll be able to do that. And I think there's plenty enough room we can do that if we manage it from the start, if we're able to acquire it.

Bobby Howard: And the entire area's industrial so it's the same type of debris and storage is in the vicinity from other places, we'd just be carrying that on like normal.

Gary Meyer: How much frontage is on Prospect Drive? (Inaudible) it looks like an awful lot.

Bobby Howard: Yes, we have...

David Hachmeister: Six hundred eighty (680) feet.

Bobby Howard: Yeah, six hundred and eighty (680) feet.

Gary Meyer: Okay. I guess my other concern was, we're taking an awful lot of valuable property and taking them off the tax rolls. Where if someone would buy some of that, because that has frontage on Prospect, it would go back on tax rolls. But if you need that much land then...

Bobby Howard: That's enough to get us where, cause he's getting ready to develop the north side of this. He plans on berming off behind this there and doing a development off of Oak Grove Road.

Gary Meyer: You mean our adjacent property?

on that side, but he doesn't feel like this is going, I mean, I think that's one (1) of the reasons why we're getting another good deal on the property cause he just wants to do the water line. And as far as being next to the concrete plant and our site, it doesn't make for real good ground to develop when he's coming back in off of Oak Grove Road with his plans on that side. So he's looked at the area and the development of what he plans to do and this is what he offered to us when we approached him.

Gary Meyer: So this property I guess is north, yeah, north of our, what we're proposing? It does have an entrance off of Oak Grove?

Bobby Howard: Yes, Sir.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Ted Metzger: Excuse me? It does have an entrance off Oak Grove?

Bobby Howard: He has access to Oak Grove Road. Yes, Sir. This whole property abuts Oak Grove Road.

Ted Metzger: But we wouldn't have any...

Bobby Howard: No, we, our property doesn't...

Ted Metzger: Our property wasn't...

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible)

Bobby Howard: (Inaudible) property to the north...

Gary Meyer: I guess my concern was, it looked like we were going to cut off that property and then have to grant an easement, but, and then to grant an easement...

Bobby Howard: Yes, right.

Gary Meyer: To get to that property to have another entrance.

Bobby Howard: The property to the north has an entrance that accesses Oak Grove Road.

Gary Meyer: Okay, that's fine. Okay.

Bobby Howard: We're accessing Prospect Drive.

Brad Overton: So how big of a storage facility are you needing to build?

Bobby Howard: Well, that's all still being evaluated with the Storm Water and what kind of...

Brad Overton: I mean just to store the salt.

Bobby Howard: We have a salt storage facility there on site that we may add on to in the future. I've been looking at electric power line along that back line. That's why it's shaped kind of like that. It follows the electric line there.

Brad Overton: So it's really not, it says Prospect Salt Storage, but really that's kind of a...

Bobby Howard: It's, well that's all, in continuity with the adjacent property...

Brad Overton: Yeah, I mean, I guess, I guess...

Bobby Howard: It'll all be one (1) facility...

Brad Overton: It's a little, I mean, the name of it's a little, not representative of what its actual true purpose is.

Bobby Howard: Right, it's...

Brad Overton: I mean you're not turning the whole thing into a salt...

Bobby Howard: That's...

Marlin Weisheit: We could add ten (10) times to that.

Brad Overton: Right, but I mean it could just be Highway Maintenance Storage Facility.

Bobby Howard: Well, it's really just, what we call is our Prospect Lot or Prospect Salt Storage Facility. We keep rock there, pipe there, dirt...

Marlin Weisheit: Dirt.

Bobby Howard: Then debris from construction sites.

Brad Overton: And are you planning on moving any of the existing equipment that's out at the Highway Garage down there now to make things easier to access? Some of the construction that goes on down in the Newburgh area?

Bobby Howard: That's one (1) of the things that we were considering is, is and I've looked at other Counties, but I haven't approached with any plans with the Commissioners this time. But other Counties may have district facilities, so where there's not just one (1) location for employees to clock in and out. Right now I have no immediate plans for that at this time. But it does open up the possibility of an office or a, a place that people could report directly there if...

Brad Overton: I'm gonna say, it seems like we do a lot of driving from just our location from out here all the way to Newburgh. We spend a lot of time in transit.

Bobby Howard: Right.

Marlin Weisheit: Brad, you're exactly right. Steve Sherwood, our, his assistant and our Director of our Storm Water Department would like to move his whole department down there cause they do seventy-five to eighty percent (75-80%) of their work down there. So I mean it's something in the future if we get this property, he could actually move his whole team down there and they can report there every day to work. Cause they do, they drive from Boonville and the other side of Boonville down there every day. So that would be one (1) more possibility that the Storm Water Department would have, is being in the future, moving that department down there. That's four (4) guys. That's two (2), two (2) man crews that usually goes out. And we could actually move that down there. And ideally in the future, you know we use that building for Emergency Management that they're in now. We have stuff sitting out, Emergency Management equipment. We could actually in the future maybe put a Storm Water barn down there with the dump, the new truck that we're using, the vacuum truck that going to clean the (inaudible) that we've already purchased. And then just give that building to Emergency Management to keep everything inside. And that would be a great...in the future, you know. I mean that's just one (1) possibility this will help open up.

Paul Rudolph: So it sounds like we've got a lot of different possible...

Bobby Howard: There's a lot of potential for this ground.

Paul Rudolph: Plans with this facility. We really don't know what we want to do with it quite one hundred percent (100%).

Marlin Weisheit: We all want to do a lot you know, we'll have to stay within budget and Boards will have to approve different moves but, you know, he's exactly right. We lose a lot of time on the road sometimes, especially headed that way.

Bobby Howard: And the cost of the land as we know just always seems to go up.

