WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING
COMMISSIONERS MEETING ROOM
107 W. Locust Street
Boonville, Indiana
January 5, 2017
6:00 PM

The Warrick County Council met in regular session in the Warrick County Courthouse, 107 W. Locust Street, Boonville, Indiana.

Attorney Cliff Whitehead Attended.

County Auditor Debbie Stevens and Secretary Kristine Georges attended and recorded the minutes.

Council President, Gary Meyer, called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Gary Meyer: Roll call.

Krystal Powless: Gary Meyer?

Gary Meyer: Here.

Krystal Powless: Charlie Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: Yes.

Krystal Powless: David Hachmeister?

David Hachmeister: Here.

Krystal Powless: Ted Metzger?

Ted Metzger: Present.

Krystal Powless: Brad Overton?

Brad Overton: Here.

Krystal Powless: Greg Richmond?

Greg Richmond: Here.

Krystal Powless: Paul Rudolph?

Paul Rudolph: Here.

Gary Meyer: Okay before we get started, I'd just like to congratulate our new reelected members, Charlie and Brad, and to also welcome Ted to the Council.

Ted Metzger: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President.

Gary Meyer: Hope you, hope you enjoy. Some nights you will.

Ted Metzger: Some nights, not so much.

Gary Meyer: Some nights you don't know, that's right.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
DECEMBER 1, 2016

Gary Meyer: Okay, Approve Minutes of the Regular Meeting December 1st.

Greg Richmond: One (1) correction, page 10, one (1), two (2), third line from the bottom, "that should be on our own dime," and it says, "That's the taxpayer's dime," should be, "not on the taxpayer's dime." That's all I found.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Anybody else?

David Hachmeister: Motion to approve with the one (1) correction.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Dave and seconded by Charlie to approve with one (1) correction. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried. Did you vote for it, Ted?

Ted Metzger: I'm gonna abstain.

Gary Meyer: Abstain? Okay. Five (5), one (1), and one (1). I'm sorry.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Greg Richmond: Five (5), six (6), zero (0)…

Gary Meyer: Six, zero, one. (Motion carried 6-0-1 with Ted Metzger abstaining). It's early in the year here.

Brad Overton: Good thing you said that before, I mean you should have done that after the President appointment.

Gary Meyer: (Inaudible) must have waited.

Brad Overton: You just swayed my vote.

NEW BUSINESS
APPOINTMENTS
COUNCIL PRESIDENT

Gary Meyer: New Business, now this is all going to be appointments, basicallynow, starting with the County Council President.

Greg Richmond: Gary Meyer.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Gary Meyer's been nominated for President. Any other nominations?

Paul Rudolph: Close nominations. Motion we close nominations.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul to close nominations. Is there a second?

Greg Richmond: Second.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by whoever.

Greg Richmond: Charlie.

Gary Meyer: Charlie, okay, by Charlie.

Charlie Christmas: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: All those in favor of the motion?

Charlie Christmas: Aye.

Gary Meyer: Opposed? Motion carried six, zero, one (6-0-1 with Gary Meyer abstaining). I abstain. Okay.

COUNCIL VICE PRESIDENT

Gary Meyer: Vice President, currently Greg Richmond.

Brad Overton: I nominate Greg Richmond.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by Charlie to nominate Greg Richmond.

Paul Rudolph: I motion we close nominations for Vice President.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: And has been seconded to close nominations. All in favor? Opposed? Motion carried. Greg did you vote?

Greg Richmond: Yeah, I'll vote yes. I'm still writing, so…

Gary Meyer: Okay, no opposed, seven? Seven, zero (7-0).

COUNCIL ATTORNEY

Gary Meyer: Okay, Council Attorney. Currently it's Cliff Whitehead. We do have his contract in here, by the way.

Greg Richmond: Motion to retain.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Paul, I think, wasn't it? Paul, to retain Cliff. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Gary Meyer: Okay, Personnel Committee. Did you say you wanted off of this, Paul? Did I hear you say that or not?

Paul Rudolph: Um.

Gary Meyer: Earlier?

Paul Rudolph: I don't think I said those words. I said I sometimes think I need off of it.

Gary Meyer: Well, I know that.

Paul Rudolph: I've never said that outright.

Gary Meyer: I thought you said that. That's the reason I had kind of a question mark down here. I didn't know if you wanted...

Paul Rudolph: I'll remain unless someone else would rather serve.

Gary Meyer: I don't know.

Paul Rudolph: Especially a new member.

Charlie Christmas: You do a good job there, Paul, I believe you do

Brad Overton: If any of you wanted off, I wouldn't mind doing it. But, I mean, I don't want to force anybody off that wants to stay on. So...

Gary Meyer: Well, right now it's David, Paul, and Greg and you could be an alternate. Do we have alternates?

Brad Overton: I may be the alternate already.

Charlie Christmas: You know what, that's not, wouldn't be a bad idea.

Gary Meyer: If you want to stay on, do you want to stay on? It's up to you.

Paul Rudolph: Let me think about it. Maybe and we'll nominate as is for this month and if we make a change next month.

Gary Meyer: We can, we can table this 'til next month if you...

Greg Richmond: We don't meet 'til August.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: Yeah, let's table it.

Brad Overton: (Inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: We'll table it 'til next month.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul and seconded by?

Greg Richmond: I'll second.

Gary Meyer: Okay, by Greg to table the Personnel Meeting 'til next month. Council on Aging currently is David Hachmeister.

David Hachmeister: Got to vote on tabling.

Gary Meyer: Didn't we vote on tabling?

Krystal Powless: No, we didn't vote on tabling it.

Gary Meyer: We didn't?

Charlie Christmas: You've got to vote on the tabling.

Gary Meyer: I thought we did.

Krystal Powless: No.

Charlie Christmas: No.

Gary Meyer: I did. I voted for it.

David Hachmeister: That's good enough for me.

Gary Meyer: All those in favor of tabling that, I'm sorry. Motion carries seven, zero (7-0). You'd think this is my first rodeo.

COUNCIL ON AGING

Gary Meyer: Okay, Council on Aging is currently David Hachmeister.

Greg Richmond: That's the Senior Citizen's Center.

Charlie Christmas: Senior Citizen, I'll nominate Ted.

Gary Meyer: Ted Metzger. Do you accept that nomination, Ted?

Ted Metzger: Yes, I accept that nomination.

David Hachmeister: Kind of a minority right now, he'll accept.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, that's right. Any other nominations?

Brad Overton: Dave, are you still interested? Or?

David Hachmeister: Well, I'll step down as Council Rep, but I'll still be on the Board out there.

Brad Overton: Okay.

David Hachmeister: So...

Brad Overton: As long, I thought if you were still interested, as long as your still, can have...

Charlie Christmas: Still connected...

Brad Overton: Yeah.

Greg Richmond: We'll have three (3) Councilmen on that Board out there which is good.

Charlie Christmas: Yeah. We can control it a little bit.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have Ted nominated for Council on Aging All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carries seven, zero (7-0).

Brad Overton: Did we ever get a second on that?

Krystal Powless: I have no second.

Greg Richmond: I'll second that.

Paul Rudolph: So do we need to revote now? Since you voted before the second?

Cliff Whitehead: Technically, yeah, yes.

Gary Meyer: You guys are really keeping an eye on me, aren't you? Wait a minute. Okay, we have a motion made by Charlie, seconded by Greg to appoint Ted as our representative on the Council on Aging. Now, all those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

AREA PLAN COMMISSION

Gary Meyer: Area Plan Commission. Currently it's Brad.

Charlie Christmas: I understand Brad was wanting to step down.

Brad Overton: Yeah, I'd like to step down.

Charlie Christmas: And if he is, I'd like to nominate Paul Rudolph.

Paul Rudolph: Ah ha.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Rudolph has been nominated by Charlie and seconded by David.

Paul Rudolph: When are the Area Planning Commission meetings?

Brad Overton: It's the second, second Monday of the month.

Paul Rudolph: Second Monday, in the evening?

Brad Overton: At six (6:00 PM).

Paul Rudolph: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Is that okay?

Paul Rudolph: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Any other nominations? Okay, nomination to close the nominations?

David Hachmeister: You done got 'em.

Gary Meyer: I think I got 'em, yeah. All those in favor of Paul? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Brad Overton: Make sure and let people know that you're not on the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Gary Meyer: True.

Paul Rudolph: I'm not?

Brad Overton: No.

COURTHOUSE RENOVATION COMMITTEE

Gary Meyer: Okay, here's a new one. Courthouse Renovation Committee. They wanted, remember we talked about this in November or December, they wanted a Committee of at least two (2) Council Members, Krystal, Roger, and a Commissioner and the Mayor, I guess. Right?

Krystal Powless: I think so.

Gary Meyer: I think that was it, wasn't it?

Krystal Powless: Not sure how this is gonna turn out.

Gary Meyer: So...

Brad Overton: Do they have any idea on when this would meet?

Krystal Powless: I don't know.

Gary Meyer: No. I don't think so.

Greg Richmond: It's probably one (1) of those committees where they'll, someone will call around and say are you available...

Gary Meyer: Um hum.

Greg Richmond: On this particular time?

Brad Overton: Cause I wouldn't, that'd be, kind of interested in that, but if it's one of the ones thats gonna meet at 8:00 o'clock or 10 o'clock (AM) on a Tuesday, then I'm not...if it's something where it could be in the evening then I'd be interested in it. But that's...

Charlie Christmas: Get appointed and see what happens.

Brad Overton: I guess we could.

Charlie Christmas: You might even get to vote on where they have the meetings.

David Hachmeister: I say, I can be on it during the day.

Gary Meyer: You wanna be on it? Alright. We have, David has volunteered and Brad.

Brad Overton: Are you interested in it?

Greg Richmond: I can be on it during the day.

Brad Overton: If they can be on it during the day, then let's just let them. They're more open to it.

Gary Meyer: Do you want to take your name off?

Brad Overton: Yeah, just take my name off.

Gary Meyer: Okay. So we've got Greg and David. Is there anybody else? Wanna have a battle here?

Krystal Powless: Do you have to appoint me? Or is it just assigned?

Gary Meyer: We're assigning you. Part of your job.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Gary Meyer: Okay, all those in favor of David and Greg on this Renovation Committee. Okay, motion carried seven, zero (7-0). (*Note: At the Council Meeting on 02-02-2017, it was decided that this was a Presidential Appointment.)*

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Gary Meyer: Okay, Solid Waste. Right now it's Greg and I think he's shown some interest in wanting to stay, didn't you?

David Hachmeister: So let's hurry and appoint him.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

David Hachmeister: That's a motion.

Gary Meyer: That's a motion by David to appoint Greg. Is there a second?

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Charlie Christmas: I'll second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by several.

Brad Overton: A gaggle.

Gary Meyer: Paul, I guess. All those in favor of Greg on Solid Waste? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer: Economic Development Advisory Council. Currently it is Allen Holweger. So we need somebody to fill that position. Greg, you've been on that before. Would you want to do that?

Greg Richmond: I'd be glad to. I go to them anyway.

Charlie Christmas: I make a motion to appoint Greg.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: Okay, motion made by Charlie and seconded by Paul to appoint Greg on the Economic Development Advisory Council. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Gary Meyer: Okay, Redevelopment Commission. Currently we have Jeff Borris, Aric Pryor, and Howard Nevins.

Greg Richmond: I'd like to make a motion that we put Al Holweger on this, in one (1) of the spots.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Charlie Christmas: I second that.

Gary Meyer: Which one (1)?

Greg Richmond: Well, since both Jeff and Aric are Alcoa I guess Aric.

Brad Overton: I don't think, Aric's no longer...

David Hachmeister: Yeah, he was leaving that. I don't know if it's official yet.

Brad Overton: I don't believe, he's no longer...

David Hachmeister: I could replace his son-in-law?

Greg Richmond: Yeah.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: Yeah, it would seem strange to have Al and his son-in-law on the same...that would make logical sense.

Greg Richmond: Who do you want to replace, Greg, since you brought it up?

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible) Aric's leaving.

Greg Richmond: Aric is leaving ALCOA, right?

Krystal Powless: Oh, (inaudible).

Brad Overton: I think he's already left ALCOA.

Charlie Christmas: I think he's gone.

Krystal Powless: I thought you meant...oh, okay.

Brad Overton: I think we've got some nods of confirmation from a couple people that would probably know in the audience.

Greg Richmond: Well, we could replace Jeff with Al.

Gary Meyer: Is that your motion?

Greg Richmond: Yeah, I'll make a motion to replace Jeff with Al Holweger and leave Aric and Howard Nevins on it.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by David to replace Jeff Borris with Al Holweger. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

NEWBURGH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

Gary Meyer: Newburgh Economic Development Commission. Todd Titzer is currently, did anybody get ahold of him?

Krystal Powless: I couldn't get ahold of him to get an answer.