Brad Overton: Yeah, I hate to sound like I'm trying to find good things about it because I'm usually trying to nix spending any money. So I'm going back, I may give you the selling points and vote against it here anyways. But I mean, that's just kind of the way I roll. I would almost like for this to come back at budget time, but I'm not sure if the seller of this ground would be interested in it at that time.

Bobby Howard: He, he, he gave a time limit on, on the (inaudible).

Greg Richmond: (Inaudible) approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg to approve. Is there a second?

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Charlie. One (1) other question. How much money do you have in your Highway budget?

Bobby Howard: Unappropriated cash?

Gary Meyer: Yes.

Bobby Howard: Around nine hundred thousand ($900,000.00).

Krystal Powless: It's actually a little bit more than that.

Gary Meyer: Right at a million dollars ($1,000,000.00).

Krystal Powless: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Any other questions? Concerns? Comments?

Brad Overton: I guess my biggest concern is that we're up in the air, what it's going to be used for. That's my biggest problem with it. It sounds like it's a good price for what it is but I don't know that it's, I just hate to spend the money.

Bobby Howard: I just feel like if we get it early we have more opportunities.

Brad Overton: Yeah, and I don't disagree with you, I just hate spending the money. It seems like it's a good deal, but I've got to talk myself out of it.

Gary Meyer: They're gonna, they're gonna (inaudible) here in a minute.

Brad Overton: Alright, alright.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Charlie to approve. All those in favor of the motion?

Charlie Christmas: Well, I guess...

Gary Meyer: Opposed to the motion?

(Unknown male): Wow.

Krystal Powless: Wow.

against).

Greg Richmond: That's considerably cheaper than the appraised value.

Gary Meyer: That's right. It was David, Brad, Paul, and Ted voted no. Is that right, Gentlemen?

Ted Metzger: Right.

David Hachmeister: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: Um hum.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Okay, alright.

Paul Rudolph: I'd just like to have something concrete before I spend money. You know, instead of going to the store and saying hey I saved a bunch of money.

Bobby Howard: I just feel that when we do have plans that are concrete, then we're going to be spending a lot more money in the future.

Paul Rudolph: That is a possibility.

Bobby Howard: Well, with land it's a strong possibility. Especially since that appraisal came in that high. He may not offer this again.

Gary Meyer: Yeah.

Marlin Weisheit: We could bring it back next month with a definite plan on what we're going to do with it, if you want to. We'll have a building attached to it. Storm Water will make improvements. We can actually...

Brad Overton: You know, Marlin, why don't you come back next month with something concrete.

Marlin Weisheit: With a definite plan?

Brad Overton: Well, I mean, I know it can't be one hundred percent (100%) definite, because it's not, you don't have bid and stuff out but why don't you come back next month with something...

Marlin Weisheit: Think they'd hold on to it for one (1) more month and we'll try to revisit it.

Brad Overton: Something more...

Marlin Weisheit: If I could convince one (1) more of you, we can do this, honestly...

Brad Overton: I mean something that I can see besides a pie in the sky.

Marlin Weisheit: Sure.

Brad Overton: Not that you're just making stuff up, but I mean something that's a little more concrete.

Marlin Weisheit: Sure, I think Storm Water can come up with a plan to utilize it, within this year.

Brad Overton: Come up with something that I can honestly see even the Sheriff having something as a remote post there possibly.

Marlin Weisheit: It would be an ideal place, there's so much dust and dirt coming in there with the AT&T Concrete there. I mean, honestly, that's the last place he'd want to land. But (inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: And then, Marlin, a second step to that would be, obviously whatever plans, we'd like, we'd like to do, would be the cost of those plans, right? So if we want to build a Storm Water office, what would be the cost of that, ballpark?

Marlin Weisheit: You know, our last (inaudible) our last Storm Water building was around eighty thousand dollars ($80,000.00). It was just a pole barn with concrete floor. And that would probably get us going down there. So we can get a bid on that. It'd be kind of tough getting in a month, but...

Brad Overton: I don't know that for me, I wouldn't have to see a solid one hundred percent (100%).

Marlin Weisheit: (Inaudible) sketch out a plan where we see Storm Water build...

Brad Overton: If you sketched out something that gave me a rough idea, I think I could be a little more interested in it.

Marlin Weisheit: Okay, I think we could draw in our Storm Water building, what their plans are, and move that department down there, let Emergency Management be all inside here in this barn. And then we'll sketch out a place for a future site if needed for us for Solid Waste District to move a site there if needed.

Brad Overton: Just make sure if Solid Waste is going to go out there, they're going to allow (inaudible) somebody to pick up their trash for free.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah. Okay.

Gary Meyer: You need to re-advertise this?

Krystal Powless: I sent you...

Gary Meyer: Since we voted it down, it's going to have to be re-advertised.

Krystal Powless: You could, whoever voted against it could say...

Paul Rudolph: Change it to a motion to table?

Krystal Powless: Table, however it needs to be worked out so we didn't have to re-advertise it.

Cliff Whitehead: That's correct.

Brad Overton: I'll make a motion to table.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Motion made by Brad and seconded by Paul to table. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried five to two (5-2 with Ted Metzger and David Hachmeister opposing). I'm confused. What are we going to do with this other motion we voted down?

Cliff Whitehead: We're...

Gary Meyer: We voted it down first and now we voted to table it. To me it's backwards.

Roger Emmons: Withdraw the motion and the second.

Gary Meyer: We didn't withdraw any motions. That's my point.

Cliff Whitehead: You can withdraw the motion or you can amend the motion. So it has to be someone who voted against the motion. So...

Krystal Powless: We had a motion to approve...