David Hachmeister: Greg and I went to see him and he was in Aruba.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

David Hachmeister: And he won't be back until tomorrow.

Gary Meyer: Well there you go.

David Hachmeister: So...

Paul Rudolph: You didn't go to Aruba to find him?

David Hachmeister: Unless anybody else...

Greg Richmond: Motion to table.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Do what? What? To table?

Charlie Christmas: Motion to table.

Gary Meyer: Motion to table? Charlie made the motion to table and seconded by Brad. All those in favor?

Brad Overton: I think that was Greg.

Greg Richmond: I seconded.

Gary Meyer: Oh, you seconded? I'm sorry. Greg seconded. Then we vote again, all those in favor? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PROPERTY TAX ASSESSMENT BOARD

Gary Meyer: Okay. Property Tax Assessment Board. I think you said both these people are willing to serve again?

Krystal Powless: Um hum.

Charlie Christmas: I make a motion to reappoint them.

Brad Overton: Second.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Gary Meyer: That would be Barb Pryor and Gary Slankard.

Brad Overton: Um hum.

Gary Meyer: Charlie made the motion and seconded by, somebody over here. Paul? To reappoint them on the Property Tax Assessment Board. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer: Emergency Management Advisory Council. Charlie is our appointment.

Greg Richmond: I motion to keep Charlie on that.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg, seconded by Brad to retain Charlie on there again. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

PARKS AND RECREATION

Gary Meyer: Parks and Recreation. We have two (2) appointments here. One (1) of our appointments, Dan Saylor, as you know has been elected Commissioner. And the other one (1) is, his term is up, is Keith Combs.

Greg Richmond: I'd like to make a motion. We have to do one (1) Republican and one (1) Democrat.

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Greg Richmond: According to voting records. Alan Black, on the Republican and Gene Weisheit on the Democrat.

Brad Overton: Second.

Greg Richmond: Okay, Greg's made the motion to appoint Alan Black as the Republican and Gene Weisheit as the Democrat. Any other discussion on the motion?

Paul Rudolph: I'm not familiar with Allan. Can you give me a brief background?

Krystal Powless: You've got a resume in front of you (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: He was here last meeting.

Brad Overton: Alan was at the last meeting.

Charlie Christmas: He was here last month.

Paul Rudolph: That's what it was, yeah, yeah, yeah. I remember now.

Charlie Christmas: I've known Alan ever since he was a kid, when he was born, you know.

Greg Richmond: He's one (1) of my former students.

Charlie Christmas: Okay.

Gary Meyer: You want to do these together? Or separately?

Charlie Christmas: You could.

Gary Meyer: You need a motion together.

Greg Richmond: Well together if possible.

Gary Meyer: Okay, okay. Alright, all those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Six, one (61 with Gary Meyer opposing). I was the one (1).

ALCOHOL BEVERAGE BOARD

Gary Meyer: Okay, Alcoholic Beverage Board presently is Alan Harding and he does want off I understand.

Greg Richmond: I make a motion we put Tim Mosbey on there.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by...

Charlie Christmas: Greg.

Gary Meyer: Greg and seconded by Brad to appoint Tim Mosbey. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

OHIO TOWNSHIP LIBRARY BOARD

Gary Meyer: Ohio Township Library Board currently is Blake Larson. I understand he is willing to serve again?

Paul Rudolph: Motion to retain him.

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul and seconded by, who seconded down there?

Krystal Powless: Greg.

Greg Richmond: I did.

Gary Meyer: Greg, to retain Blake Larson. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

Gary Meyer: Board of Zoning Appeals, Mike Moesner.

David Hachmeister: Motion to retain.

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Greg to retain Mike Moesner. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried, did you oppose? Motion carried six, one (61 with Brad Overton opposing).

Krystal Powless: And the one (1)?

Gary Meyer: Brad.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COALITION OF SOUTHWEST INDIANA

Gary Meyer: Economic Development Coalition of Southwest Indiana. Currently it's Paul Rudolph and Howard Nevins.

Greg Richmond: Motion to keep both of those.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by Brad to retain both of these. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUSINESS
DISCUSSION ON APPROPRIATION FOR NORTHERN WARRICK DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Gary Meyer: Okay. We got through that (inaudible).

Brad Overton: We did.

Gary Meyer: Now we'll go into our agenda. Economic Development Business. Discussion.

Larry Taylor: I'm Larry Taylor, Economic Development Director. And this is a, it will be an appropriation, a formal appropriation request next month. But we did want to come out with the new Council, with a big appropriation, without having given the chance to ask questions and that sort of thing. What it is, it's a sewer service for the North Warrick County Industrial Park. And to give a little history on that, the North Warrick Industrial Park is about two hundred thirty-four (234) acres and the land that was selected for that back in 2003-2004 was where the expected I-69 and I-64 interchange was going to go. And so that's, that's where the, when the land was acquired which was basically unimproved farm land. It was on Highway 57 at the time, but it was unimproved completely. I believe the purchase for the land was around two and a half million dollars ($2,500,000.00) and since that time the County has cash flowed primarily out of EDIT, about seven point seven million dollars ($7,700,000.00) of improvements. And those improvements have been grading, road ways put in, lightings, sewer lines within the Park and also under Interstate 64, a water line-they ran a water line from Evansville all the way up that feeds that whole water system up there and then signage. And this, what we're talking about for this appropriation, that's going to come up next month, has been a planned improvement that's been in the projection, the cash flow projection pretty much ever since 2008. So it's been something that we knew we had to do, but it's been in the projections. The project is to make, to construct a one point nine four (1.94) mile gravity line from Blue Bell Road, which is south of I-64 down to the Elberfeld treatment plant. And then also a point four (0.4) mile connector line and the cost of that portion, just the lines, that no matter how we treat the sewage, we have to get it down to that one (1) area, it's a couple of miles, is two point eight-seven million ($2,870,000.00). And the estimate for that, the engineer's estimate is attached and also that includes the right-of-way acquisition. The second part of this is to provide a grant of one million dollars ($1,000,000.00) to Elberfeld and that's to be used for matching funds for some of the grants that they're pursuing. They've been quite aggressive on going after that expansion. The whole cost of the expansion of their plant is over five point five million dollars ($5,500,000.00). And we're helping them with a million dollars ($1,000,000.00) and we go into the matching portion of that. And then what we get for that is one hundred sixty-seven thousand (167,000) gallons per day of new capacity that's reserved for the Industrial Park that comes out of that. And that would...

David Hachmeister: How much?

Larry Taylor: A hundred and sixty-seven thousand (167,000) gallons per day. The timing would be the design and financing this year, construction next year, in 2019, but a key part of this timing is the grants that Elberfeld is going for, for their treatment plant. There's a timing of, there's a time schedule on that. So they have to have the funds in place by February. So when we come to the February meeting, that's why we wanted to do this now in case there was any, any questions between now and when we go for the actual appropriation. It's necessary to provide sewage treatment capacity. Right now there's only seventeen thousand (17,000) gallons per day of treatment capacity in the Industrial Park. So you have a ten million dollar ($10,000,000.00) investment that has sewer lines within the Park, the lines that have been put in, were in the Park, and then running under the interstate. But it's always been known and planned that it was going to have to be additional sewer capacity. We have an agreement in place with Evansville to run a line to Evansville and for them to take that sewage. But even if we were to run that line to Evansville, it would first go down to the Elberfeld Treatment Plant and to do the Elberfeld, the Evansville option that there's an Interlocal Agreement on, would cost about seven and a half million dollars ($7,500,000.00). And that would have to be all at once. So that's the seven and a half million dollars ($7,500,000.00) plus the two point eight-nine ($2,890,000.00) just to get the line down there. So a total of ten million dollars ($10,000,000.00) and we wouldn't be able to do that without borrowing money. So we wanna try to do this without borrowing money because we've been setting aside the EDIT for so long for this. Also Elberfeld's under an agreed order with IDEM to, for their system and so this helps them out. You know, we get the additional capacity in the plan. Originally when we've been told, when we've been talking about this for several years now, we were talking about doing it in kind of a phased method that would, so we wouldn't have to come up with so much cash up front. But what they've done, because they've been aggressive in getting a bunch of grants, they're able to go and go clear to four hundred thousand (400,000) gallons per day for their treatment plan all at once. And instead of us having to pay two or three million dollars ($2,000,000.00/$3,000,000.00) for that treatment capacity, or go to Evansville or some other place, we can do it by helping them with the one million dollar ($1,000,000.00) matching grant. So this is a much better proposal than we had been looking at for quite a while. The sewer agreements are in place, basically there's agreements in place, and there's two (2) of them, one (1) is the grant agreement and then one (1) is the sewer line agreement that's basically that when we build anything that we take it, that it's built to specifications that they've agreed to and that they've agreed to take it over, manage it, at the end of that period. However our line won't be built until the treatment capacity financing and plant improvements are approved and underway. So we don't want to build a two point eight million dollar ($2,800,000.00) line that goes down someplace where it doesn't have the treatment capacity, so we would pull the trigger on that once they get all their financing in place and their construction is evidently underway. And also if their treatment plant expansion is not funded and built, the monies that we've put forward for this we would deduct from any other agreements we have with Elberfeld where we're making some bond payments for them right now. So we would get paid back any of the upfront engineer money that we're working on for them on this. So with that we have Chris Wischer from Elberfeld and I've asked him to say a couple of words explaining what their update was going to be, what they're going to

give for the four point nine something million dollars ($4,900,000.00) and how they plan to go about financing it. I've asked him to keep it down to about four (4) minutes rather than four (4) hours for this purpose.

Gary Meyer: Good move. You asked an attorney to cut their time?

Chris Wischer: Hi, Chris Wischer. It's been a long time since I've been up here with you all. Appreciate the opportunity to talk to you today. Yeah, I'm the town attorney for the Town of Elberfeld. I have since 2014 and this has been going on since before then. And so I came in and we have, I have with me today the Elberfeld Town Council President, Marty Walters, Tracy Matthews, and Jeff Valiant are all here, as well as Carol Hagedorn from the Coalition, who's helping us with the grants. So as was, Larry indicated this has been going on a while. The Elberfeld, the current plant structure is out there, date back to about fifteen (15) years. They've had an 'i and i' problem pretty much from the get go, water in the system. The capacity is okay except in wet weather situations when it's not okay and that's where I came in. So we're under an agreed order and we've been working on a plan to expand the plant since then. In recent history, we've worked on, preliminary engineering is done on the expansion, five and a half million dollars ($5,500,000.00), five point six million dollar ($5,600,000.00) project that will bring capacity from one hundred forty-nine thousand four hundred (149,400) gallons per day up to four hundred thousand (400,000). And for Elberfeld it's important to note that it also, there's a peak wet weather of one point five million (1,500,000) gallons per day. So this will solve that problem. This will get us out from under the agreed order with IDEM. As important, it will provide all of the capacity that the County needs for the Industrial Park, any user that would want to come in there. We've, we've set aside in the agreements one hundred sixty-seven (167) gallons per day. But we'll have four thousand (4,000) which is far more than Elberfeld needs and will provide for future growth in and around Elberfeld. It will provide for growth in the northwest part of the County, particularly the (Hwy) 68, (Interstate) 69 intersection. There is now sewer there now, so if that could be expanded at some point, that would be sewer that would be provided by Elberfeld, a Warrick County Municipality. Wanted to just talk briefly about the funding, Larry hit on it, but what we are proposing and preparing to do is leverage some significant federal grant programs to provide funding for this project. So Rural Development, we're applying for a forty-five percent (45%) grant which would be about two and a half million dollars ($2,500,000.00). OCRA is six hundred thousand ($600,000.00), that's the max you can get on an OCRA program, so we're applying for that. Carol is helping us with that application. If, if approved and appropriated the million dollars ($1,000,000.00) from the Redevelopment Commission, which will leave about one and a half million dollars ($1,500,000.00) that will be financed through a low interest rural development loan and we'll be done with the bond issue and with, Elberfeld will be paying. So Elberfeld will have skin in the game. This isn't asking for the County or anyone else to take on the full project. That will, that will, there'll result in some rate increase. Elberfeld's rates have been historically low and they'll be more in line, or even a little higher than average in this area. And that's part of the cost of doing business. I have the project schedule so you can see we already have the preliminary engineering done. Got some portions of that attached for you to review is a location map showing the Elberfeld Wastewater Treatment Plant in the southern part of the location map, the town to the north and to the east, and then the Industrial Park to the north and to the west. And then also you'll see a drawing of the existing sewer system, the sewer treatment plant facilities as well as the proposal. And you can see that it will be quite an expansion. So again this gets us, Elberfeld out from under the Indiana Environ, the Department of Environmental Management, it provides the capacity for the treatment, the Industrial Park, growth in the County. Prior durations, before these grants came into play, would have had the County investment, if approved, at almost double this with the size of the plant at almost a third of this. So we're actually able to with these other grants, decrease the County's investment but increase the actual end product. And so it's a really good situation. Deadline for filing OCRA is in April and so we're looking to get everything in line and we are require to have all of our local match funds appropriated prior to then. So that's why we came tonight to get ahead of the game and to answer any questions. They're here to answer any questions for you as well and that's all I have to say about it at this point.

Larry Taylor: Thank you, Chris.

Brad Overton: Larry, I had a question. If you could kind of, as far as businesses that this would help out, how, could you just kind of explain a little bit how this increased capacity, what this might do to the diversity of businesses that could come in, or...

Larry Taylor: Well right now there's, we have seventeen thousand (17,000) gallons left, so if you look at North American Lighting, happened to be not a very big user, because it's mainly, they're not discharging anything in their process. It's mainly their employees. So they may have used three or four thousand (3,000/4,000) gallons is all. Pepsi is the same thing. They aren't using very much at all, because they're not doing a lot of processing there. It's more of a distribution center, so it's just the employees. But if you get somebody like a, we were looking at a Boar's Head Plant at one (1) time. That was a hundred thousand (100,000) gallons per day. So if you have anybody that's doing any kind of processing, that's discharging anything, it's going to be up around ten-fifteen-twenty thousand (10,000-15,000-20,000) gallons a day. So really where we are now, unless we get some addition capacity, and we've been looking at every option, to most cost effectively get this capacity for years now. And this, because of the grants that they've been able to procure with the help of Carol Hagedorn and the Coalition, the Economic Development Coalition, it's really brought this down. So the treatment portion of it is not as big of a hit and no matter what, the, although we milled the lines in the Park, and we have the line that goes under Interstate 64 and it ends up by Fibertech up there by Blue Bell Road, any additional lines could run through. The existing lines go through the city, the Town of Elberfeld, and so those are old lines, and they, they're, they've reached kind of their peak capacity. So if we have more than the seventeen thousand (17,000) gallons per day that we have allocated now, the lines in town wouldn't be able to handle it. So, so this, the two (2) portions of it are getting the sewage from Blue Bell Road down to the treatment plant and then helping them get their capacity up so they can actually treat it.

Brad Overton: So they're really kind of from the County, selfish point of view, gonna allow us to expand the type of businesses that we'd be able to attract in there.

Larry Taylor: Oh, yeah, yeah. Right now, I mean we've been lucky the first two (2) guys we got out there used minimal amount of sewage. But, you know, we've been planning and trying to get some deals together on this for years, because we, you know, somebody comes in and they need fifty thousand (50,000) gallons per day. Right now, I don't know what I would tell them. But with, with this you know, we've got a time line, there's a plan, there's agreements in place and we can say, okay this is the agreement in place. Gonna be built next year. It's gonna take a year for you to build your plant and you know, everything's okay. Now we have an agreement that was put in place really before I was here, in 2007, 2006-2007, that was the deal with Evansville to do that. So, but when you got into looking at that, you know, if you look at, basically you're talking ten million dollars ($10,000,000.00). And that is, so this way, basically we're accomplishing essentially what we need for the Industrial Park and any growth of that area for about three point nine million dollars ($3,900,000.00).

Brad Overton: Okay. Thank you.

Larry Taylor: Kind of to summarize though is, we also have Jim Morley, Jr. here. He's the one (1) that's doing the engineering for the actual lines. Commonwealth Engineering is doing the engineering for the Elberfeld Plant. And Carol Hagedorn from the Coalition is the one (1) we talk about using creative financing and being aggressive. She's the one (1) that's helped them find a lot of this money that's out there, some of the value they bring. The total plant upgrade is five point five-nine million ($5,590,000.00) and out of that five point five-nine million ($5,590,000.00) we're putting, they're asking us to put a million ($1,000,000.00) in, so approximately twenty percent (20%) which is reasonable, I believe. And so totally, what we would do, and file for an appropriation, would be a total of three point nine-four-five million ($3,945,000.00), which would include the gravity line of two point eight-seven-'o ($2,870,000.00), the Elberfeld matching grant of one million ($1,000,000.00), and then the engineering review of seventy-five thousand dollars ($75,000.00). And I would add, that we don't have to do any financing or borrowing to do this. We've planned for this in the cash flow projections, we've been planning has been in the projections since 2008. I know since I got here. And I did attach a copy of the latest projections. And the lowest level that we get with everything that we know about that's going on now would be one point seven-two million ($1,720,000.00) in that, in that area. So that's still a healthy balance to the EDIT and that's with all the projects we've already gone, and we've already tapped that for. So with that, if there are any questions, we'd be happy to address them now. Or you know certainly between now and the February meeting when we ask for the actual appropriation, we'll be able to answer any questions to...

Paul Rudolph: So I'm, this might be a really silly question, so I apologize. So the page out in front of me says the project cost is five point six million ($5,600,000.00), correct?

Larry Taylor: That's for the treatment upgrade.

Paul Rudolph: That's, that's just the treatment...

Larry Taylor: For the treatment plant upgrade.

Paul Rudolph: Gotcha.

Larry Taylor: So there's two (2) parts of it, it's really the two (2) parts of it are the two point eight-seven-'o million ($2,870,000.00) for the gravity line that comes from the Blue Bell down to their treatment plant and then they're upgrading the treatment of which they are asking the County to provide one million dollars ($1,000,000.00). That goes into the matching grants and I think the overall treatment plant is five point five-nine ($5,590,000.00) or something, five point six ($5,600,000.00). So overall it's about a, what is that, an eight million ($8,000,000.00), eight-nine million dollar ($8-9,000,000.00) project.

Paul Rudolph: Gotcha. So I have to add the two point eight ($2,800,000.00) to the five point six ($5,600,000.00) to get the final cost...

Larry Taylor: The total, uh hum.

Paul Rudolph: Which is the, give or take, eight and a half million ($8,500,000.00) and then so, and the County would be responsible for three point nine ($3,900,000.00) of it.

Larry Taylor: Yes, Sir.

Paul Rudolph: And we would bond that out?

Larry Taylor: Pardon me?

Paul Rudolph: And we would bond that out?

Larry Taylor: No.

Gary Meyer: No, they've got the money.

Larry Taylor: The money...

Paul Rudolph: We've got the...

Larry Taylor: Is in EDIT. We've been planning on it. There's, in the packet, there's a copy that looks like this and it shows the EDIT balance projections out through the years. It shows that the lowest level that we'd get cash flowing. This would be one point seven-two million dollars ($1,720,000.00).

Greg Richmond: You just need to come to us for appropriation, right?

Larry Taylor: Probably...yes, Sir.

Brad Overton: I say, next time, Larry, just bring that part in the very first line and then we'll probably just...we'll probably just open our ears up a lot...

Larry Taylor: Well, this is a big, you know, it's a pretty big project.

Brad Overton: Right.

Larry Taylor: But it is one (1) that we've got a lot of eyes on. The Economic Development Advisory Council has been working on this, they've had different committees on it for years. And they unanimously approved it at our November meeting also. Alright, well, thank you.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Thank you.

Larry Taylor: Appreciate it.

RECORDER BUSINESS
ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION

Gary Meyer: Okay, Recorder Business, Additional Appropriation, Recorder, Postage, three hundred dollars ($300.00); Travel, one hundred dollars ($100.00); for a total of four hundred dollars ($400.00).

Pat Brooks: Pat Brooks, Warrick County Recorder, and I think there's also the Perpetuation Fund on there.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, I didn't, I didn't, I didn't say that but there is the Recorder's Perpetuation Expense of five thousand ($5,000.00); the Laredo Expense of five thousand ($5,000.00); Miscellaneous, twenty thousand ($20,000.00); for a total of thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00).

Pat Brooks: And that's all in Perpetuation.

Greg Richmond: My question is, does this bring you back to the frozen amount?

Pat Brooks: Yes, and this is all I am requesting. And I'm not sure, I may not need all this. I certainly will not use it if I do not need it. But I would like to be on an even keel. I would be, it was exactly the same budget she had from the prior year and that's what I would like reinstated. Again I'm not going to spend it if I don't need it. But I haven't reviewed contracts yet and I, I do not know where I am. And that's the bottom line.

Brad Overton: Pat, has there been, I think you've had some employees leave or...

Pat Brooks: Yes, I have.

Brad Overton: Is some of that funding coming out of the Perpetuation Fund?

Pat Brooks: Yes.

Brad Overton: So I didn't know if that amount would be something that...

Pat Brooks: She was doing eighty-twenty (80/20) for two (2) employees.

Krystal Powless: There's not much left from Perpetuation but there is about three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) if if you don't plan for someone with longevity. You can hire new there'd be about three thousand dollars ($3,000.00) left.

Pat Brooks: Left.

Krystal Powless: In the Recorder budget.

Pat Brooks: Right.

Krystal Powless: For the Recorder.

Pat Brooks: Yes.

Krystal Powless: So you could do a transfer from that line item into those, you know, for the four hundred ($400.00)

Pat Brooks: I just don't know where I'm at, at this point, yet. And I have had two (2) employees to leave. One (1) on the 29th, and one (1) gave me a resignation today.

Gary Meyer: That's one (1) question that I have. If you don't know right where you're at yet, this is the first meeting of January, you've had your budget for three (3) days, how do you, how do you know you're going to be low on these funds?

Pat Brooks: Well, I'm just going by, I think she cut the budget just because she could. I would like to go in with the same budget she had and that's...

Gary Meyer: You may not need that budget. So why don't you come back to us when you need it?

Pat Brooks: Well, because it's easier to start out the first of the year with an equal budget to what she had. And then if I, then I won't have to come back to you, you won't have to see me again.

Gary Meyer: Well, I'm sure you probably will.

Pat Brooks: Oh, I really doubt that. Not that I don't enjoy your company, but you know.

Gary Meyer: Well, you have a couple items here that you've doubled and she didn't spend that much. So I'm not sure...

Pat Brooks: Well, I didn't go back to the year before the prior years. I haven't been through the Fidlar Contract yet. I know that she signed a renewal for five (5) years. I did see that. I honestly do not know...

Gary Meyer: She spent five thousand nine hundred and forty-three dollars ($5,943.00) on the Laredo Expense and you're asking for ten thousand ($10,000.00) for that same amount.

Pat Brooks: That's what, that's what she had in there before. Now Laredo Expense produces income which comes back in. That's a, you, we have to pay them and then we get funds back. So, I'm not sure where I am on this and if you're not comfortable approving it, then I'll just go on as I am. It's just that the first of the year I want to get the budget equal to what she had to begin with at the beginning of last year.

Gary Meyer: I don't understand how you can, how you justify that. You just want her budget. You don't care how much you're going to spend, you just want her budget, whether it's higher or lower.

Pat Brooks: Well, what she...

Gary Meyer: I've got a problem, Pat, on the first day of the year and allowing an additional appropriation. Which I hate them anyway. But now you're asking us to put thirty thousand dollars ($30,000.00) in the first day only because that's what she had. And we already turned it down in August (inaudible).

Pat Brooks: Well, it's entirely up to you, Gary.

Gary Meyer: Well, I understand that. I'm just, I'm just asking some questions.

Pat Brooks: I can't (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: We had this request back in August and we turned it down then and now we have the same request. To me, I'm going to ask you how many times we're going to vote on this.

Pat Brooks: That's out of Perpetuation Fund and if you're not comfortable with it, I'll go on. And when I come to the contracts, it's just that I know that, and I didn't go back to the prior year budget for a lack of time, so I can't tell you what the previous years was. Some of these are two (2) year contracts.

Greg Richmond: I, I...

Pat Brooks: That's what she had set up. That's the only reason I thought it would be better to come back to get the budget, the same budget she had. I didn't ask for increases with the same budget she had. And if you're not comfortable with that, then you know, it's, I'm not going to sit here and beg and debate it with you. It's just that I wanted to start off with the same budget she had on an even keel. Most budgets don't get reduced by those amounts. Now I don't know what was spent and what wasn't. I do know that at the end of the year, she had overdrawn her salary budget, which had to be corrected by the end of the, on last year's salary for twenty one hundred dollars ($2,100.00). And I'm not sure what happened on that cause I didn't make those, I just know that I want to make sure I stay on budget and pay the bills and fulfill all the contracts that we're obligated to.

Greg Richmond: I, I, I understand the thinking of this completely. But I also understand fairness and we froze everybody else's budget at what they were. We didn't go through everybody else's budget line by line. We just froze it. And now is it right to have her budget reduced over what it was previously? That's what I'm wrestling with and you know, there's a lot of considerations here.

Paul Rudolph: And, Pat, my, just my quick thought is if, if we're, it'd be, in my opinion, it'd be a little bit, let me back up a second. The previous Recorder, knowing that they're not going to be the Recorder any more, coming in and saying I need less. We have to take her at her word at that point and if you came in today, so which is why we lowered it, right? But if you came in today and said I know for a fact I'm going to have to spend all this and just give, and just give it to me now because I know, I'd say, okay. Well, you know, she was given a bad deal by the previous Office Holder, it is what it is. I don't think that's what you're telling us. To your credit, I think that's what you're telling us, I think you're telling us, I think I might need it. And...