Cliff Whitehead: Brad, you can do a motion to amend or you can do a motion to withdraw or a person who voted for it can do a motion to withdraw, or a person who voted against it can do the new motion.

Brad Overton: Run that again. Where's the amend at?

Gary Meyer: Greg made the motion originally, and seconded by Charlie.

Greg Richmond: So, can I make a motion to withdraw?

Cliff Whitehead: Yes, since you voted for it.

Greg Richmond: Motion to withdraw.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg.

Charlie Christmas: And I withdraw my second.

Gary Meyer: And second by Charlie to withdraw the original motion. Correct?

Cliff Whitehead: Correct.

Gary Meyer: Do we vote on that?

Cliff Whitehead: Now vote on that motion.

Gary Meyer: Okay. All those in favor of that withdraw? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), okay. Opposed? Did you vote?

Paul Rudolph: I voted for.

Gary Meyer: Oh, you voted, okay. Motion carried six to one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing).

Paul Rudolph: Now we can do a motion to table?

Cliff Whitehead: And the one (1) was Ted.

Gary Meyer: The one (1) was Ted.

Cliff Whitehead: Now anybody can do a motion.

Paul Rudolph: Motion to table.

Gary Meyer: We've already done a motion to table.

Paul Rudolph: Well we were in the wrong order.

Cliff Whitehead: We were in the wrong order.

Paul Rudolph: We can't really table it.

Paul Rudolph: Motion to table now.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul and seconded by Brad to table. All those in favor of that motion? One (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6) to one (1). Ted, did you vote against?

Ted Metzger: Um hum.

Gary Meyer: Motion passed six to one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing). Ted was (inaudible). That was confusing.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Now I guess we don't have to re-advertise.

Krystal Powless: Right.

Gary Meyer: Correct?

Paul Rudolph: Correct.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Brad Overton: Let's deny everything else that's up tonight.

DISCUSSION ON MORE EMPLOYEES FOR HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

Marlin Weisheit: Can we bring up one (1) more thing?

Brad Overton: No. Marlin, come on now. I just...

Gary Meyer: You're pushing your luck, Marlin.

Brad Overton: Geez that is pushing it there.

Marlin Weisheit: Bobby's needing to hire a couple more guys out there.

Brad Overton: That's really pushing it (inaudible).

Charlie Christmas: That's really pushing it.

Marlin Weisheit: I just want to fill you in. You know, we've, we've took seven (7) hits out there through attrition to get down the line where we're at. And it wasn't too hard on us at that time because we had less money to work with. But now that our budget is up and we're trying to maintain roads, seems like we don't have enough help to keep our chip and seal trucks going.

Bobby Howard: Preventative maintenance for crack/seal.

Marlin Weisheit: Some of the preventative maintenance programs because we're out here getting our roads ready for pavement, putting in culverts. What are you down to? Thirty-seven (37) employees counting your supervisors, right?

Bobby Howard: Thirty-nine (39), or yeah...

Marlin Weisheit: We used to have forty (40)

Bobby Howard: (Inaudible).

Marlin Weisheit: I think we're down seven (7) employees.

Bobby Howard: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: I think this is a budget time issue.

Marlin Weisheit: Well...

Gary Meyer: Myself.

Marlin Weisheit: Here we're getting ready...

Gary Meyer: I know you want to do it now...

Marlin Weisheit: To start...

Gary Meyer: But I still feel this is a budget time issue.

Marlin Weisheit: Okay, so you don't...

Gary Meyer: That's just my opinion. Everybody else has got an opinion.

Brad Overton: I would have to agree with that.

Charlie Christmas: I agree with Gary on that.

Paul Rudolph: Marlin, I'll meet you a little, partial the way. Let's talk about it in the Personnel Committee for the budget and if the Personnel Committee is very convinced that this is something that's urgent, we can always push it to the Council and suggest at that time that we could do it earlier. But generally I agree with Gary that we should always try to do this kind of thing at budget time.

Marlin Weisheit: When's the Personnel Committee meet?

Krystal Powless: It will be the first week of August.

Marlin Weisheit: Well, we're winding down with paving season then, so, but anyhow, okay, fair enough.

Gary Meyer: Okay, thank you, Gentlemen.

Bobby Howard: Thank you.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUSINESS

Gary Meyer: Okay, Item 8, Health Department Business, Additional Appropriation, Vaxcare, three hundred seventy-eight dollars ($378.00). I'm sorry, three hundred seventy-four dollars ($374.00). Animal Expense, one thousand three hundred fourteen dollars ($1,314.00), for a total of one thousand six hundred eighty-eight dollars ($1,688.00).

Paul Rudolph: And this is a reimbursement?

Gary Meyer: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: And I motion to approve.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul to approve and seconded by Brad. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

HEALTH MAINTENANCE

Gary Meyer: Health Maintenance, Local Health Maintenance, Vaccine, six hundred twenty-five dollars ($625.00).

Brad Overton: Another reimbursement.

Gary Meyer: Yep.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Paul Rudolph: Motion...second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Paul to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

RECORDER BUSINESS
MEMBERSHIP DUES

Gary Meyer: Recorder Business, Additional Appropriation, Recorder, Membership Dues, ninety-two dollars and sixty-four cents ($92.64).

Greg Richmond: Motion to approve.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Paul to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

COMMISSIONER/COUNCIL BUSINESS
RETAINING WALL AND SIDEWALK REPAIR

Gary Meyer: Commissioner/Council, Retaining Wall and Sidewalk Repair.

Brad Overton: Again? He's coming up again?

Gary Meyer: He's coming up again.

Brad Overton: Wow.

Roger Emmons: I'm gonna let him talk.