Pat Brooks: I don't know where the contracts are at this point because I haven't had the time. I just found the actual contracts today. I've not had time to even review them. I don't know what's due.

Paul Rudolph: And the feedback I just sort of heard, maybe one (1) Council Member says we should probably deny this today. I think another Council Member has said, has sort of indicated that he might approve that today. I probably have a third approach and say, let's just table this. When you come back and say yes I do need it, let's talk about it then.

Pat Brooks: Okay. I, I'm willing to work with the Council on it. I just, I think there ought to be a law or rule that as a revenge factor if you will, someone that loses comes in and cuts the budget. And I had that once before when I had won against someone else. They zeroed out my budget. So I knew I could not operate on that. If you remember that I came back to you cause it was zeroed out. I had no budget.

Paul Rudolph: Now I'm going to guess there are some savings in these line items. But it may not be as much as we've taken out.

Pat Brooks: I don't know.

Paul Rudolph: And that's what we're hoping you'll be able to tell us...

Pat Brooks: I just want to be prepared, you know when I need it. I'm in touch with Fidlar, I know there is some back-up issues. I know that probably this year, maybe toward the end of the year, the IT guy recommended that she, when XP went out that she put in 2010. She put in 2007. One (1) of the computers is like three (3) months old. So all the computers are going to go obsolete (inaudible). I'm not going to put it in until they are, but we're operating on 2007 which does not work with the Avid Program cause it says the color patterns don't work. We've had a number of issues that has been very difficult. But by putting in old, you know, going to be outdated, you know from XP to 2007. Doug told her to go to 2010. And she didn't, so that's why...

Greg Richmond: Pat, Pat, I have a suggestion.

Pat Brooks: Okay.

Greg Richmond: I'll make a motion that goes along with this. If, to get your feet on the ground, give it a month.

Pat Brooks: Okay.

Greg Richmond: I'll make a motion to table this until February and we'll look at it again then after she's gotten an idea...

Pat Brooks: It will give me a chance to, I just knowthat we have a lot of difficulties.

Gary Meyer: Is that a motion?

Greg Richmond: That would be 4A, 4 and 4B.

Gary Meyer: Is that a motion to table?

Greg Richmond: Yes.

Paul Rudolph: If I could just make one (1), this motion to table, can we justmotion to table and just say just bring it up whenever? Cause maybe Pat won't know yet inFebruary. Maybe she might know in March.

Charlie Christmas: That's a possibility there.

Paul Rudolph: Just, just motion to table just in general.

Charlie Christmas: Just table it (inaudible).

Paul Rudolph: And then I'll second it.

Gary Meyer: Gentlemen, why don't we just wait 'til shecomes back for an Additional, a real Additional Appropriation?

Krystal Powless: Deny.

Gary Meyer: You're going, let's deny this. She'll come back when she needs it in July, March. You're going to table it every month.

Charlie Christmas: Yeah.

Paul Rudolph: Then we have to publish it again.

Gary Meyer: You know, we're going to table it in February, okay, she won'tneed it, okay, I don't know...

Paul Rudolph: I started to say we keep it (inaudible) table we don't have to actually bring it back up.

Gary Meyer: Isn't that an Additional Appropriation? She comes back when she needs the money.

Charlie Christmas: She won't forget.

Greg Richmond: My motion is to table. Ask for a second please.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Is there a second to the motion?

Brad Overton: And the motion is to table 'til February?

Gary Meyer: 'Til February? Okay.

Charlie Christmas: February? Never mind, I withdraw.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg and seconded by?

Brad Overton: Second.

Charlie Christmas: Brad.

Gary Meyer: Paul, um, Brad to table 'til February. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Ted?

Ted Metzger: I approve.

Gary Meyer: Oh, so it passed, it passed four to three (4-3 with Gary Meyer, Paul Rudolph, and Charlie Christmas opposing). So it's tabled 'til February.

Krystal Powless: Can I have the three (3) that were nays, please?

Gary Meyer: Yeah, Charlie, me, and you did, didn't you?

Paul Rudolph: No, Paul.

Gary Meyer: Paul, you?

Paul Rudolph: Yeah, I wanted it indefinitely, until we decided we needed to. February is the part I didn't like.

Gary Meyer: Yeah, okay.

Pat Brooks: Well, I'm working on this. I'm going to try to do the best I can. But I appreciate your time and thank you for your consideration. Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Greg Richmond: This way we don't have to re-advertise it specifically.

Brad Overton: And speaking of advertising, not to jump the gun, can we put, can you at the end, just jot that down to discuss those advertisement costs, or look at them again. Has it increased? Or there was a possibility they were getting ready to go up? I just didn't know if we'd heard anything.

SHERIFF BUSINESS
VEHICLE REPAIRS

Charlie Christmas: How many new trucks you wanting now?

Gary Meyer: Okay, (inaudible) Sheriff Business, Additional Appropriation, Sheriff, Vehicle Repairs two thousand eight hundred and seventy-one dollars and twenty-five cents ($2,871.25).

David Hachmeister: Motion to approve.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Brad to approve. Any discussion?

Charlie Christmas: I'm for the motion.

Gary Meyer: All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Brett Kruse: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Thank you. See how easy that is when you don't say anything?

COMMISSIONER BUSINESS
JUVENILE DETENTION

Gary Meyer: Okay, Commissioner Business, Additional Appropriation, Commissioner Juvenile Detention, three thousand five hundred and ten dollars ($3,510.00).

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Brad Overton: Oh, it's for reimbursement?

Krystal Powless: I mean, it's just a reimbursement.

Gary Meyer: It's just a reimbursement.

Brad Overton: Motion.

Paul Rudolph: Second.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve. Seconded by Charlie I think I heard. Charlie? It's a reimbursement so all those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

DRUG COURT BUSINESS
DIRECTOR

Gary Meyer: Drug Court Business, Additional Appropriation, Drug Court, Director, five thousand six hundred and fifty dollars ($5,650.00).

Krystal Powless: This is, they probably aren't going to be here, this is for the typo I had...

Gary Meyer: Oh, that's right.

Paul Rudolph: Motion.

Charlie Christmas: I remember that now.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Paul.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Brad to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Krystal Powless: Is that for both 7A and 7B?

Gary Meyer: I think so, wasn't it?

Paul Rudolph: Uh, yes.

Gary Meyer: Yes.

Krystal Powless: Okay.

Brad Overton: I knew it was.

PARKS AND RECREATION BUSINESS
MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT

Gary Meyer: Parks and Recreation Business, Additional Appropriation, Park and Recreation, Machinery and Equipment, twenty-six thousand nine hundred twenty-three dollars and fifty cents ($26,923.50). That's from thesale of that...

David Hachmeister: I spoke with, fill everybody else in, I spoke with Ben on it.

Greg Richmond: He called me today.

David Hachmeister: And anyway, of course the check he deposited from the sale of the equipment except, on my own, I advised him of my opinion, is don't run out and spend it all, cause he's going to be coming back to us for additionals at the end of the year.

Brad Overton: Stop it.

David Hachmeister: So, you better save a little bit to cover the additionals, now whetherthat happens or not, it's my opinion. Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David. And seconded by?

Greg Richmond: I'll second it.

Brad Overton: We're you, we're you approving the whole amount?

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David and seconded by Greg to approve. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Ted Metzger: No, I abstain.

Krystal Powless: You have an abstention.

Gary Meyer: I'm sorry?

Ted Metzger: I abstain.

Gary Meyer: Abstain? Okay. I'm sorry. Six, zero, one (6-0-1 with Ted Metzger abstaining).

Ted Metzger: Wasn't my deal.

Gary Meyer: And Ted abstained.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT
ANIMAL CONTROL AND VAXCARE

Gary Meyer: Health Department Business, Additional Appropriations, Health, Animal ControlExpense, two thousand two hundred and one dollars ($2,201.00). Vaxcare, one thousand sixty-six dollars ($1,066.00) for a total of three thousand two hundred and sixty-seven dollars ($3,267.00).

Brad Overton: And this is that same thing that is was before?

Krystal Powless: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: Every month, yeah.

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve.

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: Seconded by Greg. Any discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motioncarried seven, zero (7-0).

PROSECUTOR BUSINESS
TRAVEL AND SUPPLIES

Gary Meyer: Prosecutor Business, Additional Appropriation, Pre-Trial Diversion, Travel, one thousand dollars ($1,000.00). Supplies, twenty-five hundred dollars ($2,500.00) for a total of three thousand five hundred dollars ($3,500.00).

Mike Perry: Mike Perry, Prosecutor. Is this yours?

Levi Burkett: Oh, I have it, yes.

Mike Perry: Okay, this is Mr. Burkett. He's my Chief Deputy and he will explainour request on this one (1).

Greg Richmond: I have one (1) question, real quick. While you're explaining make sure you explain to me theTravel Line Item. It's a very touchy point with me right now.

Mike Perry: Yes, Sir. Understood. Did you hear that?

Levi Burkett: I did hear that.

Mike Perry: The Travel Line Item is in support of our Victim's Assistance. Our grant supported Victim's Assistance, there are two (2) of them. There's the Felony Victim's Assistant and Misdemeanor Assistant. They would like to go to additional training in Carmel, Indiana, in April. The cost of that is approximately six hundred dollars ($600.00) including cost of the training and a hotel. The rest of the money requested, is we would like to have a fund to support victims traveling for trials. We found during the McCallister Trial last summer, we had several people coming from the northeast, I think Maryland. A pretty big burden on them to be able to come in. Obviously they're not Warrick County residents but it's something we are statutorily required to do. Not to support their travel but to support victims as they move through the justice system. So that's what the extra money is for in the Travel Line. Does that answer your question, Sir?

Greg Richmond: Yes.

Mike Perry: And then the, the Supplies is also for the Victim's Assistance Lines. We send approximately three different pieces of communication for every victim crime. So in terms of paper and envelopes and postage that adds up very, very quickly. My understanding was it wasn't put in the original budget by error and so this money's to cover that.

Greg Richmond: And just in case, for everybody out there and Ted, this is user fee money. It's not coming out of taxpayer money. I'll make a motion to approve.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg to approve and seconded by Brad. Any further discussion?

David Hachmeister: Is that both of them?

Gary Meyer: I assume it was both of them, wasn't it?

Greg Richmond: Where's the other one (1) at?

Krystal Powless: They're both on the same (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: They're both on the same line. Thirty-five hundred dollars ($3,500.00)

Greg Richmond: Oh, yeah, yeah, thirty-five hundred ($3,500.00), yes.

Gary Meyer: Okay. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Mike Perry: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

UPCOMING TRIALS DISCUSSION

Charlie Christmas: Mr. Prosecutor, I've got a question for you.

Mike Perry: Mr. Christmas?

Charlie Christmas: Mr. Christmas, that makes me feel old. But we all know that we're getting ready to have some trials coming up pretty quick here this year.

Mike Perry: Some trials?

Charlie Christmas: I think, don't we still have some hanging out there (inaudible)?

Mike Perry: Um, we don't, I mean we'll have some trials.

Charlie Christmas: I just want to make sure you have enough money to face these things and we can be prepared to help you out.

Mike Perry: I, I don't think we're going to have anything on the magnitude of the McCalister Trial. That particular case has concluded as far as all the defendants go. We'll expect trials over the course of the year because that's...

Charlie Christmas: Right.

Mike Perry: The nature of the business. But I'm, I'm not aware of any that's going to tax that. If it does, I, you know we'll come back and obviously ask for an increase again through our user fees and not through the General Fund of the County.

Charlie Christmas: I just wanted to make sure that we get a little fair warning see what we can work together

Mike Perry: Absolutely, absolutely. Are we up on the other ones, Ms. Powless, or not?

NOMAD LATE FEE CHARGES

Greg Richmond: I was going to ask you while you're here too, Nomad Technologies, there was a two hundred dollar ($200.00) late fee. How come?

Mike Perry: Well, here, it's, the two hundred twenty-five dollar ($225.00) late fee, in December there was an invoice that included a line item from them for a two hundred dollar ($200.00) fee for one (1) of their services that they do for our office. Let me, first of all, let me back up just a little bit. Nomad was engaged to be the IT Department for the Prosecutor's Office and I believe it was a three (3) or a five (5) year contract that was signed between the then Prosecutor

Krantz and Nomad before I took office. So we're still bound to the contract that was signed prior to me taking office. For whatever reason, last time they had a two hundred dollar ($20000) charge, and I'm not sure of the specifics of that charge. But it was determined by talking to them, Parker Trulock, does most of the conversations with Nomad in our office, he's the guy smart enough to deal with computers, I'm not, that it was a valid charge. The Auditor's Office declined to pay that part of the charge when we submitted it the first time and because we had to keep resubmitting it then became overdue and because it is overdue it kicked in a clause in the contract between Nomad and the Prosecutor's Office that allowed them to now charge us for a one hundred twenty-five dollar ($125.00) late fee because it was not paid on time.

Greg Richmond: Has it been fixed?

Mike Perry: Not to my knowledge. I will try to be as nice as I can, but I don't believe that that two hundred twenty-five dollars ($225.00) needs to come out of my budget because it was no fault of my office.

David Hachmeister: Well the two hundred ($200.00) should come out when it's the 27th.

Mike Perry: The two hundred ($200.00) should have been, come out of an encumbered fund from last year's budget as opposed to this year's budget. But be that as it may, I whole heartedly disagree with my office being dinged for the late fee. Are we on the other issues yet or do I need to sit down?

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible).

Charlie Christmas: See what you stepped into?

DRUG CRIME GRANT
NEW HIRE

Krystal Powless: I think he would like to speak on the Drug Crime Grant.

Mike Perry: Right. We applied and Mr. Burkett is like Merlin with these grants. He keeps applying for them and they keep giving them to him. So, we keep looking for them. We received a grant from ICGJI that would fully fund an attorney position at an entry level salary, fifty-seven-eight ($57,800.00), whatever that is. The specific numberescapes me.

Krystal Powless: Fifty-seven, two-eighty-nine ($57,289.00).

Mike Perry: Fifty-seven two-eighty-nine ($57,289.00) plus benefits for a year to year time period. We've been told that it could go as far as three years that we're likely to be reupped each year. It's only after the third year then we'd start, we'd have to have some type of match and it's a gradual escalating match from the County or from my office. Like ten percent (10%) the first year?

Levi Burkett: Yeah, that's right. I think that it's ten/fifteen (10%/15%) and then it goes twenty/twenty-five (20%/25%).

Mike Perry: Right. I'm not asking for the Council to do anything beyond the first year. We've had this discussion before. I know you guys are reluctant to spend any money, fund any grant positions that is going to come out of the General Fund. I understand that. We have had, actually advertised and hired somebody for this position. We're gonna, kind of a shell game a little bit, but we're putting one (1) of our established Deputies as our Drug Deputy who actually makes more salary than what the grant says. But we've talked to Krystal about that, moving the salary around and then hired another position in at the beginning salary. And that person has been advised that this is a grant position. And that it's possible after a year that they may not have a position. But it's our belief that we'll have it for at least three (3) years. And the purpose behind this is we wanted to have a person in charge of the drug crimes in this County through all courts, that's Circuit, Division I, Division II, so that we had a consistent drug policy. Somebody who works hand in hand with the law enforcement, authorities. We wanted, as an office, to be proactive in this community to stay ahead of any opiate or heroine problem as much as we can. I mean, it's here already, but we don't want to get into the situation that we've had in Hendricks County and some of the other counties in the State where then you're having exchange programs and things along those lines. I don't want to get there. What we're trying to do is get somebody to have a unified drug policy through all the courts. This person would also oversee our drug treatment programs and to make sure that we stay on top of this before it becomes an overwhelming burden for the County of Warrick. So I'm asking for the Council's blessing for us to accept this grant and to employ the people necessary to effectuate it.

Greg Richmond: My, my, my opinion is, I appreciate Mr. Burkett finding this and I have a question for you. A long time ago we had grants for Sheriff, extra Sheriff Deputies. It was a three (3) year grant. But we were obligated to pick up the ten percent/twenty-five percent (10%/25%) and you know graduate. And we had to keep that Deputy on at the end. We just couldn't let that Deputy go. And so is there an obligation with this to keep this person?

Levi Burkett: There's not an obligation with this grant. The idea is that it, it doesn't have to be used just for salary. It can be used for any drug program. But the way we wrote it was for salary for this current prosecutor but no, there's no obligation. We have to continually apply for it. And there is an expectation that it will be reupped. But it could be turned down next year. But, no, there's nothing like you're talking about, Mr. Richmond.

Greg Richmond: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: You did explain to this new hire that it could go away?

Mike Perry: Yes.

Gary Meyer: And then their job would go away?

Mike Perry: Yes.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Mike Perry: And, and I'm going to be honest with you, Mr. Richmond. After three (3) years, if we get it for three (3) years, I may come back and ask for a match. I'd think it would be dishonest and tell you that I won't. But that's going to depend

on the success of the program and if it's necessary at that time.

Krystal Powless: What I would need from you guys is if you decide to do this, I have a salary ordinance in front of me for the attorney paid out of the Drug Crime Grant, the benefitsout of the Drug Crime Grant and the extra amount out of the Prosecutor's budget that he's reducing, you know, he's hiring someone to the lesser amount. So it's a wash as far as dollars go.

Gary Meyer: This is basically a user fund, correct?

Mike Perry: No, this is a grant.

Gary Meyer: But I mean it, the drug, the hire, the person you hired, isn't that out of the user fund with the grant?

Krystal Powless: No it's out of the grant.

Mike Perry: No, it, it's, what it is, is...

Gary Meyer: It's out of the grant?

Mike Perry: We, we have an individual that's already employed and is being paid salary by the County.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Mike Perry: She's moving over to be the, the Drug Attorney.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Mike Perry: The grant is paying her salary at the fifty-seven-eight ($57,800.00). She makes more salary than that.

Gary Meyer: How is she paid? Is she paid out of your budget?

Mike Perry: She's paid out of the County.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Mike Perry: So the, the overage would be taken from the regular general budget and applied to her at the salary so she's at the same level. The balance which should be fifty-seven two-eighty-four ($57,284.00) which we are already paying her as part of her salary will go to the new person.

Gary Meyer: Okay. I gotcha.

Mike Perry: And then a dollar fifty ($1.50) for Mr. Rudolph for postage.

Gary Meyer: There you go.

Charlie Christmas: He doesn't work that cheap.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible) no that's not (inaudible).

Brad Overton: You're working that piece of gum.

Gary Meyer: You wanting this to pass tonight?

Mike Perry: We would like, yeah, we're asking for it to be passed tonight. In order to, to start this grant, we have like a window to start it. And we'd like to have this program up and running by February 1.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Paul Rudolph: And you said there's no matching money being spent?

Mike Perry: Today? 2018? 2019?

Paul Rudolph: We hope for 2018, 2019 assuming the grant is renewed.

Mike Perry: The grant, if fully funded, if renewed...

Paul Rudolph: Yeah.

Mike Perry: Will be 2018-2019. There'll be no matching request at that time.

Paul Rudolph: And I don't have any concerns. I just want to throw something out to the Council. So this is probably the third, fourth time that we've run into something like this. I know in previous times, the Council has always been concerned that the Office Holder would tell people, yes this is you know, we'll keep you on after, after the grant's over. And I'm not saying that I would have any concern that you're not going to buy, but you need to have some type of an acknowledgement that we say, alright, we're going to pay or we're going to authorize this fund for two (2) or three (3) years and then at the end of that you have bld the person that your position may no longer be there. And I'm, again I don't have any concerns about this one (1), but just a thought. Maybe we have something drafted that we can get to the Office Holder in those rare occurrences just to make sure that we feel comfortable. I'm not sure that's a great idea but I just wanted to throw it out there.

Mike Perry: Well, I'm certainly not asking, I'm not requesting a binding resolution by you at all to fund this beyond that three (3) year period. I'm telling you that it's possible that I might come back and ask for that.

Paul Rudolph: Sure, sure, sure.

Mike Perry: But when we advertised the position on IPAC which is the Prosecuting Attorney's Council. We advertised it as a grant position. And, and you know, and in fairness to the people who applied for this position, I mean we had full disclosure that this is a grant position and it's not guaranteed to go beyond one (1) year.

Paul Rudolph: And just to be clear, the thing I was thinking as far as a reminder for the Council was in three (3) years, half this Council could not be here anymore.

Mike Perry: That's correct.

Paul Rudolph: So that's, just for something, oh in 2000, we talked about...

Brad Overton: Motion to approve.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad to approve.

Charlie Christmas: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Charlie. Any further discussion? All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Mike Perry: Thank you, Gentlemen.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Brad Overton: You're welcome.

Mike Perry: Ms. Powless.

Charlie Christmas: The thing you got with this grant is if we don't like 'em on want to get rid of them, you've got a good excuse. Your grant run out.

Mike Perry: I appreciate that, Charlie.

Charlie Christmas: Just file that away in your back file back there somewhere.

Mike Perry: What law school did you go to, Sir?

Charlie Christmas: You wouldn't want to know.

COUNCIL ATTORNEY CONTRACT

Gary Meyer: Okay, Item 12. Confirmation of Innkeeper Tax. We had a Resolution on your desk.

Brad Overton: No, you skipped one.

Gary Meyer: I did?

Greg Richmond: Well...

Gary Meyer: I thought we already did that.

Krystal Powless: No...

Paul Rudolph: It was nice knowing you, Cliff. Apparently number eleven (11) is not going on any more.

Gary Meyer: I thought we already did that.

Greg Richmond: (Inaudible) contract.

Paul Rudolph: (Inaudible).

Brad Overton: So we retained him with no contract.

Greg Richmond: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: We retained, that's an even better one (1).

Paul Rudolph: And no funding.

Greg Richmond: Motion to approve the contract, the same as last year.

David Hachmeister: That means you can't...

Charlie Christmas: Second.

David Hachmeister: Charge us for tonight.

Brad Overton: Second.

Krystal Powless: It's all the additionals (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: All those in favor of the motion?

Krystal Powless: Okay if you divide the one (1)...

Gary Meyer: Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Krystal Powless: The attorneys will say...

Greg Richmond: Who made the second?

Charlie Christmas: I did.

Gary Meyer: Charlie.

Krystal Powless: Sign the approval and put denied (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: I'm sorry. I thought we'd already done that.

Krystal Powless: And I can't remember (inaudible).

David Hachmeister: We didn't vote for his contract. That's even better if we can get by with it.

Krystal Powless: Okay (inaudible).

(Contract for Clifford Whitehead, Ziemer Stayman Weitzel and Shoulders, LLP is located on Page 31 of these Official Minutes)

COUNCIL BUSINESS
CONFIRMATION OF INNKEEPER TAX

Gary Meyer: Alright, now we're going to Item 12. Council Business, Confirmation of innkeeper Tax.

Paul Rudolph: So, Gary, if I can just ask, we're already confirming it? That's what 12 is, we're confirming it?

Gary Meyer: We're confirming...

Paul Rudolph: Before we made the decision?

Gary Meyer: Well, no, not really, we're not confirming it. We're confirming that we want to go ahead with it.

Krystal Powless: (Inaudible) I mean, but I guess you can, yeah.

Gary Meyer: It's on, it's on your table.

David Hachmeister: At this time, the way it is written, my opinion is to go ahead.

Paul Rudolph: (Inaudible).

Brad Overton: Second.

David Hachmeister: I didn't really make a motion. I just give my opinion.

Brad Overton: Oh.

Gary Meyer: Well, we need a motion.

David Hachmeister: You really want to vote on it tonight?

Gary Meyer: We need a motion to proceed.

David Hachmeister: Then I'll make a motion.

Gary Meyer: Okay. You make it.

David Hachmeister: If you want to vote on it that many times.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion's been made by, the State Legislature wants us to do this...

Charlie Christmas: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: By David and seconded by Brad to proceed with this Innkeeper's Tax Bill. Any discussion?

Paul Rudolph: I just want to say one (1) thing and that is that I have believed from the very beginning this came up, the Innkeeper Tax is a bad idea. It's a funding decision and we don't need extra funding for this. This is a spending decision as far as how much we're spending. With that being said, it's obviously popular and it's gone this far, so I'm still not thinking this is a great idea. But at this point, let's try it out.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Ted, you're new, you've been following this.

Ted Metzger: Oh, yeah.

Gary Meyer: Do you have any questions on it or anything?

Ted Metzger: No, I have no questions.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Any other discussion? Okay, what this is, is a confirmation vote to send this to the State Legislature.

Brad Overton: (Inaudible) tell them about it.

Gary Meyer: Am I right, Counselor?

Cliff Whitehead: Yeah, you're absolutely correct. The a...

Gary Meyer: We still have a right to vote it down when it comes back, if we don't want it.

Cliff Whitehead: Or it does not pass a tax or a levy.

Gary Meyer: Right, still got to pass a tax on it.

Cliff Whitehead: Yeah, this is just an enabling statute for the State Legislature to allow you to pass a tax, but you don't have to pass it.

Gary Meyer: Right, I understand.

Brad Overton: And the reason why we're having to do this is because we've made changes to it.

Gary Meyer: Correct.

Brad Overton: Just wanted to make sure that was out there.

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion by David and seconded by Brad to proceed with this Innkeeper's Tax to the State. All those in favor of the motion? Opposed? Motion carried six, one (6-1 with Ted Metzger opposing). Ted. Did you hear that Krystal?