Marlin Weisheit: You know, Joe's getting bids. That's about all, Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioner. Joe is getting bids and they're coming in a little lower (inaudible). They're not bids, they're quotes and estimates, cause you know we'll have to bid this out. I think we're probably going to be a few months away, and we told the people that give us these quotes. But Vectren needs to bury these utilities, you know. And we've got some other plans that we're going to do. I mean this is going to be a great project. But, Larry's left, but it's my understanding was he was going to give us two hundred thousand ($200,000.00) on this project and it will be well under that. The Committee, you know, which we had

roughly, you know, the wall, if we replace it, we're probably looking at somewhere around sixty-five to seventy-five thousand dollars ($65,000.00-$75,000.00). And then the sidewalks somewhere between forty-five and fifty-five ($45,000.00-$55,000.00) and the city might pay half of that. Then we'll have to put some pedestals in for the new light poles when it's all done. The city's got a great plan with Vectren on dressing up the inside and the outside of the square. But I think we're a few months away from bidding that project out. But I just wanted to keep you informed on it. Anybody got any questions or comments? I really appreciate, I think Dave and Greg set in on that meeting didn't you 'ens. And we was all pretty well in agreement to come in the three (3) feet to help the city with the parking around the square with the long trucks not being out there. So...

Brad Overton: So you had about a hundred and ten ($110,000.00) for the sidewalks and the wall, Marlin?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, I think it could probably be done, I'm just, maybe a hundred and twenty thousand ($120,000.00). You know, they'll be some expenses when we go to putting in some pads for some light poles all around inside the wall, for some decorative type light poles. You know there are some out there now. They're pretty decorative but they're rusty and antique. And one (1) thing that will hold it up, if you notice, across from Yesterdaze's, there's that big light pole that has all the transformers on it that everything goes to. It's right there on the corner. You know, they're not going to want to move that pole and then bury the utilities a few months later. So the city's working with Vectren on getting that done for us. You know that's over two hundred thousand ($200,000.00) that Vectren's agreed to do, bury those utilities, bring us a vault right up next to the Courthouse here and then all we'll have to do is get an electric company in and make the hook up to us.

Brad Overton: What happened, and you may not know, but the city had some grant and were needing us to match money and some...

Charlie Christmas: They were working on a...

Marlin Weisheit: They do have one (1) grant that's going to help them on sidewalks.

Brad Overton: But they told us there's like some kind of de...I didn't know where that was or...

Marlin Weisheit: The second grant fell through.

Brad Overton: Okay.

Marlin Weisheit: I will tell you that we did receive that grant on the old jail here. We got word a couple of weeks ago, didn't we, Roger?

Roger Emmons: The Planning Grant.

Marlin Weisheit: The Planning Grant that's sixteen thousand two hundred ($16,200.00) and then Boonville Now is matching the ten percent (10%) grant of eighteen hundred ($1,800.00). That's eighteen thousand dollars ($18,000.00). We had a committee formed, and (inaudible) and Associates will do the engineering design to tell us what happens, what we need to do to restore the old jail and they'll come up with a plan, that's a historical building. And then that will help us apply for a grant in the future. So, no tax dollars there but looks like that's well underway and get that building taken care of, it's kind of an eyesore now and everybody complains about letting that building run down. So we've got the first phase of that going. So I just think we're great on that. Anybody have any questions on the wall, sidewalk though? We'll continue to work with the city and maybe this committee will meet again, but and show you some of the quotes, rough estimates we got in. The people that give us these estimates, we're not sharing them openly because we know we'll be bidding it out. But at least we've got an idea what it will take to do it, and it come in in less than I thought it would take to do it. I thought we might spend a couple hundred thousand to get that wall taken out and new sidewalks put in. But those sidewalks are in such bad repair so. Go ahead.

Roger Emmons: Let me find my information.

COMMENT ON E911 CONTRACT FOR THE SHERIFF

Marlin Weisheit: The other thing I just want to advise you of, while we're here tonight, I told Krystal before the meeting, been working with Sheriff Kruse, the E911 Contract with AT&T, it's a ten (10) year contract, it's up in May this year. We'll probably be renewing it with them. And the cost will be about the same. I think it's paid out of E911, isn't it, Krystal?

Krystal Powless: Yes.

Marlin Weisheit: And it's about, it's going to be around thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) every quarter or ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) a month. But it will replace all the E911 equipment in the Dispatch Center. They are figuring the phones and stuff now. And the life of them when they put them in ten (10) years ago, it's about ten (10) years. So, the equipment, I'm sure the Sheriff would agree, badly need of being replaced this May. And you know, AT&T is probably the only game in town on our emergency E911 equipment and that's the Call Center at the Dispatch. But just to keep you aware of that and keep you posted as that comes in.

CONTINUED DISCUSSION ON SIDEWALKS

Ted Metzger: Marlin, I had a question about the sidewalks.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah.

Ted Metzger: You said you could get done at about one hundred twenty ($120,000.00)? Is that the County's half? Or is that the total price?

Marlin Weisheit: You know, that, let me see here...

Ted Metzger: Is that the County's hundred and twenty ($120,000.00) and the City's ($120,000.00)?

Marlin Weisheit: You know, I think the sidewalks could run anywhere from forty-five to fifty-five ($45,000.00-$55,000.00),

and the wall anywhere from sixty-five to seventy-five ($65,000.00-$75,000.00). Actually we got one (1) quote pretty close to sixty-five thousand ($65,000.00) on the wall and one (1) quote on the sidewalk pretty close, now this is a rough estimate, of forty-five ($45,000.00). So that's a hundred and ten thousand ($110,000.00). He said he may pay half the sidewalks. You know.

Ted Metzger: Wasn't that the agreement? They are going to pay half?