Krystal Powless: Yep.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

SALARY ORDINANCE
PROSECUTOR ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Gary Meyer: Council Business, Salary Ordinance, Prosecutor Administrative Assistant, COMOT III, which is supposed to be COMOT II?

Krystal Powless: No.

Gary Meyer: No?

Krystal Powless: No, I made a typo on the Salary Ordinance. It was a COMOT II and it should have been a COMOT III.

Gary Meyer: Oh, okay.

Krystal Powless: And I, so I just need you to clean that up.

Brad Overton: Motion.

Krystal Powless: Thank you.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad and seconded by David. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

SHERIFF DEPUTY

Gary Meyer: Okay. Sheriff Deputy, is that, is that part of the deal?

Krystal Powless: Oh, yeah, same thing.

Greg Richmond: Motion.

Brad Overton: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Greg to approve this Sheriff Deputy at forty-three thousand one nineteen ($43,119.00) and seconded by Brad.

Brad Overton: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

(Additional Appropriations are located on Page 32 of these Official Minutes)

HIGHWAY
RESOLUTION 2017-01 TO PURCHASE PROPERTY
FIRST READING

Gary Meyer: Okay, Council Business, Highway, Resolution to Purchase Property, Discussion.

Bobby Howard: Good evening. Bobby Howard, Warrick County Highway Engineer. We are interested in looking at purchasing an adjacent property to our current District I Salt Storage Facility for Prospect Drive. In order to do so, any property valued at more than twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000.00), State Statute, I believe needs a Resolution from the Council to allow us to go forward to get the Commissioners get two (2) appraisals. And then we cannot offer more than the average of those two (2) appraisals, if that's correct.

Cliff Whitehead: That is correct.

Bobby Howard: And we are interested in this property. I've sent an email, I think I talked about some of the reasons why. I don't know if you want me to reiterate some of that. If you have any questions, I can go ahead...

Gary Meyer: I have some questions.

Bobby Howard: Sure.

Gary Meyer: Is it a want or is it a need?

Bobby Howard: It is a need.

Gary Meyer: I figured that.

Bobby Howard: Yeah, we have...

Gary Meyer: I would have been disappointed if you didn't say it.

Bobby Howard: We have to go in, our current, our current facility, we don't have the space for our material. We're looking for a places to take material all the time. We need places close by that we can stockpile material. We need our new street sweeper program that the Storm Water department is doing. Most of the street sweeping is going to be on curb and gutter streets which are close by to this area. This will allow the Storm Water Department to also, like they've got a building built on property off of Roth Road. They'd maybe be able to put a building here for their street sweeper that's heated that they could keep that program going. They'll have a place to dump the material that they do street sweep because that will have to be stored in a location until it can be sent off as hazardous waste. So, we're, we're interested in looking at different funding options of course.

Gary Meyer: Are you telling me that Storm Water could fund half of it?

Bobby Howard: I'm telling you that that could be considered, if Storm Water Department was interested in that. I'm not gonna...

Gary Meyer: If they're going to store stuff in it, I would think they would.

Bobby Howard: They, the property itself is similar to what, you know, the Highway Department, the County has the property out on Roth. Storm Water uses a building out there. We wanted to make sure this was a large enough space that they have ability to utilize it as well But like I said, normally we want lay down yard area so if we want something a little larger, we may want a larger building or structure there so, in the future And this area's developing. The north side of this area is getting ready to be developed into lots and we would like to try to acquire this area before it's no longer available.

Brad Overton: Hey, Bobby? I had a thought, which is for some reason...

Gary Meyer: Seldom.

Brad Overton: Yeah, I know. Well, maybe there's no good thoughts. As I was on the way in here, I mentioned something to Larry Taylor and I was asking him if we might have anything, any like around that area. And he mentioned there might possibly be some, and he doesn't know, I mean, cause I just caught him off the cuff but possibly some stuff in Friedman that he may, that would, may not be allocated towards that whole park issue, the whole park. So I mentioned something to him before the meeting started. So he's gonna look at maybe some things that may already have, so I didn't know...

Bobby Howard: As far as Parks Department property?

Brad Overton: As far as property that, yeah, cause he was, he was gonna check into that...

Bobby Howard: Okay.

Brad Overton: And question some things.

Bobby Howard: I'm not aware of anything with access...

Brad Overton: Well I, well...

Bobby Howard: To the roadway we have here and electricity...

Brad Overton: Well, I mean, and I mentioned where it was at. And that's why he kind of mentioned there may something be, some, I mean I know we're not looking at like twenty (20) acres. We're looking at how many, how big of

a...?

Bobby Howard: Uh, about three and a half (3 ½).

Brad Overton: Okay.

Bobby Howard: Is what we're looking at.

Brad Overton: So and I mentioned something to him so that might be, granted we have no idea, but I just said something to him before this meeting. So we might even...

Bobby Howard: I just like that it was adjacent to our existing facility.

Brad Overton: Right.

Bobby Howard: Where we already have a building, we already have...

Brad Overton: I kind of like the idea of maybe not just costing anything.

Bobby Howard: Sure, sure.

Brad Overton: Or maybe like five (5) or six (6) dollars for chickens but...

David Hachmeister: And that's what I was going to bring up as well. You've got Vann Road and Friedman in the park right there in the same area. Or find another area that's not cheap, not as quality location as this, that is. You know you're looking for a storage area and not a prime location.

Bobby Howard: Well...

David Hachmeister: I'm looking at the tax dollars that can be used off that area...

Bobby Howard: Sure.

David Hachmeister: Instead of taking out of it.

Bobby Howard: Sure.

David Hachmeister: So somebody decided to build something expensive there, well good.

Bobby Howard: That's fine.

David Hachmeister: So...

Marlin Weisheit: If I could make a comment, Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioner. I mean, we've been studying this for quite some time. I mean this has been a couple of years we've been thinking about acquiring this. There's other options that this could be utilized for too. One (1) thing would be Solid Waste. You know we have a site there at Castle High School and we rent that from Cornell, I think we pay about, I don't know. We just redone the lease for around twenty thousand dollars ($20,000.00) for so many years, four hundred dollars ($400.00) dollars a month. But that site is so congested right there, and I mean years down the road I can see maybe moving that site over there. Even, if we've got enough room. I'd probably take an acre, maybe an acre and a quarter.

Bobby Howard: This area is an industrial area, so the kind of stuff we're putting out here is going to be considered eye sores in most locations so we've also got to keep that under consideration.

Brad Overton: So what you're...oh sorry.

Bobby Howard: Go ahead.

Brad Overton: So what you're looking at is already zoned in commercial anyway?

Bobby Howard: This is all industrial.

Marlin Weisheit: It's right next to the concrete plant there...

Bobby Howard: Yeah, it's right next to Meuth Concrete.

Marlin Weisheit: What have we got? An acre or so?

Bobby Howard: We have two (2) acres there currently.

Marlin Weisheit: And it's tight, it's tight, yeah.

Paul Rudolph: Can you give me an estimate on how much money we might be talking about here? I'm just trying to figure out...

Bobby Howard: Three (3)...
Paul Rudolph: What, but, ballpark, I mean this'll, to have us near exactly.

Bobby Howard: I would, in the eight to ninety thousand ($80,000.00-$90,000.00) range, I believe.

Paul Rudolph: Okay.

Bobby Howard: I would think that that's what we're looking at. We would have to get the appraisals.

Paul Rudolph: Right.

Bobby Howard: And then come back to the Council for an Additional Appropriation at that time.

Gary Meyer: You talked about a building or two (2) to go on the property?

Bobby Howard: Not immediately.

Gary Meyer: Okay, how much are we looking at these buildings? Are they...

Bobby Howard: That would be, those haven't been designed out yet. Storm Water Department would have to kind of say into that as well, but you know, but fifty thousand dollars ($50,000.00) seventy-five thousand ($75,000.00).

Gary Meyer: That's what I was thinking.

Bobby Howard: For heated (inaudible) building.

Ted Metzger: This property, it's bordered by Oak Grove Road over there?

Bobby Howard: No, this is Prospect Drive.

Ted Metzger: Prospect, but I mean you're behind...

Bobby Howard: Oak Grove Road is north of this...

Ted Metzger: Right.

Bobby Howard: The Oak Grove Extension is north of this. The developer here that owns the property, this property and the property all the way to Oak Grove, he plans on putting a berm on the back side of this tie. Do you have a drawing in your packet?

Ted Metzger: I don't think so.

Bobby Howard: I have it right here.

Ted Metzger: So it's going to be visible from Friedman Park?

Bobby Howard: No. Okay, this is Prospect Drive. Oak Grove is up here.

Ted Metzger: Okay, I've gotcha.

Bobby Howard: There will be a berm here behind...

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

Ted Metzger: Gotcha.

Bobby Howard: (Inaudible) Attorney Glass had prepared for this.

Gary Meyer: And this is our present property down here.

Brad Overton: Yeah, it's, is this ours down below, Bobby, on the left?

Gary Meyer: Is our present property on the left, adjacent to...

Bobby Howard: Yeah, that building you see there adjacent to it on the west side on your other left. That area is where we have, we store a little bit of rip rap there, a little bit of pipe, and then the back area there, it's all low ground. It's not in real good shape. We put a lot of build and extra material and scrap back there and fill it in, in that location. Then we try to stockpile dirt there that we can utilize on projects and as you can see there's not a lot of maneuverability. We also have our salt and sand storage right there at that building.

Brad Overton: I wouldn't mind just maybe waiting to see what Larry, I mean I just dropped that on Larry's feet right before the meeting. So, I mean, would just kind of be curious to see what he, if he, maybe what he might be able to find out. So...

Greg Richmond: Depends on how it would look with a nice park.

Brad Overton: Right and that's kind of what I'm wondering too.

Dan Saylor: Can, can, can I say something?

Gary Meyer: Sure.

Dan Saylor: To Brad. Dan Saylor, Commissioner. Brad, I've been involved in both the parks down there and I think this facility and what they're wanting to store down there could be detrimental to kids if they got in that area. And I think in the long run, we'd have to fence that, put a, you know if we build it at one (1) of the parks. Vann Road, I don't see any room down there. Anderson Road, or Victoria Anderson Road Park, Friedman Park, yeah, there's probably some space but you'd probably spend fifty-thousand dollars ($50,000.00) on a fence keeping kids out, keeping kids out of that area.

Marlin Weisheit: That'd be an eyesore next to the park.

Dan Saylor: I mean, it's, it's like construction, you know, pipe construction, so, that's my...

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: I have a question for the attorney.

Cliff Whitehead: Yeah?

Gary Meyer: If we pass this Resolution tonight, are we obligated in any way?

Cliff Whitehead: No, well not obligated to make an appropriation to pay for whatever the appraisers come up with.

Gary Meyer: So, we're not appropriating money? We're just...?

Cliff Whitehead: You're just declaring an intention, or a desire to proceed with how the Commissioners, or the County Parks, Highway Department..

David Hachmeister: This just allows them to get an appraisal.

Cliff Whitehead: Yes. It's a statutory procedure that we have to follow. That's why we're doing this.

Gary Meyer: Okay.

Brad Overton: And you'll cover the appraisal fee, right?

Bobby Howard: Yeah, that's what we'll cover. Definitely. Actually you appropriate the rest. It's all Highway money, it's, they're not tax dollars, it's...

David Hachmeister: Motion to introduce Resolution 2017-01.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by David to introduce Resolution 2017-01.

Greg Richmond: Second.

Gary Meyer: And seconded by Greg. All those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried(7-0). A Resolution of the County Council of Warrick County, Indiana, expressing its interest in making a purchase of specified land pursuant to Indiana Code Chapter 36-1-10.5. That's all I have to read, isn't it? (Inaudible).

Brad Overton: That's so much better.

Gary Meyer: It is.

Paul Rudolph: I miss the old days.

Brad Overton: Good gosh, you didn't have to sit here.

APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2017-01

Gary Meyer: Okay. We have a motion made by, well that was just to introduce. Now we need a motion to approve it.

Brad Overton: Motion.

David Hachmeister: Second.

Gary Meyer: Motion made by Brad.

Paul Rudolph: How much are the appraisals, by the way?

Gary Meyer: Seconded by David.

Bobby Howard: Well you have to, it depends on what appraisal companies you get, but I'd imagine they'd be in the five to a thousand ($500.00-$1,000.00) range.

Paul Rudolph: That's what I was thinking.

Bobby Howard: Five hundred to a thousand dollar ($500.00-$1,000.00) range.

Greg Richmond: I've got a fourteen (14) on it. Do you have a blank copy this...?

Gary Meyer: Okay, so we have...

David Hachmeister: That's a little steep.

Gary Meyer: We do have...

Bobby Howard: Five hundred to a thousand ($500.00-$1,000.00)? Five hundred dollars ($500.00)? I'll take that.

David Hachmeister: Plus you said five to ten thousand ($5,000.00-$10,000.00).

Brad Overton: That's what I thought he said at first, too. I was like...

Bobby Howard: No, five hundred to a thousand ($500.00-$1,000.00).

Greg Richmond: I heard you.

Gary Meyer: We'll hold you to that.

Brad Overton: He's just been out of his (inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Okay, we have a motion made by Brad and seconded by David. Is there any further discussion? This is to proceed. Okay, all those in favor? Opposed? Motion carried seven, zero (7-0).

Bobby Howard: Thank you.

Marlin Weisheit: Thank you.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

(Resolution 2017-01 is located on Page 33 of these Official Minutes)

COURTHOUSE RENOVATION COMMISSIONER REPRESENTATIVE

Gary Meyer: Okay, where are we. Council Business, Courthouse Renovation, Discussion. We have your committee?

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, Marlin Weisheit, Warrick County Commissioner. I was just goingto pass on to, I ask Mayor Wyatt to be here tonight just for a quick statement I just wanted to kind of compliment on his, on all his efforts he's been doing. And one (1) thing I'd like to advise you of, he's been kind of negotiating with Vectren and all the utility companies and he's gotten Vectren to agree while he's redoing the city's contract to hopefully bury all the utilities out here at a cost of well over two hundred thousand dollars ($200,000.00) and that won't be at any cost to the County. So that's good. But Bob Johnson, Commissioner Johnson has agreed to sit on thatcommittee and I think he'll be a good fit for it. I appreciate Dave and Greg sitting on it. And of course then, I'd like to see Roger, Joe, and Krystal sit on that as well. And one (1) of the early things though we might need to decide, I'd like a group input on, is the sidewalks. Charlie would like to move them in of course while we are doing it. He's got a lot of renovation ideas that he might touch on for a couple minutes here for the downtown square. But one (1) thing that would be nice, while we're going to redo the sidewalks, the big controversy is do we move the wall in three (3) to four (4) foot to get the traffic off of the city. And we can do that without disturbing any of the historical markers. Most of the people that I've talked to is kind of in favor of that, moving the sidewalks in maybe three (3) foot and redoing that wall. The wall's in pretty bad shape in places. Some of the wall's good. But, you know, if they're going to do the whole inside of the sidewalk and he has a lot of plans inside and outside. We could taper the grass down but I think most the people would be in favor of putting the wall back. But this committee, that's something you guys can get together and talk about and come up with a plan. But that's one decision might need to be made early. And then as far as the renovation of the courthouse here, you know, we've got about five (5) things to consider. You know, our, our heating and cooling, our lighting, the masonry work. So there's a lot of things to take in consideration there. But the sidewalks and that wall on that outside parameter would probably be something we might need to make a decision on so they can go ahead with their planning and you know get budgets and agree what we're willing to pay for, for what they're going to pay for. Charlie, if you want to add anything while you're here. Say your name.

Charlie Wyatt: Thank you. Charlie Wyatt, Mayor of Boonville, Indiana. This has been a project that I've worked on since a year ago this week. Worked a lot with Vectren, Time Warner, AT&T, WOW, trying to get the utilities buried around this square. There's nothing that stands out any bigger sore than this right outside this, this window here with those transformers. And I, there was a representative fom Vectren named Brad Elsworth and the first week I was in office I said, 'Come visit me. Let's take a walk.' And I said, 'Man that's a good looking transformer, ain't you guys proud of that?' So anyway, it's been this way all year long. Vectren has, we've had two (2) meetings in December again echoing the fact that they're willing to take on the cost of burying all the lines around the square. WOW and all these others, that's an additional cost but those are some of the things the City of Boonville iswilling to take care of. There's different options. You guys are mostly aware of Carol Hagedorn and her involvement in the County on different projects. I talk to Carol at least twice a week. Probably sometimes three (3) and four (4) times a week on different projects. And I just talked to her after, out, outside and I said, 'There's an additional half million dollars ($500,000.00) worth of money available for Main Street Square Renovations.' And that's one reason, you know, Marlin, he's probably boe the brunt of this. Charlie's listened to me at breakfast for almost a year. So, but I know that in the month of March if you were going to do something you would have to have an appropriation, you would have to have a plan, there's gotta be a public hearing on this, and then the application is in April, April the 10th. And that's an additional for additional half million dollars ($500,000.00) that we could use on the, on this square renovation. Not just the square, but a block each way from the square Talking about at least twenty-eight (28) to forty-eight (48) different street lights that Vectren is willing to put in and how you get that is through the City's willing to do a contract extension. You know, we'll take that on. Doesn't have anything to do with you. And, but there's different options. I know I've bugged Greg on 'em too, you know, how, where you wanna go? What do you want to do? I don't care. But this is an opportunity of a lifetime, in my lifetime, for the next, you know when the outer sidewalks were done? David and I weren't even born, 1950, Dave. We went back and dug everything up. And I think in '51 they ended up letting the contract to be able to do the sidewalks on the inner sidewalks around the square. So got an opportunity, if the City goes out there, and we've got the money to do our outside plan and do our lighting. But if we do that, what does that make this courthouse look like? This is your, this is an opportunity, I think. And again we can take care of the lighting through Vectren and if we do, we tear our sidewalks out, you've got that old wall. I had an old high school football coach named Bill Harwood and he'd say it looked like 'Ned in the first reader.' You'd have the big pretty sidewalks and everything like that and you'd have that old busted, beat up wall. And there's different options. You can either replace it with concrete, you can replace it with brick mirroring what's been done over here at Johnson Park. I'm not going to get into any more details but there's just different options on how you can do it. I don't care how, what you guys, what plan you come up with. But I would like to be able to move those sidewalks in about, at least three (3) feet. Get these pick-up trucks hanging out on the highway out of the way. Again it's an opportunity of a lifetime. So anyway with that I'm available. I know that I've had United Consulting and Engineer'sworking with me for almost a year. Midwest Engineering helping on anything but I got to a point and said 'Hey, I can't go any farther.' You guys need to, the Council and the Commissioners, they need to say, 'Hey, this is what we want to do,' if anything. But like I said, if you don't, we are and we're going to move forward. I don't know that there was an agreement years ago that the County and the City were going to go halves on the sidewalks and everything. I went to Mayor Hendrickson and asked her, how come we didn't do this? "We didn't have any money." That's a pretty good reason. So anyway, we've got the opportunity, like I said, the first week I got in office, we've been working on trying to make this a reality. And then when we were going to try to do it this

fall, looked up and got to looking at those wires and I started messing with Vectren again. There's an opportunity here to really clean this up and be the jewel of Warrick County like it deserves to be. Any questions?

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

Charlie Wyatt: Thank you. I appreciate the time.

Marlin Weisheit: Good job. I'll make one (1) last statement, you know as far as moving that wall in, I mean it's something we can study. I haven't even talked to Bobby about this but I'm always open about using in-house as much as we can. And you know during the winter season here, we might be able to, if this committee could come up with an agreement that the Commissioners kind of agree on, we might be able to tear that wall out, you know, in-house, tear it out. Then have someone come in and lay the temporary or the stacking stone like they did over at Johnson Park. That's probably the most practical way to put up a small retaining wall and rock it out there. Have contractors to come in ready to take off on these sidewalks. It probably wouldn't be that big of an expense, you know, if you didn't have to contract the whole thing out. So those are some options that I'm willing to investigate and help with and look forward to the committee working together. And like I say, this outside sidewalk deal and wall is something we probably need to make a decision on for the City of Boonville. And then we can take our time on the approach of what we need to do, figure out how we wanna do the rest of the courthouse. But I appreciate you guys considering, let's get something done with this old courthouse. And the other thing, don't want you to forget too, we probably have about seventy (70) some odd heat pumps in that building over there. Probably looking at about a half a million dollars ($500,000.00) if we could figure something in there and while I've got my dream list up here and talking to you, you know Roger studied the parking. The City's going to start enforcing two (2) hour parking which will be good for downtown to get it rejuvenated here and help the businesses here. We don't need our employees parking on the square and taking up spots. Bob Fitzsimmons over at the old McNeely Insurance, he's open to use, maybe putting in a parking lot over there. So those are some options that we'll be investigating and maybe this committee, if we can all work together on. So, any questions, I'll be glad to answer. Other than that I just look forward to working with you and however you...

Gary Meyer: Okay, thank you.

Greg Richmond: We do have a bond issue coming off this year, right? That, Judicial Center...

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: We do have, yeah. That may be what we have to do. But..

Greg Richmond: Be a level tax if we...

Gary Meyer: Yeah, I was going to keep, just say something to keep the Council in mind. This is all out of different funds, but we're looking at spending a lot of money. We've already set a TIF Bond of about six million dollars ($6,000,000.00). We're going to approve a five (5)...four million dollar ($4,000,000.00) deal for Economic Development, which is all needed. So now we're looking at another hundred and fifty to two hundred thousand dollars ($150,000.00-$200,000.00) for the building. And I think this building needs a lot of repair. We haven't put any money in it. And so kind of keep your priorities there. That's the reason I asked that factious question to Bobby, do we need it? Or do we want it?

Marlin Weisheit: Yep.

Gary Meyer: Cause I think the building needs it more than us buying property right now.

Marlin Weisheit: Fortunately through the insurance here a few years ago, we put a new slate roof on. We got that done. We redone the elevator, you know got it done. It's up in good shape and thankfully I've tried ever since I've been here, but last, but this year or last year now, the year's flew, flyby, we finally put a sump pump in. And you know we got with the elevator inspector and got the agreement to put a sump pump in cause that was what happened to that probably seventy thousand dollars ($70,000.00) we had to rebuild that. It was due to water deterioration in that pit down there. So we have a sump pump in there now. That was a good fix. So the elevator's in good shape. But our masonry on the outside of this building, and we've got to do something, I know we've got to do something and come up with some plans here.

Gary Meyer: Okay. Thank you.

Charlie Christmas: I'm thankful for the elevator.

Gary Meyer: What I was telling you before is I know that this is different funds. We've got a TIF Bond and we've got Economic Development. We've got a lot of different funds. But just still remember, this is taxpayer money.

Marlin Weisheit: Exactly right.

Gary Meyer: Any fund you look at is going to be taxpayer money coming out of it.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah.

Gary Meyer: So we've got, we're looking at it at least ten to twelve million dollars ($10,000,000.00-$12,000,000.00) right now that we've already obligated ourselves to.

Marlin Weisheit: And you know, Gary, I just...

Gary Meyer: And that's not counting this building.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah. I feel responsible, you know, that's part of my job, the Commissioner's job to maintain these buildings and this is the last one (1) on my list. The old jail down here, we're working on a grant. That's still County property. But, you know, we've been able to redo the jail, we've done a lot of updates. I mean working on...

Gary Meyer: I'm not arguing with you, Marlin.

Marlin Weisheit: The Old Harbor House.

Gary Meyer: I think this building needs attention.

Marlin Weisheit: Yeah, yeah.

Gary Meyer: I'm saying I think it needs more attention than buying additional property they may or may not need. We need to spend money here. Which we haven't for several years.

Marlin Weisheit: I understand. Thank you, Sir.

Gary Meyer: Thank you.

MINIMUM THRESHOLD DISCUSSION

Gary Meyer: Okay. Anybody from the public want to address the Council? Anybody sign up?

Krystal Powless: They did, but I think that it's stuff that's already been discussed I think it was on Innkeeper Tax, I think, so...

Gary Meyer: Okay. Beverley Schweiss? Tourism Tax. Randy Wheeler?

Randy Wheeler: You've already covered it (inaudible). So we're good.

Gary Meyer: Okay. And Bob, is it Gore? Gober?

Audience Member: He left.

Gary Meyer: He left? Okay. That was all Innkeeper's Tax. So...

Audience Member: That's right.

Gary Meyer: Any Council Member have anything to bring up?

Brad Overton: Did we want to bring up that you and I was talking earlier? At least put it out there.

Gary Meyer: That's up to you.

Brad Overton: Okay, well I guess I'll say something about t. Initially, I guess, or we approved the bump in salaries due to the, what's the whole...?

Paul Rudolph: Overtime rules?

Brad Overton: Yeah, the overtime rules. And we had approved that. But it appears now that there is some litigation going forward on that. And I don't really know, I don't know if you have heard anything more about that or where that's at. So it's kind of at a standstill. One court had approved, had turned it down and deemed it, so there was an injunction placed, I believe, Counselor? I don't know if you have any other information on that? But is that kind of where it's at right now?

Cliff Whitehead: That's correct. So it is not enforceable law. The new FLSA, Fair Labor Standard Act Thresholds for salaried exempt employees.

Brad Overton: Okay, and we don't, and I guess right now, we don't even know if the government is going to pursue that as a...

Cliff Whitehead: We don't know. There are indications that the, well, we don't know.

Brad Overton: Okay.

Cliff Whitehead: So as of right now, nothing's changed.