Marlin Weisheit: That's one (1) of the agreements they had together. I mean we had some disagreement, they wanted us to put in heated sidewalks. Nobody on the County side is concerned at all about putting in heated sidewalks and maintain boilers. But the City, the Mayor, was very adamant about doing that. And I think he's backed off a little bit. He seems a little more pleasant to talk to when I discuss it with him. He was pretty adamant for a few weeks. He wanted heated sidewalks inside and outside the square. And I just didn't think that was the cost of the boilers and putting them in and maintaining it, I just didn't thing we could justify it.

David Hachmeister: And that was the walks from the parking area to the steps and also around, you include that?

Roger Emmons: The one (1)...

Marlin Weisheit: From the steps, from the steps, yes. If we move in four (4) foot, you know them little arches that come in at each, each, corner here, you know those arches won't be that big if you move it in the four (4) feet. Of course they'll still have to...

Roger Emmons: (Inaudible) three (3).

Marlin Weisheit: Well, three (3) feet, yeah, three (3) feet. I'm sorry. He'll bring 'em in three (3) feet, so that'll shorten them areas up. So yeah, they would re-pour up to the steps. And then of course they might have to swing out a little bit to not interfere with the historical markers.

David Hachmeister: The one (1) that circles the building?

Marlin Weisheit: Oh, no, we're not, we're not doing that. We may make minor repairs on the inside sidewalks, for the few that's settled or cracked.

David Hachmeister: Well, I, well I was concerned on the ones that were cracked.

Marlin Weisheit: But we're not counting on bidding that out. Yeah. We can do minor repairs during that time. But I'm not counting on replacing them, unless you guys really think we really need to. I think we can live with the ones around the inside if we replace the bad sections. That's my thought. Steps seem pretty good to me. You guys look at it.

Roger Emmons: Ted, to give you a little background, in July of 2000, there was a management agreement signed between the City and County.

Ted Metzger: Um hum.

Roger Emmons: Basically, that had to do with parking spaces and sidewalks, however, within the first year both parties were in breach of that contract. So I'm sure, with the joint project between the City of Boonville and Warrick County, there will be a written document as to who's going to do what and especially as far as payment. So, that will be hammered out. As far as moving in the retaining wall three (3) feet, we currently have sixty-five thousand and twenty-five (65,025) square feet in the lawn the way it is now. You gotta take off the building for that. But if you figure the three (3) foot in, we'd be reducing the lawn by just four point seven percent (4.7%). So, like Marlin pointed out, it's going to be a safety issue, because of vehicles hanging out into Locust Street and Main Street right now. So, but there'd be an agreement between the City and County for this work.

Ted Metzger: But the original agreement is still good.

Roger Emmons: No, it's not.

Marlin Weisheit: It's still in place...

Ted Metzger: It's still good.

Marlin Weisheit: But neither party has held up to it.

Ted Metzger: No, but the City reneged first.

Marlin Weisheit: Yes.

Ted Metzger: Once they got the streets paved that they wanted paved, they reneged and left the County holding the...

Marlin Weisheit: You know, I'll be honest with you, I feel...

Ted Metzger: Holding the bag.

Marlin Weisheit: About it. When we built this JC Center over here, I wasn't a Commissioner, but I was involved with the County Government. Many of you guys was too. The City made many promises to the County. If we kept that building in the City limits of Boonville, Roger remembers this very well. Cause there was talk, moving it out next to the jail where we had much ground. We didn't have to tear down these existing buildings. So it never happened. You know, we haven't seen many improvements, but I do think the Mayor now, he's trying to make a legitimate agreement. He asked the former Mayor why this wasn't done before like the agreement says and his answer to me was, we just didn't have the money. I mean they was supposed to pay half the pavement on the Rockport Road. I've talked to Charlie, the Mayor about that now. He's, hey, we can do that, you know, we'll do that now. You know, so, hammer that out too and maybe we can repave, we was supposed to pave Rockport Road from the corner there to the curb

Ted Metzger: We did.

Marlin Weisheit: Well, I don't think it's been paved for, since I've been a Commissioner. It's in pretty bad shape again.

Ted Metzger: No, I mean originally.

Roger Emmons: It was done originally.

Ted Metzger: In good faith, we went ahead and repaved the road and paid half.

Marlin Weisheit: The City didn't pay it, did they?

Ted Metzger: No, no.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, okay. I think they're willing to pay half now. So hopefully, hopefully we'll come out on it and continue to work together and like Roger said, we'll work those details out.

Roger Emmons: Well, actually, on this management agreement, Marlin is correct. There are a couple of clauses in here we're still honoring, just verbally with the City. One (1) has to do, the County agrees to remove snow and ice off the, out there by the parking spaces on the sidewalks.

Ted Metzger: Correct.

Roger Emmons: They've also, the city has turned over parking spaces to the County that are not on the square. Like on North Second Street that they donated to the County. So those are still being honored.

Ted Metzger: Right.

Roger Emmons: But talking about...

Ted Metzger: Sounds like the City's just picking and choosing which parts of this agreement they're going to honor.

Roger Emmons: I don't think they vetted their finances before they entered into that agreement to see if they could actually do what they said they would do.

Marlin Weisheit: But Charlie, he's trying to work with us to try and make it happen. I mean he has a great plan inside and outside the square. And we need to dress up downtown Boonville here and our part here. So, if they'll work with us at all, I think it's a great project.

Charlie Christmas: They've got different people there now.

Marlin Weisheit: You know, if any of you walk around that sidewalk know that we've got to get that thing replaced. It's a lawsuit guys. We've had lawsuits before from people tripping on that sidewalk. We need to get it fixed. However it's done. Yeah. So...

Brad Overton: Sounds good. Thanks.

Ted Metzger: Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you. Questions? Okay, thank you.

Gary Meyer: I don't think so, thank you.

Roger Emmons: Thank you.

AUDITOR BUSINESS
DISCUSSION-NOMAD FEE

Gary Meyer: Okay, next item is Nomad Late Fee. We've discussed this three (3) times. This is the third time. The Auditor has asked for us to settle this matter, which I'm not sure we have the power to do that. We've never had a late fee issue since I've been on the Council. So I'm really not sure. I know what we have been recommended to do, cause I've done some research. I will tell you this, on December 1st, we appropriated in Pre-Trial Division, Cardinal Med, nine thousand dollars ($9,000.00). That was done on December 1st of last year. So, just so that's cleared up. Now I've also got a letter here from Paul Joyce, who is a State Examiner from the State Board of Accounts. It's an eleven (11) page letter so I'm not going to read it all. There's three (3) paragraphs that I think's pertinent to this discussion. "Officials and employees have the duty to pay claims and remit taxes in a timely fashion. Failure to pay claims in a timely manner could be an indicator of serious financial problems which should be investigated by the County unit. Additionally, Officials and employees have a responsibility to perform duties in a manner which would not result in any unreasonable fees being assessed against the governmental unit. Any penalties, interests, or other charges paid by the governmental may be the personal obligation of the responsible Official or employee." This is from the Accounting and Uniform Compliance Guidelines Manual. Now, in talking to the State Board of Accounts, our attorney, the option is that we call the State Board of Accounts and have a review/audit done to find out the problem. We have two (2) departments that have, that have reported to us they have documentation of their issues, of their side of the coin. So the only people that can do this, I think, is the State Board of Accounts. We do not have the authority or the Commissioners have the authority to make one (1) side pay a bill that they say is not, they don't owe. So we have the State Board of Accounts. So my question to you is, do you want to ask the State Board of Accounts to come down and ask for a review/audit of this particular problem so we can get to the bottom of this? Two (2) issues that I have, I don't want to get into a lawsuit. Which is where this is going to be leading if we don't pay this bill. Secondly, I do not want the taxpayers to have to pay this. Now, I was told that they will pay this but then the State Board of Accounts can assign the person who was at fault to reimburse the County this money. That's my two (2) main issues. I think the only people that can resolve this issue is the State Board of Accounts. I don't know if anybody else has any comments about this or not.

Brad Overton: There's no fee associated with the State Board of Accounts?

Gary Meyer: I don't know. There may be. However they are, they are here right now in the Auditor's Office. I don't know if that, if there could be a fee associated. But, Brad, we've gotta, we've gotta come to some fruition on this.

Brad Overton: Oh, I know, I know.

Gary Meyer: We've got to solve this problem. We don't have the ability to solve it. We can set up a committee...

Charlie Christmas: It won't solve it.

Gary Meyer: And go through all the documentation and point our finger at somebody and say, "You're responsible for this." And they can say, "No, we're not." So then we got to call in the State Board of Accounts at that point. Which is just going to slow us down. So I think, like I said, we've never been in this situation before. So, it may cost us something. But I don't know any other, any other way to solve it.

Paul Rudolph: Gary, I would like to clarify one (1) thing.

Gary Meyer: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: So, from my standpoint, right, so that there's generally three (3) parties involved. There is the vendor that submitted the bill, the Prosecutor's Office who approved the bill, and the Auditor that administered the bill, or the payment, I should say. You know, we've identified that the problem could be potentially in the Prosecutor's Office or the Auditor's Office. I don't want us to neglect that it might be that the vendor simply has payment requirements that are too aggressive for the County to pay. And I just want to throw the idea out there that it is humanly possible that, you know, if they say, here's a bill, we need it paid tomorrow, for example. The County just can't move that fast. Right?

Gary Meyer: I understand that, but I'm gonna have to say, Paul, this vendor...Debbie, I don't want any public discussion of this, I'm sorry. We're just going to have the Council discussion. This vendor we've had several years. It's not a new vendor. We've had this issue going on, like I said, several years.

Paul Rudolph: But the vendor could have changed their terms. I'm just saying it's a possibility. I just don't want us to say that it's definitely the Prosecutor or the Auditor and ...

Gary Meyer: I'm not saying it's anybody's fault. I want somebody to tell me whose fault it is.

Paul Rudolph: Understood.

Gary Meyer: And it's not us. It's got to be the State Board of Accounts.

Paul Rudolph: I'm aligned. I just wanted to throw out that as a third possibility.

Gary Meyer: Okay, okay. So I guess, I guess if you guys are, if you guys are in favor of that, then I guess I need a motion to contact the State Board of Accounts.

Charlie Christmas: I make a motion that State Board of Accounts be contacted to do an investigation into (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Charlie to contact the State Board of Accounts for a review/audit of this particular issue. Is there a second?

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Brad.

Paul Rudolph: One (1) question on the motion...

Gary Meyer: Yes, Sir?

Paul Rudolph: Do we want to limit it to a value? For example, the State Board of Accounts says, it'll be five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) to do this audit. Do we want to...?

Charlie Christmas: It won't be that. I've dealt with State Board of Accounts (inaudible) Office Holders. They won't charge us that kind of fee. But there is going to be a fee.

Gary Meyer: There's going to be a fee.

Charlie Christmas: I can tell you that right now, there will be a fee.

Paul Rudolph: Well, they just audited the Ohio Township Trustee and I want to say that the cost was in the thousands ($1,000.00's) for that audit. That's why I only threw that out there.

Charlie Christmas: There will be a fee.

Brad Overton: Maybe we should set a monetary amount, cause I'd hate for us to pay ten thousand dollars ($10,000.00) for a two hundred dollar ($200.00) invoice.