Brad Overton: Okay. So that's, and I know we've already approved the salaries and Gary and I have mentioned something a little before the meeting. I didn't know that possibly by the end of the year, if you'll, a couple of different options, possibly having that group of people, just a couple things that might play out. I thought we might just want to get that out in the open in case we decided to make some adjustment based upon that, because it looks like that law may not be enforceable now. And we may not be out those additional costs. And I thought if we ended up doing something by budget time. If we were going to do that, let people know now that we're at least considering that. And just to get that out there as far as the public record. That was my, kind of my thoughts on this. Anybody else have any thoughts on that?

Gary Meyer: Let me ask you a question. Did you, we, I think we rushed to judgement on this thing, because we were told by December 1st, it had to be in the works. What would have happened if we didn't make the December 1st and the law still would have been there? How much would we be fined, if we were fined at all, or what?

Cliff Whitehead: Well, we wouldn't be fined. We would have to pay overtime for those salaried employees who did not meet the new threshold.

Gary Meyer: And only if they made...?

Cliff Whitehead: If they worked overtime.

Gary Meyer: If they worked overtime.

Paul Rudolph: If they didn't work overtime, there'd have been no harm, no foul.

David Hachmeister: (Inaudible).

Gary Meyer: Okay, so basically I think we jumped the gun a little bit quicker than we probably should have in giving these people this increase because we were, we were (inaudible) about the December 1st date. And that was probably our fault. So we may have to make some adjustment or do something like you said at budget time and that's fine.

Brad Overton: I just wanted to get it out atleast, so…

Gary Meyer: That's fine.

Brad Overton: People might be aware of that in case that…

Gary Meyer: A lot of times these drop dead mandates with a date on them really don't mean a whole lot. Sometimes it does. Sometimes it doesn't. But I think we, we jumped to conclusions a little fast on that one (1). Anyway, anybody else have anything to bring up? Our next meeting will be February the 2d at 6 PM.

David Hachmeister: Motion.

Paul Rudolph: Motion.

Gary Meyer: Meeting's adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: Meeting adjourned at 7:40 PM.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

Greg Richmond, Vice President

David Hachmeister

Brad Overton

Ted Metzger

Charles R. Christmas

Paul Rudolph

ATTEST:

Deborah K. Stevens, Auditor
Warrick County, IN

Minutes transcribed by Kristine Georges

CONTRACT FOR EMPLOYMENT

By this Contract for Employment the Warrick County, Indiana County Council ("Council") retains the law firm of Ziemer Stayman Weitzel and Shoulders, LLP ("ZSWS") for legal services to act as its counsel, principally through the services of Clifford Whitehead.

1. Representation. On behalf of ZSWS, Clifford Whitehead will be primarily responsible for attending to issues involving representation of the Council. In the event Clifford Whitehead cannot attend a regular meeting or perform other duties, other firm members will so act. Other firm members may be consulted by Clifford Whitehead as the need arises in order to best serve the needs of the Council. However, there will not be extra charges for this, unless authorized by the Council under the terms set forth below.

2. Scope of Representation. Principal duties in representing the Council will consist of attending all regular monthly meetings of the Council and providing legal advice for issues as they arise. Legal services shall include but not be limited to research, preparation of memoranda, preparation of resolutions and ordinances, and providing legal advice on an as needed basis.

a. Litigation. At the direction and approval of the Council, ZSWS may undertake and defend litigation on the Council's behalf. Any duties attendant with such litigation, such as appearance at hearings, preparation of pleadings, and other matters pertaining to the subject of the litigation and expenses associated with the litigation shall be the subject of a separate billing. The rate for time expended on said litigation shall be $160.00 per hour. ZSWS shall submit to the Council an itemized billing of all time expended and expenses incurred.

b. Special Projects. The Council may direct ZSWS to take on special projects. The same terms and conditions as set forth in subparagraph a., above, shall apply to special projects.

3. Regular Compensation. ZSWS agrees to undertake the representation of the Council for the year of 2017 for the amount of $15,000.00, which total amount shall be paid on a monthly or quarterly basis at the sole discretion of the Council.

4. Best Efforts. ZSWS will use its best efforts in representing the Council and further agrees to undertake no action by any member of the firm which would constitute a conflict of interest with its representation of the Council.

This agreement shall be effective on January 1, 2017 and will apply to the entire calendar year of 2017.

APPROVED:

ZIEMER, STAYMAN, WEITZEL AND SHOULDERS, LLP

By: Marco DeLucio

Date: 11/23/16

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

By: Gary Meyer, D.D.S., President

Date: 1-5-17

CERTIFIED COPY OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION
State Form 55819 (R2 / 12-15)
PRESCRIBED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

NOTE: If reporting an additional appropriation of bond proceeds, complete only Sections I and III; and A, B, and C of Section II.

Section I

UNIT NAME: Warrick — Unit Number:

COUNTY NAME: Warrick — County Number:

Date of Publication (month, day, year): 12/22/2016 — Newspaper Name: Boonville Standard

Date of Publication (month, day, year): 12/22/2016 — Newspaper Name:

Date Received (month, day, year):

Date of Public Hearing (month, day, year): 1/5/2017

Date of Resolution/Ordinance (month, day, year): 1/5/2017 — Order Number:

Section II

Complete for each fund from which the additional appropriations are made. Use a separate column for each fund. Lines referred to below are on the Fund Report issued by the Department.

A. DLGF Fund Number				
B. Fund Name	County General	Recorder Perp	Drug Court	Health
C. Appropriation Amount Requested	6,381.25	0	55,650.00	53,267.00
D. Amount by Reduction *(Enter as a positive number.)*				
E. Net Amount of Increase *(C minus D)*	6,761.25	$30,000.00	$5,650.00	53,267.00
1. Property Tax Levy (Line 16)	$12,885,469.00	$0.00		$418,408.00
2. Levy Excess (Line 15)				
3. PTRC from CAGIT (Line 13A)				
4. LOIT Levy Freeze Amount (Line 13B)				
5. Misc. Revenue (Line 8B) *(If higher than 8B amount, a revised Budget Form 2 must be attached.)*	$1,787,000.00	$110,000.00	$150,000.00	$150,550.00
6. January 1 Cash Balance *(Include investments.)*	$5,551,067.00	$266,431.00	$109,109.00	$305,400.00
7. Subtotal of Funds *(Add 1 thru 6.)*	$20,204,436.00	$376,431.00	$259,109.00	$777,608.00
8. Less Circuit Breaker	$220,951.00	$0.00	$0.00	$7,201.00
9. Total Funds *(7 minus 8.)*	$19,983,485.00	$376,431.00	$259,109.00	$770,638.00
10. DLGF Approved Budget (Line 1)	$15,155,951.00	$104,612.00	$214,623.00	$583,617.00
11. Encumbered Appropriations Carried Forward From Previous Year				
12. Temporary Loans Outstanding as of January 1				
13. Beginning Obligations *(Add 10 thru 12.)*	$15,155,951.00	$104,612.00	$214,623.00	$583,617.00
14. Surplus Funds *(9 minus 13.)*	$4,827,534.00	$271,819.00	$44,546.00	$187,021.00
15. Previous additional appropriation(s) approved since January 1, less any reductions in appropriations.				
16. Amount transferred to the Rainy Day Fund (Note #1)				
17. Surplus Funds Remaining *(14 minus 15 minus 16.)*	$4,827,534.00	$271,819.00	$44,546.00	$187,021.00

Note #1: Do not use this line for additional appropriations for the rainy day fund. Transfers to the rainy day fund are miscellaneous revenues in the rainy day fund.

Section III

I, Deborah Stevens fiscal officer of Warrick County do hereby certify that the above information is true and correct.

Dated this 5th day of January, 20 17.

Deborah K. Stevens — Auditor
Signature — Title

One County Square Suite 210 — 812-897-6110
Unit Mailing Address *(number and street)* — Telephone Number

Boonville, IN 47601
City, State and ZIP — Email Address

CERTIFIED COPY OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION
State Form 55819 (R2 / 12-15)
PRESCRIBED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE

NOTE: If reporting an additional appropriation of bond proceeds, complete only Sections I and III; and A, B, and C of Section II.

Section I

UNIT NAME: Warrick — Unit Number:

COUNTY NAME: Warrick — County Number:

Date of Publication (month, day, year): 12/22/2016 — Newspaper Name: Boonville Standard

Date of Publication (month, day, year): 12/22/2016 — Newspaper Name:

Date Received (month, day, year):

Date of Public Hearing (month, day, year): 1/5/2017

Date of Resolution/Ordinance (month, day, year): 1/5/2017 — Order Number:

Section II

Complete for each fund from which the additional appropriations are made. Use a separate column for each fund. Lines referred to below are on the Fund Report issued by the Department.

A. DLGF Fund Number				
B. Fund Name	Parks & Recreation	Recorder Perp	Drug Court	Health
C. Appropriation Amount Requested	$26,923.50			
D. Amount by Reduction *(Enter as a positive number.)*				
E. Net Amount of Increase *(C minus D)*	$26,923.50	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
1. Property Tax Levy (Line 16)	$320,678.00	$0.00		
2. Levy Excess (Line 15)				
3. PTRC from CAGIT (Line 13A)				
4. LOIT Levy Freeze Amount (Line 13B)				
5. Misc. Revenue (Line 8B) *(If higher than 8B amount, a revised Budget Form 2 must be attached.)*	$277,100.00			
6. January 1 Cash Balance *(Include investments.)*	$379,373.00			
7. Subtotal of Funds *(Add 1 thru 6.)*	$977,151.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
8. Less Circuit Breaker	$5,519.00	$0.00	$0.00	
9. Total Funds *(7 minus 8.)*	$971,632.00	$0.00	$0.00	
10. DLGF Approved Budget (Line 1)	$606,847.00			
11. Encumbered Appropriations Carried Forward From Previous Year				
12. Temporary Loans Outstanding as of January 1				
13. Beginning Obligations *(Add 10 thru 12.)*	$606,847.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
14. Surplus Funds *(9 minus 13.)*	$364,785.00	$0.00	$0.00	
15. Previous additional appropriation(s) approved since January 1, less any reductions in appropriations.				
16. Amount transferred to the Rainy Day Fund (Note #1)				
17. Surplus Funds Remaining *(14 minus 15 minus 16.)*	$364,785.00	$0.00	$0.00	

Note #1: Do not use this line for additional appropriations for the rainy day fund. Transfers to the rainy day fund are miscellaneous revenues in the rainy day fund.

Section III

I, Deborah Stevens fiscal officer of Warrick County, do hereby certify that the above information is true and correct.

Dated this 5th day of January, 20 17.

Deborah K. Stevens — Auditor
Signature — Title

One County Square Suite 210 — 812-897-6110
Unit Mailing Address *(number and street)* — Telephone Number

Boonville, IN 47601
City, State and ZIP — Email Address

RESOLUTION NO. 2017 - 01

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF WARRICK COUNTY, INDIANA EXPRESSING ITS INTEREST IN MAKING A PURCHASE OF SPECIFIED LAND, PURSUANT TO IND. CODE CHAPTER 36-1-10.5

WHEREAS, Warrick County is considering an expansion to its current storage facility to be utilized by the Warrick County Highway Department and Storm Water Department; and

WHEREAS, Maken Corporation ("Maken"), through its president Daniel Ubelhor, has expressed its interest in selling to Warrick County a 3.7-acre tract to be surveyed off parcel number 87-13-19-200-007.000-019 ("the Land"), adjacent to Warrick County's current storage facility located on Prospect Drive; and

WHEREAS, Indiana Code § 36-1-10.5-5 requires Warrick County to comply with the following procedures in order to purchase the Land:

(1) The fiscal body of the political subdivision shall pass a resolution to the effect that it is interested in making a purchase of specified land or a structure.

(2) The purchasing agent shall appoint two (2) appraisers to appraise the fair market value of the land or structure. The appraisers must be professionally engaged in making appraisals or be trained as an appraiser and licensed as a broker under Indiana Code § 25-34.1.

(3) The appraisers shall return their separate appraisals to the purchasing agent within thirty (30) days after the date of their appointment. The purchasing agent shall keep the appraisals on file in the purchasing agent's office for five (5) years after they are given to the purchasing agent.

(4) The purchasing agent shall give a copy of both appraisals to the fiscal body.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Warrick County Council as follows:

Section 1. The Warrick County Council, as the fiscal body of Warrick County, hereby expresses its interest, pursuant to Indiana Code § 36-1-10.5-5(1), in purchasing the Land from Maken for the above-described purposes.

Adopted this 5th day of January 2017.

WARRICK COUNTY COUNCIL

Gary Meyer, President

Greg Richmond, Vice President

Charles R. Christmas

David Huchmeister

Paul Rudolph

Brad Overton

Ted Metzger

ATTEST: this 5th day of January, 2017.

Debbie Stevens, Auditor