Charlie Christmas: That could (inaudible) set a precedence.

Brad Overton: I wonder if we could, or whoever is responsible for that, to pay for that amount.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Are you going to be contacting them?

Gary Meyer: Cliff, can you get an estimate from them as far as a special audit would run us...

Cliff Whitehead: Yes.

Gary Meyer: As far as this particular item?

Cliff Whitehead: Yes.

Gary Meyer: Once he gets that, even though we pass this motion, I will contact each person so they know.

Charlie Christmas: Yeah, that's fine.

Paul Rudolph: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Any other discussion?

Cliff Whitehead: To be clear, the motion that I heard is to contact the State Board of Accounts, not for any decision that they actually, so I just want to be clear, is my, my directive to contact and get an estimate and stop there? Or is it to...

Gary Meyer: I thought we were...

Paul Rudolph: May I suggest a modification of the motion that it's to contact and to approve if it is the cost of the late fee or less. And if it's more than the late fee, if it's a dollar more, just do it anyway. But if it's a thousand dollars ($1,000.00) or more then we don't. So I would suggest that the, Charlie, if you're interested, the motion be to contact and if the cost is of the late fee or less, that it be...

Charlie Christmas: I, I understand what you're saying, Paul. And I don't disagree with what your philosophy is. My point, somewhere along the line, you have to go sort out their...and this will take care of what will happen in the future. And it will happen again, folks.

Gary Meyer: It probably will happen again.

David Hachmeister: And if the cost is too high, and we don't do it, we're back to square one (1).

Charlie Christmas: Yeah...

Gary Meyer: Or we're going to get sued.

David Hachmeister: So we're going to have to do it.

Charlie Christmas: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: We're going to get sued. That's the other option.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: That's the vendor's option, correct, Counselor?

Cliff Whitehead: If we're in breach of the contract. I don't know what the contract says regarding the payment, but my understanding so far is that we are in breach because we haven't paid a late fee that they've assessed to us.

Gary Meyer: Okay. And I, well, I thought since we were paying claims twice a month now, that we wouldn't run into this problem. But we have, we've got to deal with it, folks. This is the first time we've had this issue so we've got to somehow deal with it. Okay we have a motion made by Charlie and seconded by Brad. All those in favor of that motion? Opposed? Motion carried five (5) to two (2).

Krystal Powless: And the two (2)?

Gary Meyer: Ted...

Ted Metzger: No, I approved.

Gary Meyer: Oh, I'm sorry.

Ted Metzger: Yeah.

Krystal Powless: So six, one (6-1 with Paul Rudolph opposing)?

Gary Meyer: Six, one (6-1 with Paul Rudolph opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the one (1)?

Gary Meyer: Paul. Okay.

Brad Overton: I do have one (1) question, are we close to being, I mean I would be curious to see if we just don't need to pay this late fee now and get it out of the way so we're not in some continued breach of contract.

Gary Meyer: I think it's probably an interest being accrued to it. So it's probably more than, than the two hundred fifty dollars ($250.00) or two hundred twenty-five dollars ($225.00), I think (inaudible).

Brad Overton: Okay, well I mean I was just wondering...

Gary Meyer: The longer we wait, I'm sure that the vendor is adding interest to it.

BILL YOCKEY-RETIRED UNITED MINE WORKERS HEALTHCARE

Gary Meyer: Okay we have one (1) person, Bill Yockey, from the Miner's, excuse me, Healthcare. Excuse me.

Bill Yockey: Thank you, Council. I'll try not to hold you very long. For those of you that were on the Council last year, you know we approached the Council on this very same issue. It's concerning the Miner's Healthcare. Last year the Council adopted a support letter that we had forwarded to the Congress, the Congressional Delegation and to the Senators. That issue was temporarily resolved in Congress this year in what they called Continual Resolution 17. Basically where the government kicked the can down the road another year and took care of funding the government for another year. They included the support and the process we were asking for in that saying they would address that in this year's Congress. Those same issues are there before them, we have before them again a Resolution in the House and a Senate Bill that they're debating and discussing. And we're asking the Council to once again sign on a Resolution of Support basically stating that you support and encourage the Congressional Delegation and the Senators to support a major, these measures to provide this. As most of you are aware at that time when we discussed this, this issue had began to be resolved in 1992, under what was called, what we called Co-Ap. In 2006, that measure was extended out to take in a few more of our group that was impacted. This issue now is facing, is the ones facing those that are currently losing their healthcare under the bankruptcies that are falling throughout the coal industry. The, it does not require any additional money that has not been previously appropriated. The money had already been appropriated out of the interest off the abandon mine lands, and we never spent it all. So what we're asking, do, there was an excess of a hundred and twenty-million dollars ($120,000,000.00) that Congress had appropriated for this measure that we never needed for our healthcare. With the influx of the bankruptcies, we see that that money may be needed. But we need to get them to approve to have this group of employees come into that. So that's the issue that I've laid here before you. I'll be glad to answer any questions. We are again, once again going around to the different Counties. Last year we were successful at every county and town that we appeared. Much like you did, they adopted either the Resolution or a letter of support. We began that process again because it's a whole new cycle that we have to go through. So, you have any questions, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Are you asking for, just to sign this letter?

Bill Yockey: Yes, Sir.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Bill Yockey: And what we'll do with it, we intend, we will have a delegation going to Washington, D.C. later in this month, which we will deliver them to all the Congressmen out of Indiana as well as the Senators. This Friday I have a meeting with Congressman Bucshon in his office in which we will discuss the issue with him.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Does anybody want to make a motion to approve this letter?

Greg Richmond: So moved.

Brad Overton and Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by, I heard both of you.

Charlie Christmas: It don't make no difference.

Gary Meyer: Brad, to sign this letter of support. All those in favor of the letter? Opposed? Motion carried five to two (5-2 with Ted Metzger and Paul Rudolph opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the two (2)?

Gary Meyer: Paul and Ted.

Bill Yockey: Thank you very much.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

David Hachmeister: So just take one (1) and sign it yourself and not for the Council.

Paul Rudolph: I could do that.

David Hachmeister: That's what I was going to do.

Gary Meyer: Would anybody from the audience like to address the Council?

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible) as far as Council duties.

APRIL 6TH COUNCIL MEETING CHANGE

Gary Meyer: Any Council Member have anything to bring up?

Greg Richmond: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Greg Richmond: April Meeting.

Gary Meyer: April Meeting is scheduled for...

Gary Meyer: The 6th of April.

Greg Richmond: And I would appreciate it if we could move it to the 13h.

Gary Meyer: Move it to the 13th, which is a week later. Right?

Greg Richmond: Yes.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Anybody have a problem with that?

Brad Overton: And you know, if we're in the, if we're looking at doing that, I have a question about the July meeting.

Gary Meyer: Well, let's do one (1) at a time here.

Brad Overton: Well, okay.

Gary Meyer: Let's do this one (1) first.

Brad Overton: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Anybody have any trouble with the 13h of April? That'd be the next meeting instead of the 6h.

Ted Metzger: From the 6th, to the 13th?

Gary Meyer: Yes.

Charlie Christmas: I don't have my schedule; I have no idea.

David Hachmeister: I'll make that a motion.

Gary Meyer: Okay, motion made by David to approve that. Is there a second?

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Brad. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

JULY 6TH COUNCIL MEETING CHANGE

Gary Meyer: Now, what do you want to do?

Brad Overton: I've got one (1) too. The July 6h Meeting…

Gary Meyer: Yeah?

Brad Overton: Oh, it must be the 13th thing. Would anybody object to having that on the 13th?

Gary Meyer: That's Fair week isn't it?

David Hachmeister: Fair's the following week.

Charlie Christmas: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: That's the following week.

David Hachmeister: And I'll, I have to say, I didn't want to bring it up, but I do have a conflict that night. I just had to make different arrangements. It would help me to move it to that meeting.

Brad Overton: On the 6th?

Gary Meyer: On the 6th?

David Hachmeister: Yeah, away from the 6h.

Gary Meyer: Oh, away from the 6th, okay.

David Hachmeister: Or earlier in the evening.

Gary Meyer: Well right now we've got it scheduled, he wants to do it on the 13h. So that's not Fair week? I thought that was Fair week. You say Fair's the next week?

Krystal Powless: It's usually a week later than that.

Bobby Howard: The Fair's the 16th, the week of the 16th.

Krystal Powless: Yeah.

Bobby Howard: 16th.

Gary Meyer: Pardon?

David Hachmeister: Yeah.

Bobby Howard: The Fair's the week of the 16th (inaudible).

David Hachmeister: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: The next week?

David Hachmeister: Well, starting on that Sunday.

Krystal Powless: Yeah.

Bobby Howard: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Okay. So that's not, okay.

Greg Richmond: Motion.

Gary Meyer: You wanna make that a motion? Motion made by Greg.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Brad to move our July meeting from the 6th to the 13th. All those in favor of that? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0). Okay, anything else?

David Hachmeister: Which they were supposed to announce tonight, but, 4-H Pork Chop Supper and Auction, March 18th.

Gary Meyer: Oh.

David Hachmeister: And believe it or not, I have tickets.

Gary Meyer: Well, I would have never imagined that.

Charlie Christmas: Who would believe that?

Gary Meyer: Imagine that.

David Hachmeister: Motion to adjourn.

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: Meeting adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 7:15 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

Greg Richmond, Vice President

David Hachmeister

Brad Overton

Ted Metzger

Charles R. Christmas

Paul Rudolph

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges

'abled
ec. 1 Be it ordained (resolved) by the Warrick County Council, Warrick County, Indiana, that for the expenses of the taxing unit the ollowing additional sums of money are hereby appropriated out of the funds named and for the proposed specified, subject to the laws overning the same:

'UND	APPROPRIATION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT REQUESTED	AMOUNT REQUIRED\
're Trial	2504-000-4395.00	Grant Match	$6,682.00	6,682
'otal Pre Trial			$6,682.00	
Iighway	1176-103-4352.00	Prospect Salt Storage	$85,000.00	TABLE O
'otal Highway			$85,000.00	TABLE O
.ocal Health Maint.	1168-000-4340.00	Vaccine	$625.00	625.00
'otal Local Health Maint.			$625.00	625.00
Iealth	1159-000-4220.00	Vaxcare	$374.00	374
	1159-000-4225.00	Animal Expense	$1,314.00	1,314
'otal Health			$1,688.00	1,688
Recorder	1000-104-4391.00	Membership Dues	$92.64	92.64
'otal Recorder			$92.64	92.64
Courthouse	1000-112-4351.00	Utilities	$7,000.00	3,500
	1000-112-4324.00	Telephone	$5,100.00	2,550
	1000-112-4221.00	Fuel & Pest Control	$280.00	140
'otal Courthouse			$12,380.00	6,190

'axpayers appearing in the meeting shall have a right to be heard. The additional appropriations as finally made will be referred to the tate Board of Tax Commissioners. The Board will make a written determination as to the sufficiency of funds to support the ppropriations made within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a Certified Copy of the action.
dopted this 2 day of March, 2017

AYE

NAY (34,000) (6,190) (85,000)
(6190.00)

ATTEST:
Deborah Stevens
Auditor Warrick County